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#### Abstract

In this paper we will consider a holomorphic family of closed Riemann surfaces of genus two which is constructed by Riera. The goal of this paper is to estimate the number of holomorphic sections of this family.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. Holomorphic family of Riemann surfaces and its sections. Let $M$ be a two-dimensional complex manifold and $B$ be a Riemann surface. We assume that a proper holomorphic mapping $\pi: M \rightarrow B$ satisfies the following two conditions:
(i) The Jacobi matrix of $\pi$ has rank one at every point of $M$.
(ii) The fiber $S_{b}=\pi^{-1}(b)$ over each point $b$ of $B$ is a closed Riemann surface of genus $g_{0}$.
We call such a triple $(M, \pi, B)$ a holomorphic family of closed Riemann surfaces of genus $g_{0}$ over $B$.

A holomorphic mapping $s: B \rightarrow M$ is said to be a holomorphic section of a holomorphic family $(M, \pi, B)$ of Riemann surfaces if $\pi \circ s$ is the identity mapping on $B$.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of all holomorphic sections of $(M, \pi, B)$. Denote by $\sharp \mathcal{S}$ the number of all holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{S}$. Next result is called Mordell conjecture in the functional field case.

Theorem 1.1 (Manin [13],Grauert [5], Imayoshi and Shiga [8], Noguchi [14]). The number of all holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{S}$ is finite.

We remark that Shioda [17] has discussed holomorphic sections of a rational elliptic surface ( $S, f, \mathbf{P}^{1}$ ) by using and developing his theory of Mordell-Weil lattice.

Hence next it is important to estimate $\sharp \mathcal{S}$ for $(M, \pi, B)$.
1.2. Kodaira surfaces. Kodaira constructed a holomorphic family $(M, \pi, B)$ whose base surface and fiber are both compact Riemann
surfaces. We briefly review its construction (c.f. Atiyah [1], Kas [10], Kodaira [12]).

Let $(C, \tau)$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g_{0} \geq 2$ with fixed point free involution $\tau: C \rightarrow C$. Let $f: D \rightarrow C$ be a $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2 g_{0}}$ unbranched covering corresponding to

$$
\pi_{1}(C) \longrightarrow H_{1}(C, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_{1}(C, \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})
$$

The genus of $D$ is $g_{1}=2^{2 g_{0}}\left(g_{0}-1\right)+1$.
We consider the product $D \times C$ and the graphs of $f$ and $\tau \circ f$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{f}=\{(u, f(u)) \in D \times C \mid u \in D\}, \\
\Gamma_{\tau f}=\{(u, \tau \circ f(u)) \in D \times C \mid u \in D\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

As $\tau$ is fixed point free, $\Gamma_{f} \cap \Gamma_{\tau f}=\emptyset$ in $D \times C$. Because $\Gamma_{f}+\Gamma_{\tau f}$ is 2-divisible in $H_{2}(D \times C, \mathbb{Z})$, we can find a square root $L$ of the holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{O}\left(\Gamma_{f}+\Gamma_{\tau f}\right)$, i.e., $L^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}\left(\Gamma_{f}+\Gamma_{\tau f}\right)$.

Let $s$ be a section of $\mathcal{O}\left(\Gamma_{f}+\Gamma_{\tau f}\right)$ vanishing at $\Gamma_{f}+\Gamma_{\tau f}$, and $M$ be the inverse image of $s(D \times C)$ under the square mapping $L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(\Gamma_{f}+\Gamma_{\tau f}\right)$. Then the natural mapping $\pi: M \rightarrow D$ induces the following diagram.


Therefore $(M, \pi, D)$ is a holomorphic family whose fiber $\pi^{-1}(u)$ is a two-sheeted branched covering of $C \cong\{u\} \times C$ in $D \times C$ branched at $(u, f(u))$ and $(u, \tau \circ f(u))$.
1.3. Estimation of $\sharp \mathcal{S}$ for Kodaira surface $(M, \pi, D)$. For a Kodaira surface, we have an explicit estimation of $\sharp \mathcal{S}$ as follows.

First of all, a Kodaira surface has "trivial" sections $s_{f}$ and $s_{\tau \circ f}$ defined by $s_{f}(u)$ and $s_{\tau \circ f}(u)$, where $s_{f}(u)$ is the branched point of $\pi^{-1}(u)$ over $(u, f(u))$ and $s_{\tau \circ f}(u)$ is the branched point of $\pi^{-1}(u)$ over $(u, \tau \circ f(u))$. Therefore

$$
\sharp \mathcal{S} \geq 2 .
$$

Next, we estimate $\sharp \mathcal{S}$ from above by considering the canonical mapping $\mathcal{S}$ to the set $\operatorname{Hol}(D, C)$ of all holomorphic mappings from $D$ to $C$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi: \mathcal{S} & \rightarrow \operatorname{Hol}(D, C) \\
s & \mapsto \pi^{\prime} \circ s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the involution $\tau: C \rightarrow C$ induces the covering transformation of $M \rightarrow D \times C, \Phi$ is 2 to 1 except for $s_{f}$ and $s_{\tau \circ f}$.

Thus we have

$$
\sharp \mathcal{S}=2 \sharp \Phi(\mathcal{S})-2 .
$$

We denote the set of all non-constant holomorphic mappings from $D$ to $C$ by $\operatorname{Hol}_{\text {n.c. }}(D, C)$. Then the next claim is a key idea. (See Proposition 3.1)

Proposition 1.1. $\Phi(\mathcal{S}) \subset \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {n.c. }}(D, C)$.
It is well known that $\sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {n.c. }}(D, C)$ is finite, for example, Tanabe [18] gave an explicit estimation of $\sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {n.c. }}(D, C)$,

$$
\sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {n.c. }}(D, C) \leq\left(4 g_{1}-3\right)^{2 g_{1}} \times 6\left(g_{1}-1\right),
$$

where $g_{1}$ is the genus of $D$. Since $g_{1}=2^{2 g_{0}}\left(g_{0}-1\right)+1$, we have

$$
\sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {n.c. }}(D, C) \leq\left\{2^{2 g_{0}+2}\left(g_{0}-1\right)+1\right\}^{2^{2 g_{0}+1}\left(g_{0}-1\right)+2} \times 3 \cdot 2^{2 g_{0}+1}\left(g_{0}-1\right) .
$$

Therefore we have the following theorem.
Theorem 1.2. The number $\sharp \mathcal{S}$ of holomorphic sections can be estimated as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \leq \sharp \mathcal{S} & =2 \sharp \Phi(\mathcal{S})-2 \\
& \leq 2 \sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {n.c. }}(D, C)-2 \\
& \leq\left\{2^{2 g_{0}+2}\left(g_{0}-1\right)+1\right\}^{2^{2 g_{0}+1}\left(g_{0}-1\right)+2} \times 3 \cdot 2^{2 g_{0}+2}\left(g_{0}-1\right)-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

1.4. A Certain Kodaira Surface due to Riera. In [15], Riera gave a holomorphic universal covering $\mathcal{D}$ of a Kodaira surface. In particular, $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is a Bergman domain and there exist discontinuous subgroups $E$ and $\dot{E}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ such that


Moreover, he gave a "kind" of Kodaira surface whose base surface is a forth-punctured torus and fiber is a closed Riemann surface of genus two. This is our subject in this paper. We remark that for a Kodaira
surface, the genus of the base surface must be greater than one (Kas [10], Theorem 1.1). We will estimate $\sharp \mathcal{S}$ for this surface. The detail construction will be reviewed in $\S 2$. Here we explain his idea concisely to show it is a "certain" Kodaira surface.

Let $(\widehat{T}, 0)$ be a flat torus with the marked point 0 and let $\widehat{\rho}: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ be a $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$-unbranched covering corresponding to

$$
\pi_{1}(\widehat{T}) \longrightarrow H_{1}(\widehat{T}, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_{1}(\widehat{T}, \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})
$$

We also consider the constant mapping $0: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{T}, r \mapsto 0$. Since two graphs $\Gamma_{\hat{\rho}}$ of $\widehat{\rho}$ and $\Gamma_{0}$ of 0 intersect at four points in $\widehat{R} \times \widehat{T}$, we can take $R=\widehat{R} \backslash \widehat{\rho}^{-1}(0)$ and $\rho=\widehat{\rho} \mid R$, and consider $\Gamma_{\rho}$ and $\Gamma_{0}$ in $R \times \widehat{T}$ where $\Gamma_{\rho}$ and $\Gamma_{0}$ do not intersect.

Riera constructed a two-sheeted covering $M \rightarrow R \times \widehat{T} \backslash\left(\Gamma_{\rho}+\Gamma_{0}\right)$ which induces the next diagram.


Then $(M, \pi, R)$ is a holomorphic family whose fiber $\pi^{-1}(r)$ is a twosheeted branched covering of $\widehat{T} \cong\{r\} \times \widehat{T}$ in $R \times \widehat{T}$ branched at $(r, 0)$ and ( $r, \rho(r)$ ).
1.5. Estimation of $\sharp \mathcal{S}$ for Riera's example $(M, \pi, R)$. For the estimation of $\sharp \mathcal{S}$, we make the following strategy which is the same as in $\S 1.2$. We have "trivial" sections $s_{\rho}$ and $s_{0}$ coming from $\rho$ and $0: R \rightarrow \widehat{T}$, hence

$$
\sharp \mathcal{S} \geq 2 .
$$

Also we have the natural mapping

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hol}(R, \widehat{T}) \\
s \mapsto \beta \circ s
\end{gathered}
$$

and the equality $\sharp \mathcal{S}=2 \sharp \Phi(\mathcal{S})-2$. Moreover, we will prove in $\S 3.1$ the following:
Proposition 3.1. $\Phi(\mathcal{S}) \backslash\{0\} \subset \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {n.c. }}(R, \widehat{T})$.
But we can not go further because $\widehat{T}$ is not hyperbolic,

$$
\sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {n.c. }}(R, \widehat{T})=\infty,
$$

hence the explicit estimation of $\sharp \mathcal{S}$ does not come from the idea in $\S 1.3$.

So we need another idea. First we show the following key theorem.
Theorem 3.1. For any $g \in \Phi(\mathcal{S}) \backslash\{\rho, 0\}$, the mapping $g$ has a holomorphic extension $\widehat{g}: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$.

As a consequence, we show in $\S 3.1$ that
Proposition 3.2. For any $g \in \Phi(\mathcal{S}) \backslash\{\rho, 0\}$, the mapping $g$ satisfies $\Gamma_{g} \cap \Gamma_{\rho}=\emptyset$ and $\Gamma_{g} \cap \Gamma_{0}=\emptyset$.

Let us denote by $\operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T})$ the set of all non-constant holomorphic mappings $g: R \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ which extend to the mappings $\widehat{g}: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ and satisfy $\Gamma_{g} \cap \Gamma_{\rho}=\emptyset$ and $\Gamma_{g} \cap \Gamma_{0}=\emptyset$.

Then Proposition 3.2 implies that $\Phi(\mathcal{S}) \subset \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T}) \cup\{\rho, 0\}$. Now we set $\tau_{1}=i, \tau_{2}=e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ and put $\widehat{T}_{j}=\mathbb{C}_{z} / \Gamma_{1, \tau_{j}}(j=1,2)$. The main result of this paper is as follows.
Main Theorem . The number $\sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T})$ satisfies the equality
(a) $\sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T})=4$, if $\widehat{T} \not \approx \widehat{T_{1}}, \widehat{T_{2}}$.

Moreover,
(b) $\sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}\left(R, \widehat{T}_{j}\right)=12$ for $j=1,2$.

Since $\{\rho, 0\} \subset \Phi(\mathcal{S}) \subset \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T}) \cup\{\rho, 0\}$, we have the following:

## Corollary 3.1.

(a) $2 \leq \sharp \Phi(\mathcal{S}) \leq 6$, if $\widehat{T} \not \not \widehat{T}_{1}, \widehat{T}_{2}$.
(b) $2 \leq \sharp \Phi(\mathcal{S}) \leq 14$, if $\widehat{T} \cong \widehat{T}_{1}$ or $\widehat{T} \cong \widehat{T}_{2}$.

Since $\sharp \mathcal{S}=2 \sharp \Phi(\mathcal{S})-2$, we can estimate $\sharp \mathcal{S}$ as
Corollary 3.2. The number $\sharp \mathcal{S}$ of holomorphic sections can be estimated as follows.
(a) $\sharp \mathcal{S}=2,4, \ldots, 8$ or 10 , if $\widehat{T} \neq \widehat{T}_{1}, \widehat{T}_{2}$.
(b) $\sharp \mathcal{S}=2,4, \ldots, 24$, or 26 , if $\widehat{T} \cong \widehat{T}_{1}$ or $\widehat{T} \cong \widehat{T}_{2}$.

The authors thank the referee for his (or her) hearty comments and advices: The first and the third authors considered $\Phi(\mathcal{S})=\{\rho, 0\}$ in the first version of this paper. That is, Riera's example ( $M, \pi, R$ ) has exactly two holomorphic sections. In the referee comments, he (or she) suggested them to reconsider the complex structure on $M$ carefully. After discussing with the second author, finally they had an idea to consider $\operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T})$ and proved that $\Phi(\mathcal{S}) \subset \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T}) \cup\{\rho, 0\}$ and $\sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T})=1$ in general. But they could not determine whether $\Phi(\mathcal{S})=\operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T}) \cup\{\rho, 0\}$ or not, in other words, there is "another" holomorphic section for our case, which is our next problem.

## 2. Construction of a Holomorphic Family due to Riera

In [15], Riera explained how to construct the holomorphic universal covering of a Kodaira surface whose fibers are branched over hyperbolic Riemann surfaces.

Since we consider a certain Kodaira surface whose fibers are branched over flat tori, we must modify his construction as follows.

### 2.1. Fiber as a Two-sheeted Branched Covering Surface of $\widehat{T}$.

Take a point $\tau$ in the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$. Let $\Gamma_{1, \tau}$ be the discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}_{w}\right)$ generated by $w \mapsto w+1, w \mapsto w+\tau$. Let $\alpha_{1}: \mathbb{C}_{w} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{w} / \Gamma_{1, \tau}$ be the canonical projection. We denote the pair $\left(\mathbb{C}_{w} / \Gamma_{1, \tau}, \alpha_{1}(0)\right)$ by $(\widehat{T}, 0)$ and set $T=\widehat{T} \backslash\{0\}$.

For any point $t \in T$, we cut $\widehat{T}$ along a simple curve from 0 to $t$. Next we take two replicas of the torus $\widehat{T}$ with the cut and call them sheet I and sheet II. The cut on each sheet has two sides, which are labeled + side and - side. We attach the + side of the cut on I to the - side of the cut on II, and attach the - side of the cut on I to the + side of the cut on II. Now we obtain a closed Riemann surface $S_{t}$ of genus two, which is the two-sheeted branched covering surface $S_{t} \rightarrow \hat{T}$ branched over 0 and $t$.

Note that the complex structure on $S_{t}$ depends not only on the point $t$ but also on the cut locus from 0 to $t$. Essentially there are four cuts as in Figure 1 which determine different complex structures on $S_{t}$.


Figure 1. Four cuts on $T$

Hence we can not construct a family whose fibers are $S_{t}$ over $T$. We construct a holomorphic family with fibers $S_{t}$. Let $\Gamma_{2,2 \tau}$ be the discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}_{z}\right)$ generated by $z \mapsto z+2, z \mapsto z+2 \tau$. Let $\alpha_{2}: \mathbb{C}_{z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{z} / \Gamma_{2,2 \tau}$ be the canonical projection and denote the pair $\left(\mathbb{C}_{z} / \Gamma_{2,2 \tau}, \alpha_{2}(0)\right)$ by $(\widehat{R}, 0)$.

Define $\widetilde{\rho}: \mathbb{C}_{z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{w}$ by $\widetilde{\rho}(z)=z$. Then $\widetilde{\rho}$ induces a $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ unbranched covering $\widehat{\rho}: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ which corresponds to

$$
1 \longrightarrow \widehat{\rho}\left(\pi_{1}(\widehat{R})\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(\widehat{T}) \longrightarrow(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2} \longrightarrow 1
$$

Set $R=\widehat{R} \backslash \widehat{\rho}^{-1}(0)$ and $\rho=\widehat{\rho} \mid R$. For any point $r \in R$, we take a simple curve $\widetilde{C}$ from 0 to $r$ such that $\widehat{\rho}(\widetilde{C})$ is a cut from 0 to $\widehat{\rho}(r)$. By using this cut, we construct a two-sheeted covering $S_{r}:=S_{\rho(r)} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$. Now $S_{r}$ is uniquely determined by $r \in R$ not depending on the cut $\widetilde{C}$. Hence we have a family whose fibers are $S_{r}$ over $R$ as a set.

Next we introduce a complex structure in this family.
2.2. Quasi-conformal Deformation. We fix a point $r_{0} \in R$ and a simple arc from 0 to $r_{0}$ in $R$. The image of this under $\rho$ is a curve $C$ on $\widehat{T}$ from 0 to $\rho\left(r_{0}\right)$. Cutting $\widehat{T}$ along $C$, we have a closed Riemann surface $S_{r_{0}}$ of genus two. We realize this two-sheeted branched covering $S_{r_{0}} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ in terms of Fuchsian groups as follows.

We choose a Fuchsian group $\dot{G} \subset P S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ which satisfies the following conditions:
(i) there exist two elliptic elements $\dot{g}_{1}$ and $\dot{g}_{2}$ in $\dot{G}$ such that each $g_{j}(j=1,2)$ has the fixed point $z_{j}$ in $\mathbb{H}$,
(ii) $\mathbb{H} / \dot{G}$ is biholomorphically equivalent to $\widehat{T}$,
(iii) The canonical projection $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} / \dot{G}$ sends $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ to 0 and $\rho\left(r_{0}\right)$ under a biholomorphical mapping from $\mathbb{H} / \dot{G}$ to $\widehat{T}$, respectively.
Then we can find an index 2 normal subgroup $G_{1}$ of $\dot{G}$ such that $\mathbb{H} / G_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} / \dot{G}$ realizes $S_{r_{0}} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$. From the definition of $\alpha_{2}, \widetilde{\rho}: \mathbb{C}_{z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{w}$ defined by $\widetilde{\rho}(z)=z$ is a lift of $\widehat{\rho}: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ to the universal coverings $\mathbb{C}_{z}$ of $\widehat{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{w}$ of $\widehat{T}$, and let $\widetilde{r_{0}}$ be a point $r_{0}=\alpha_{2}\left(\widetilde{r}_{0}\right)$.

Let $V: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{w}$ be the mapping with $V\left(z_{1}\right)=0$ which makes the next diagram commutative. Then $V$ becomes a two-sheeted branched covering with $V\left(\dot{G} z_{1}\right)=\Gamma_{1, \tau} 0$ and $V\left(\dot{G} z_{2}\right)=\Gamma_{1, \tau} \widetilde{\rho}\left(\widetilde{r}_{0}\right)$, where $\dot{G} z_{j}$ is the orbit under $\dot{G}$ of $z_{j}$, and $\Gamma_{1, \tau} \widetilde{\rho}\left(\widetilde{r}_{0}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{1, \tau} 0$ are the orbits under $\Gamma_{1, \tau}$ of $\widetilde{\rho}\left(\widetilde{r}_{0}\right)$ for $\widetilde{r}_{0} \in \mathbb{C}_{z}$ and 0 , respectively.


We construct for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{z}$, a quasi-conformal mapping $\omega_{z}: \mathbb{C}_{w} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{w}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\omega_{z}\left(\widetilde{\rho}\left(\widetilde{r}_{0}\right)\right)=\widetilde{\rho}(z)$,
(ii) $\omega_{z} \circ g \circ \omega_{z}^{-1}=g$ for all $g \in \Gamma_{1, \tau}$,

In order to construct such a quasi-conformal mapping $\omega_{z}$, we make the following observations:

First, let $\gamma(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$ be a path from $\widetilde{\rho}\left(\widetilde{r}_{0}\right)$ to $\widetilde{\rho}(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}_{w}$ which contains no points of $L(1, \tau)=\{m+n \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For each $t$, there exists a Dirichlet fundamental region $D_{t}$ for $\Gamma_{1, \tau}$ centered at $\gamma(t)$. Choose an Euclidean disk $B_{t}$ centered at $\gamma(t)$ sufficiently small that the closure $\bar{B}_{t}$ is contained in $D_{t}$ and has no points of $L(1, \tau)$. Moreover we take a finite covering of $\gamma$, say $B_{t_{1}}, \ldots, B_{t_{n+1}}$, such that $\gamma\left(t_{1}\right)=\widetilde{\rho}\left(\widetilde{r}_{0}\right)$ and $\gamma\left(t_{n+1}\right)=\widetilde{\rho}(z)$ and $\gamma\left(t_{j+1}\right) \in B_{t_{j+1}}$.

Next, we set

$$
\omega_{j}(\zeta)= \begin{cases}\frac{\zeta+\gamma\left(t_{j+1}\right)-2 \gamma\left(t_{j}\right)}{1+\frac{1}{r_{j}^{2}}\left(\gamma\left(t_{j+1}\right)-\gamma\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\left(\bar{\zeta}-\overline{\gamma\left(t_{j}\right)}\right)}+\gamma\left(t_{j}\right), & \text { on } B_{t_{j}} \\ \zeta, & \text { on } \overline{D_{t_{j}} \backslash B_{t_{j}}}\end{cases}
$$

where $r_{j}$ is the radius of $B_{t_{j}}$. Moreover put $\omega_{j}=g \circ \omega_{j} \circ g^{-1}$ on $g\left(D_{t_{j}}\right)$ for all $g \in \Gamma_{1, \tau}$.

A simple calculation shows that $\omega_{j}: \mathbb{C}_{w} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{w}$ is a quasi-conformal mapping with the Beltrami coefficient

$$
\tau_{j}(\zeta)= \begin{cases}-\frac{1}{r_{j}^{2}}\left(\gamma\left(t_{j+1}\right)-\gamma\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\left(\omega_{j}(\zeta)-\gamma\left(t_{j}\right)\right), & \text { on } \frac{B_{t_{j}}}{} \\ 0, & \text { on } \overline{D_{t_{j}} \backslash B_{t_{j}}}\end{cases}
$$

We remark that $\left|\gamma\left(t_{j+1}\right)-\gamma\left(t_{j}\right)\right|<r_{j}$ and $\left|\omega_{j}(\zeta)-\gamma\left(t_{j}\right)\right|<r_{j}$ imply $\left\|\tau_{j}\right\|_{\infty}<1$. Moreover since $\gamma\left(t_{n+1}\right)=\widetilde{\rho}(z), \tau_{j}$ depends holomorphically on $z$ in $B_{t_{j}}$.

Finally, we set $\omega_{z}=\omega_{n} \circ \omega_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \omega_{1}$. By the construction of each $\omega_{j}$, we see that $\omega_{z}$ satisfies the conditions (i), and (ii). Hence we have the desired quasi-conformal mapping $\omega_{z}$.
2.3. Construction of $\mathcal{D}$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}_{z}$, we put

$$
\mu_{z}(\zeta)=\tau_{z}(V(\zeta)) \frac{\overline{V^{\prime}(\zeta)}}{\frac{V^{\prime}(\zeta)}{}}
$$

then $\mu_{z}$ is the Beltrami coefficient for $\dot{G}$. We define $W_{\mu_{z}}$ as a unique quasi-conformal mapping of $\mathbb{H}$ which has the complex dilatation $\mu_{z}$ and leaves 0,1 , and $\infty$ fixed, respectively. Set

$$
\widehat{\mu}_{z}(\zeta)= \begin{cases}\mu_{z}(\zeta), & \zeta \in \mathbb{H}  \tag{2.1}\\ 0, & \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{H}\end{cases}
$$

Then there exists a unique quasi-conformal mapping $W^{\mu_{z}}$ of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ which has the complex dilatation $\widehat{\mu}_{z}$ and leaves 0,1 , and $\infty$ fixed, respectively. Now put $D\left(\mu_{z}\right)=W^{\mu_{z}}(\mathbb{H})$. Then we have the following commutative diagrams:

where $V_{z}=\omega_{z} \circ V \circ\left(W_{\mu_{z}}\right)^{-1}$ and $V^{z}=\omega_{z} \circ V \circ\left(W^{\mu_{z}}\right)^{-1}$ are branched coverings branched over the orbits $\Gamma_{1, \tau} w$ and $\Gamma_{1, \tau} 0$.

Since $\mu_{z}$ depends holomorphically on $z$, it is known that $W^{\mu_{z}}$ also depends holomorphically on $z$. Thus we set

$$
\mathcal{D}=\left\{(z, \zeta) \mid z \in \mathbb{H}, \zeta \in D\left(\mu_{z}\right)\right\} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{D}$ becomes a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, so called a Bergman domain.
2.4. Construction of $E$. Next we construct a subgroup $E$ of automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}$ which acts properly discontinuously without fixed points.

Let $H$ be the covering transformation group of a four punctured torus $R$, that is $R=\mathbb{H} / H$. Denote by $\bmod \left(G_{1}\right)$ the set of all equivalence classes $\langle\omega\rangle$ of quasi-conformal mapping $\omega: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ with $\omega G_{1} \omega^{-1}=$ $G_{1}$, where two quasi-conformal mappings $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are said to be equivalent if $\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a homomorphism $\delta$ : $H \rightarrow \bmod \left(G_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\mu_{h(z)}}=\alpha \circ W_{\mu_{z}} \circ \delta(h)^{-1} \quad(z \in \mathbb{H}, h \in H) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ is chosen so that $\alpha \circ W_{\mu_{z}} \circ \delta(h)^{-1}$ fixes each of 0,1 , and $\infty$.

It should be remarked that we have a homomorphism $\theta_{2}: H \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{1}\right)$ given by $\theta_{2}(h)(g)=\delta(h) \circ g \circ \delta(h)^{-1}$. By using this homomorphism, we define $E$ to be the semidirect product of $H$ and $G_{1}$. In order to define the action of $E$ on $\mathcal{D}$, we make the following observations:

First, we need the following result.

Proposition 2.1 (Bers [2], Lemma 3.1). Let $[\mu] \in T(G)$ and $\langle\omega\rangle \in$ $\bmod (G)$. Define a quasi-conformal mapping $W_{\nu}$ by the formula

$$
W_{\nu}=\alpha \circ W_{\mu} \circ \omega^{-1},
$$

where $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ such that $\alpha \circ W_{\mu} \circ \omega^{-1}$ fixes each of 0,1 , and $\infty$. Then the mapping $\zeta \mapsto \widehat{\zeta}$ given by

$$
\widehat{\zeta}=W^{\nu} \circ \omega \circ\left(W^{\mu}\right)^{-1}(\zeta)
$$

is a conformal bijection from $D(\mu)$ onto $D(\nu)$.
Moreover if $[\mu]$ varies holomorphically according to a parameter, so does $\widehat{\zeta}$ for a fixed value of $\zeta$.

By (2.2) and Theorem 2.1, the mapping

$$
\widehat{\zeta}=W^{\mu_{h(z)}} \circ \delta(h) \circ\left(W^{\mu_{z}}\right)^{-1}(\zeta)
$$

is a conformal bijection from $D\left(\mu_{z}\right)$ onto $D\left(\mu_{h(z)}\right)$. It follows from the second part of Theorem 2.1 that $\widehat{\zeta}$ depends holomorphically on $z$.

Thus we define the action of $E$ on $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(h, g_{1}\right)(z, \zeta) & =\left(h(z), W^{\mu_{h(z)}} \circ g_{1} \circ\left(W^{\mu_{h(z)}}\right)^{-1}(\widehat{\zeta})\right) \\
& =\left(h(z), W^{\mu_{h(z)}} \circ g_{1} \circ \delta(h) \circ\left(W^{\mu_{z}}\right)^{-1}(\zeta)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\left(h, g_{1}\right) \in H \ltimes G_{1}$. We can check this is a group action.

Let $F\left(G_{1}\right)$ be the Bers fiber space over the Teichmüller space $T\left(G_{1}\right)$ defined by $F\left(G_{1}\right)=\left\{\left(\left[\mu_{z}\right], \zeta\right) \mid\left[\mu_{z}\right] \in T\left(G_{1}\right), \zeta \in D\left(\mu_{z}\right)\right\}$. Every element $\langle\omega\rangle$ of $\bmod \left(G_{1}\right)$ acts on $F\left(G_{1}\right)$ by

$$
\left(\left[\mu_{z}\right], \zeta\right) \mapsto\left(\left[\nu_{z}\right], W^{\nu_{z}} \circ \omega \circ\left(W^{\mu_{z}}\right)^{-1}(\zeta)\right) .
$$

We set

$$
A=\left\{\left(z,\left(\left[\mu_{z}\right], \zeta\right)\right) \mid z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad\left(\left[\mu_{z}\right], \zeta\right) \in F\left(G_{1}\right)\right\} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{D}$ is identified with $A$ under the mapping

$$
(z, \zeta) \mapsto\left(z,\left(\left[\mu_{z}\right], \zeta\right)\right),
$$

and the action of $E$ on $A \cong \mathcal{D}$ can be written as

$$
\left(h, g_{1}\right)\left(z,\left(\left[\mu_{z}\right], \zeta\right)\right)=\left(h(z), g_{1} \circ \delta(h)\left(\left[\mu_{z}\right], \zeta\right)\right),
$$

where $g_{1} \circ \delta(h)$ is an element of $\bmod \left(G_{1}\right)$.
Theorem 2.1 (Bers [2], Theorem 7). If $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} T(G)<\infty$, then $\bmod (G)$ acts properly discontinuously on $F(G)$.

Hence $E$ acts properly discontinuously on $\mathcal{D}$ as $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} T\left(G_{1}\right)=3$. Moreover the action of $E$ on $\mathcal{D}$ is fixed point free since $H$ and $G_{1}$ are fixed point free.
2.5. Holomorphic Family $(M, \pi, R)$. The quotient space $\mathcal{D} / E$ becomes a 2-dimensional complex manifold. We set $M=\mathcal{D} / E$.

The group $\dot{E}=H \ltimes \dot{G}$ also acts on $\mathcal{D}$ and the quotient space $\mathcal{D} / \dot{E}$ is biholomorphically equivalent to $R \times \widehat{T}$. Therefore we have a twosheeted branched covering $\Pi: M \rightarrow R \times \widehat{T}$ branched over two graphs $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{\rho}$.

We define $\pi$ to be the composite $P_{R} \circ \Pi$ of the covering mapping $\Pi$ and the projection $P_{R}: R \times \widehat{T} \rightarrow R$, and $\beta$ to be $P_{\widehat{T}} \circ \Pi$, where $P_{\widehat{T}}: R \times \widehat{T} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$. Then the triple $(M, \pi, R)$ is a holomorphic family such that for any point $r \in R, \beta \mid S_{r}: S_{r}=\pi^{-1}(r) \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ is a two-sheeted branched covering.

## 3. Proof of Main Theorem

Let us recall $\operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T})$ is the set of all holomorphic mappings $g$ : $R \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ which extend to the mappings $\widehat{g}: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ and satisfy $\Gamma_{g} \cap \Gamma_{\rho}=\emptyset$ and $\Gamma_{g} \cap \Gamma_{0}=\emptyset$. Set $\tau_{1}=i, \tau_{2}=e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ and put $\widehat{T}_{j}=\mathbb{C}_{z} / \Gamma_{1, \tau_{j}}, j=1,2$.
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Main Theorem . The number $\sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T})$ satisfies the equality
(a) $\sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T})=4$, if $\widehat{T} \not \approx \widehat{T}_{1}, \widehat{T}_{2}$.

Moreover,
(b) $\# \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}\left(R, \widehat{T}_{j}\right)=12$ for $j=1,2$.

Since $\{\rho, 0\} \subset \Phi(\mathcal{S}) \subset \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T}) \cup\{\rho, 0\}$, we have the following:
Corollary 3.1. (a) $2 \leq \sharp \Phi(\mathcal{S}) \leq 6$, if $\widehat{T} \neq \widehat{T}_{1}, \widehat{T}_{2}$.
(b) $2 \leq \sharp \Phi(\mathcal{S}) \leq 14$, if $\widehat{T} \cong \widehat{T}_{1}$ or $\widehat{T} \cong \widehat{T}_{2}$.

Since $\sharp \mathcal{S}=2 \sharp \Phi(\mathcal{S})-2$, we can estimate $\sharp \mathcal{S}$ as

Corollary 3.2. The number $\sharp \mathcal{S}$ of holomorphic sections can be estimated as follows.
(a) $\sharp \mathcal{S}=2,4, \ldots, 8$, or 10 , if $\widehat{T} \not \approx \widehat{T}_{1}, \widehat{T}_{2}$.
(b) $\sharp \mathcal{S}=2,4, \ldots, 24$, or 26 , if $\widehat{T} \cong \widehat{T}_{1}$ or $\widehat{T} \cong \widehat{T}_{2}$.

### 3.1. Key Theorem.

Proposition 3.1. $\Phi(\mathcal{S}) \backslash\{0\} \subset \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {n.c. }}(R, \widehat{T})$.
Proof of Proposition 3.1. Assume there exists a constant mapping $g \in \Phi(\mathcal{S}) \backslash\{0\}$ which is written as $g(r)=c$, where $c$ is not equal to 0 . Since $\rho: R \rightarrow T$ is surjective, there exists a point $r_{0}$ such that $\rho\left(r_{0}\right)=c$, hence $\widehat{\rho}\left(r_{0}\right)=c$. Since $\widetilde{\rho}(z)=z$ is a lift of $\widehat{\rho}$, we can find $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}_{z} \backslash L(1, \tau)$ such that $\alpha_{2}\left(z_{0}\right)=r_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}=c \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For sufficiently small $\epsilon>0, \Delta\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}_{z}| | z-z_{0} \mid<\epsilon\right\}$ and $\Delta(c, \epsilon)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}_{w}| | w-c \mid<\epsilon\right\}$ can be taken as local charts at $r_{0} \in R$ and $c \in \widehat{T}$, respectively. Then the graph $\Gamma_{g}=\{(r, c) \mid r \in R\}$ in $R \times \widehat{T}$ can be locally written as

$$
w=c
$$

in $\Delta\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right) \times \Delta(c, \epsilon)$. Thus $M$ is locally represented as

$$
u^{2}=w-c
$$

in $\mathbb{C}_{u} \times \Delta\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right) \times \Delta(c, \epsilon)$ (see Wavrik [19], Theorem in Appendix). Take $\epsilon^{\prime}>0$ with $\epsilon^{\prime}<\epsilon$, and set $z=z_{0}+\epsilon^{\prime} e^{i \theta}$. By using (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{2} & =w-c \\
& =z_{0}+\epsilon^{\prime} e^{i \theta}-c \\
& =\epsilon^{\prime} e^{i \theta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\theta$ goes from 0 to $2 \pi, u=u(\theta)$ becomes two-valued which means that $s=s(\theta)$ is two-valued. We have a contradiction.

Theorem 3.1. For any $g \in \Phi(\mathcal{S}) \backslash\{\rho, 0\}$, the mapping $g$ has a holomorphic extension $\widehat{g}: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. First, we use the following theorem about the canonical extension of holomorphic families:

Theorem 3.2 (Imayoshi [6], Theorem 4 and Theorem 5). Let ( $N, \pi, \Delta-$ $\{0\})$ be a holomorphic family of compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g$ over the punctured disk. If the homotopical monodromy is of infinite order, then $(N, \pi, \Delta-\{0\})$ can be canonically completed in the holomorphic family $(\widehat{N}, \widehat{\pi}, \Delta)$ with a singular fiber over the origin, where
$\widehat{N}$ is a two-dimensional normal complex space. Moreover any holomorphic section s: $\Delta-\{0\} \rightarrow N$ has a unique holomorphic extension $\widehat{s}: \Delta \rightarrow \widehat{N}$.

To use this result, we need to show the following claim.
Claim 1. For any puncture $p$ of $R$, the homotopical monodromy $\mathcal{M}_{p}$ of $(M, \pi, R)$ around $p$ is of infinite order.

Proof. First, we consider the case where $p$ is 0 . Fix a point $r_{0}$ in a neighborhood of 0 in $R$ and fix $r_{0}$. When a point $r$ moves from $r_{0}$, and turns around 0 once, and comes back to $r_{0}$, the cut between 0 and $\rho\left(r_{0}\right)$ on $T$ as in Figure 3 also turns around 0 once. Thus the curve $\ell$ on $T$ as in Figure 3 changes to $\ell^{\prime}$. When the point $r$ moves as above, by the construction of the fiber $S_{r_{0}}$, the curve $\tilde{\ell}$ on $S_{r_{0}}$ as in Figure 4 changes to $\widetilde{\ell^{\prime}}$.

Hence the monodromy $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is the twice product of a negative Dehn twist about the simple closed curve $C_{1}$, where $C_{1}$ is a separating curve as in Figure 5. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is of infinite order.

Similarly, for another puncture $p$ of $R$ with $p \neq 0$, we see that monodromy $\mathcal{M}_{p}$ is the twice product of a negative Dehn twist about the simple closed curve $C_{2}$, where $C_{2}$ is a non-separating curve as in Figure 5 . Therefore $\mathcal{M}_{p}$ is of infinite order.
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By means of Theorem 3.2, we see that our family $(M, \pi, R)$ can be canonically completed in the degenerated family $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{\pi}, \widehat{R})$, where $\widehat{M}$ is a compact two dimensional normal complex space. Moreover every holomorphic section $s: R \rightarrow M$ has a unique holomorphic extension $\widehat{s}: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$. Let $\widehat{s}_{0}: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ be the holomorphic extension of the zero section $s_{0}$. Since $\widehat{R}$ is compact, two tori $\widehat{s}(\widehat{R})$ and $\widehat{s}_{0}(\widehat{R})$ intersects each other at most finitely many times on $\widehat{M}$. Then the set $S=g^{-1}(0)$ is a finite subset of $R$, hence the restriction of $g$ to $R \backslash S$ induces the holomorphic mapping $R \backslash S \rightarrow \widehat{T} \backslash\{0\}$ between hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. Now we recall a generalization of the "big" Picard Theorem:


Figure 4
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Theorem 3.3 (Royden [16]). Let $f$ be a holomorphic mapping of the punctured disk $\Delta^{*}$ into a hyperbolic Riemann surface $W$. Then either $f$ extends to a holomorphic mapping of the disk $\Delta$ into $W$ or else $W$ is contained in a Riemann surface $W^{*}=W \cup\{p\}$, so that $f$ extends to a holomorphic mapping of $\Delta$ into $W^{*}$.

From this result, the mapping $R \backslash S \rightarrow \widehat{T} \backslash\{0\}$ extends uniquely to a holomorphic mapping $\widehat{g}: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$.

Proposition 3.2. For any $g \in \Phi(\mathcal{S}) \backslash\{\rho, 0\}$, the mapping $g$ satisfies $\Gamma_{g} \cap \Gamma_{\rho}=\emptyset$ and $\Gamma_{g} \cap \Gamma_{0}=\emptyset$.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Every element $g$ in $\Phi(\mathcal{S}) \backslash\{\rho, 0\}$ is extended to a holomorphic mapping $\widehat{g}$ from $\widehat{R}$ to $\widehat{T}$ by Theorem 3.1. We remark that $\widehat{g}$ becomes an unbranched covering from $\widehat{R}$ onto $\widehat{T}$ by RiemannHurwitz formula. Let $\widetilde{g}: \mathbb{C}_{z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{w}$ be a lift of $\widehat{g}$ to the universal coverings of $\widehat{R}$ and $\widehat{T}$ which satisfies $\alpha_{1} \circ \widetilde{g}=\widehat{g} \circ \alpha_{2}$. Since $\widehat{g}$ is nonconstant, $\widetilde{g}$ must be an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}$, hence $\widetilde{g}$ is written as

$$
\tilde{g}(z)=A z+B,
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are complex numbers and $A \neq 0$. It should be remarked that $\tilde{g}$ is not unique, because we may replace $\widetilde{g}$ by $\gamma_{1} \circ \tilde{g} \circ \gamma_{2}$, where $\gamma_{1} \in \Gamma_{1, \tau}$ and $\gamma_{2} \in \Gamma_{2,2 \tau}$.


For three graphs $\Gamma_{g}, \Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{\rho}$ in $R \times \widehat{T}$, we consider the following two cases:

Case (1) $\Gamma_{g} \cap \Gamma_{0} \neq \emptyset$.
Case (2) $\Gamma_{g} \cap \Gamma_{\rho} \neq \emptyset$.


Figure 6. Case (1)

Case (1) In this case, there exists a point $r_{0} \in R$ such that $g\left(r_{0}\right)=$ 0 , hence $\widehat{g}\left(r_{0}\right)=0$. Then we can find $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}_{z} \backslash L(1, \tau)$ such that $\alpha_{2}\left(z_{0}\right)=r_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A z_{0}+B=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For sufficiently small $\epsilon>0, \Delta\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}_{z}| | z-z_{0} \mid<\epsilon\right\}$ and $\Delta(0, \epsilon)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}_{w}| | w \mid<\epsilon\right\}$ can be taken as local charts at $r_{0} \in R$ and $0 \in \widehat{T}$, respectively. Then the graph $\Gamma_{0}=\{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}$ in $R \times \widehat{T}$ can be locally written as

$$
w=0
$$

in $\Delta\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right) \times \Delta(0, \epsilon)$. Thus $M$ is locally represented as

$$
u^{2}=w
$$

in $\mathbb{C}_{u} \times \Delta\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right) \times \Delta(0, \epsilon)$. Take $\epsilon^{\prime}>0$ with $\epsilon^{\prime}<\epsilon$, and set $z=z_{0}+\epsilon^{\prime} e^{i \theta}$. By using (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{2} & =A z+B \\
& =A\left(z_{0}+\epsilon^{\prime} e^{i \theta}\right)+B \\
& =A \epsilon^{\prime} e^{i \theta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we have a contradiction.

Case (2) In this case, there exists a point $r_{0} \in R$ such that $g\left(r_{0}\right)=$ $\rho\left(r_{0}\right)$, hence $\widehat{g}\left(r_{0}\right)=\widehat{\rho}\left(r_{0}\right)$. Since $\widetilde{\rho}(z)=z$ is a lift of $\widehat{\rho}$, we can find $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}_{z} \backslash L(1, \tau)$ such that $\alpha_{2}\left(z_{0}\right)=r_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A z_{0}+B=z_{0} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For sufficiently small $\epsilon>0, \Delta\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right)$ and $\Delta\left(w_{0}, \epsilon\right)$ can be taken as local charts at $r_{0} \in R$ and $\rho\left(r_{0}\right) \in \widehat{T}$, respectively.

Then $\Gamma_{\rho}=\{(r, \rho(r)) \mid r \in R\}$ in $R \times \widehat{T}$ can be locally written as

$$
w=z
$$

in $\Delta\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right) \times \Delta\left(w_{0}, \epsilon\right)$. Thus $M$ is locally represented as

$$
u^{2}=w-z
$$

in $\mathbb{C}_{u} \times \Delta\left(w_{0}, \epsilon\right) \times \Delta\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right)$. Take $\epsilon^{\prime}>0$ with $\epsilon^{\prime}<\epsilon$, set $z=z_{0}+\epsilon^{\prime} e^{i \theta}$. By using (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{2} & =A z+B-z \\
& =A\left(z_{0}+\epsilon^{\prime} e^{i \theta}\right)+B-\left(z_{0}+\epsilon^{\prime} e^{i \theta}\right) \\
& =(A-1) \epsilon^{\prime} e^{i \theta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we have a contradiction. Thus we have the assertion.
3.2. Proof of Main Theorem. From now on, we assume $\tau$ is in the domain $F$ in $\mathbb{C}$ defined by the following four conditions: (i) $\operatorname{Im} \tau>0$ (ii) $-1 / 2 \leq \operatorname{Re} \tau<1 / 2$, (iii) $|\tau| \geq 1$, (iv) $\operatorname{Re} \tau \leq 0$ if $|\tau|=1$, since any flat torus is biholomorphically equivalent to $\mathbb{C} / \Gamma_{1, \tau}$ for some $\tau \in F$.

We recall

$$
L(1, \tau)=\{m+n \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}
$$

and call an element of $L(1, \tau)$ a lattice point, and set

$$
L(2,2 \tau)=\{2 m+2 n \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} .
$$

Every element $g$ of $\operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T})$ has a holomorphic extension $\widehat{g}: \widehat{R} \rightarrow$ $\widehat{T}$. A lift $\widetilde{g}$ be of $\widehat{g}$ is written as

$$
\tilde{g}(z)=A z+B
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are complex numbers and $A \neq 0$.
We need two lemmas.
Lemma 3.1. $A \neq 1$.
Proof of Lemma 3.1. Suppose $A=1$. If $B=0$ modulo $A \cdot \Gamma_{2,2 \tau}+$ $\Gamma_{1, \tau}=\Gamma_{1, \tau}$, then $\widetilde{g}$ is a lift of $\rho$, while $\rho$ is not an element of $\operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T})$, a contradiction. Hence $B$ is not equal to 0 modulo $\Gamma_{1, \tau}$. Put $z_{0}=-B$ then we have $\alpha_{2}\left(z_{0}\right) \in R$ and $g\left(\alpha_{2}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=0$, since $\alpha_{1} \circ \widetilde{g}=\widehat{g} \circ \alpha_{2}$. Therefore the graphs of $g$ and 0 in $R \times \widehat{T}$ intersects each other, which contradicts the assumption that $g$ is contained in $\operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \widehat{T})$.

From now on, we may assume that $A \neq 1$.
Lemma 3.2. $\widetilde{g}$ can be written as $\widetilde{g}(z)=A(z+\omega)$ where $\omega=0,1, \tau$ and $1+\tau$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Take the point $z_{0}=-B /(A-1)$. Then $\tilde{g}\left(z_{0}\right)=$ $z_{0}$. If $z_{0} \in \mathbf{C} \backslash \Gamma_{1, \tau}$, we see that $\Gamma_{g} \cap \Gamma_{\rho} \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. Hence $z_{0} \in \Gamma_{1, \tau}$. Then there exist integers $m, n$ such that $z_{0}=-B /(A-1)=$ $-m-n \tau$. The result follows.

To determine $A$, we may assume $\widetilde{g}(z)=A z$. Since $\widetilde{g}(L(2,2 \tau)) \subset$ $L(1, \tau)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 A & =p+q \tau  \tag{3.4}\\
2 A \tau & =u+v \tau \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p, q, u$, and $v$ are integers. The Euclidean areas of $\widehat{R}$ and $\widehat{T}$, and $\operatorname{deg}(\widehat{g}) \leq 4$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq p v-q u \leq 4 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|2 A|=|p+q \tau| \leq 2 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \tau^{2}+(p-v) \tau-u=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the assumption $\tau \in F$ implies that the discriminant is negative, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p+v)^{2}<4(p v-q u) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The root $\tau$ of (3.8) with $\operatorname{Im}(\tau)>0$ is given by

$$
\tau= \begin{cases}\frac{v-p+\sqrt{4(p v-q u)-(p+v)^{2}} i}{2 q}, & \text { if } q>0  \tag{3.10}\\ \frac{v-p-\sqrt{4(p v-q u)-(p+v)^{2}} i}{2 q}, & \text { if } q<0\end{cases}
$$

First by the assumption $\tau \in F$ and (3.7), we see that the possibilities of $p$ and $q$ are follows.
(i) If $q=0$, then $p= \pm 1, \pm 2$.
(ii) If $q=1$, then $p=0, \pm 1, \pm 2$.
(iii) If $q=2$, then $p=0, \pm 1, \pm 2$.

When $q=0$, from (3.8) and $\tau \in F$, we have $(p, q, u, v)=( \pm 1,0,0, \pm 1)$, $( \pm 2,0,0, \pm 2)$.

When $q \neq 0$, for each $(p, q)$, we get $v$ satisfying $-1 / 2 \leq \operatorname{Re}(\tau)<1 / 2$. Next for each ( $p, q, v$ ) we obtain $u$ with (3.6). Finally, finding $(p, q, u, v)$ in these $p, q, u, v$ such that $\tau$ represented in (3.10) is an element of $F$, we have the list of $p, q, u, v, \tau, 2 A$ and a fixed point of $\widetilde{g}$ in the following Table 1 and 2.

In these Tables, when some lift $\widetilde{g}$ has a fixed point which is not contained in $L(1, \tau)$, we see that $\Gamma_{g}$ intersects $\Gamma_{\rho}$, a contradiction.

Next when $(p, q, u, v)=(1,-1,1,2),(1,-1,2,2),(2,1,-1,1),(2,1,-2,1)$, we see that $\Gamma_{g}$ intersects $\Gamma_{0}$, a contradiction. Finally when $(p, q, u, v)=$ $(2,0,0,2), \tilde{g}$ is a lift of $\rho$, a contradiction. Consequently, we have the following
(a) $\sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \hat{T})=4$, if $\tau \neq i, e^{2 \pi i / 3}$.
(b) $\sharp \operatorname{Hol}_{\text {dis }}(R, \hat{T})=3 \times 4=12$, if $\tau=i$ or $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$.

Thefefore we have the assertion.

| $p$ | $q$ | $u$ | $v$ | $\tau$ | $2 A=p+q \tau$ | fixed point |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | $i$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | $(4+2 i) / 5$ |
| 0 | 1 | -2 | 0 | $\sqrt{2} i$ | $\sqrt{2} i$ | $(2+\sqrt{2} i) / 3$ |
| 0 | 1 | -3 | 0 | $\sqrt{3} i$ | $\sqrt{3} i$ | $(2+\sqrt{3} i) / 7$ |
| 0 | 1 | -4 | 0 | $2 i$ | $2 i$ | $(1+i) / 2$ |
| 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $(5+\sqrt{3} i) / 7$ |
| 0 | 1 | -2 | -1 | $(-1+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $(-1+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $(5+\sqrt{7} i) / 8$ |
| 0 | 1 | -3 | -1 | $(-1+\sqrt{11} i) / 2$ | $(-1+\sqrt{11} i) / 2$ | $(5+\sqrt{11} i) / 9$ |
| 0 | 1 | -4 | -1 | $(-1+\sqrt{15} i) / 2$ | $(-1+\sqrt{15} i) / 2$ | $(5+\sqrt{15} i) / 10$ |
| 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | i | -i | $2(1+2 i) / 5$ |
| 0 | -1 | 2 | 0 | $\sqrt{2} i$ | $-\sqrt{2} i$ | $2(1+\sqrt{2} i) / 3$ |
| 0 | -1 | 3 | 0 | $\sqrt{3} i$ | $-\sqrt{3} i$ | $2(3+2 \sqrt{3} i) / 7$ |
| 0 | -1 | 4 | 0 | $2 i$ | $-2 i$ | $(1+\sqrt{3} i) / 2$ |
| 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $-e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $(3-\sqrt{3} i) / 3$ |
| 0 | -1 | 2 | 1 | $(-1+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $(1-\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $(5+\sqrt{7} i) / 4$ |
| 0 | -1 | 3 | 1 | $(-1+\sqrt{11} i) / 2$ | $(1-\sqrt{11} i) / 2$ | $(3-\sqrt{11} i) / 5$ |
| 0 | -1 | 4 | 1 | $(-1+\sqrt{15} i) / 2$ | $(1-\sqrt{15} i) / 2$ | $(3-\sqrt{15} i) / 6$ |
| 0 | 2 | -2 | 0 | $\frac{i}{}$ | $2 i$ | $(1+i) / 2$ |
| 0 | 2 | -2 | -1 | $(-1+\sqrt{15} i) / 4$ | $(-1+\sqrt{15} i) / 2$ | $(5+\sqrt{15} i) / 10$ |
| 0 | 2 | -2 | -2 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $2 e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $\sqrt{3} i / 3$ |
| 0 | -2 | 2 | 0 | ${ }^{i}$ | $-2 i$ | $(1+i) / 2$ |
| 0 | -2 | 2 | 1 | $(-1+\sqrt{15} i) / 4$ | $(1-\sqrt{15 i}) / 2$ | $(3-\sqrt{15} i) / 6$ |
| 0 | -2 | 2 | 2 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $-2 e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | lattice point |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | any | 1 | lattice point |
| 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $1+e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $(3+\sqrt{3} i) / 3$ |
| 1 | 1 | -2 | 0 | $(-1+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $(1+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $(3+\sqrt{7} i) / 4$ |
| 1 | 1 | -3 | 0 | $(-1+\sqrt{11} i) / 2$ | $(1+\sqrt{11} i) / 2$ | $(5+\sqrt{11} i) / 5$ |
| 1 | 1 | -4 | 0 | $(-1+\sqrt{15} i) / 2$ | $(1+\sqrt{15} i) / 2$ | $(3+\sqrt{15} i) / 6$ |
| 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | $i$ | $1+i$ | lattice point |
| 1 | 1 | -2 | 1 | $\sqrt{2} i$ | $1+\sqrt{2} i$ | $(1+\sqrt{2} i) / 3$ |
| 1 | 1 | -3 | 1 | $\sqrt{3} i$ | $1+\sqrt{3} i$ | $(1+\sqrt{3} i) / 2$ |
| 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | $i$ | $1-i$ | lattice point |
| 1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | $\sqrt{2} i$ | $1-\sqrt{2} i$ | $2(1-\sqrt{2} i) / 3$ |
| 1 | -1 | 3 | 1 | $\sqrt{3} i$ | $1-\sqrt{3} i$ | $(1-\sqrt{3} i) / 2$ |
| 1 | -1 | 1 | 2 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $1-e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | lattice point |
| 1 | -1 | 2 | 2 | $(-1+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $(3-\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | lattice point |
| 1 | 2 | -2 | -1 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $1+2 e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $2(2+\sqrt{3} i) / 7$ |
| 1 | 2 | -2 | 0 | $(-1+\sqrt{15} i) / 4$ | $(1+\sqrt{15} i) / 2$ | $(3+\sqrt{15} i) / 6$ |

TABLE 1. $p=0,1$

| $p$ | $q$ | $u$ | $v$ | $\tau$ | $2 A$ | fixed point |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | any | -1 | $2(1+\tau) / 3$ |
| -1 | 1 | -1 | -2 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $-1+e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $(7+\sqrt{3} i) / 13$ |
| -1 | 1 | -2 | -2 | $(-1+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $(-3+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $(7+\sqrt{7} i) / 14$ |
| -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | $i$ | $-1+i$ | $(3+i) / 5$ |
| -1 | 1 | -2 | -1 | $\sqrt{2} i$ | $-1+\sqrt{2} i$ | $2(3+\sqrt{2} i) / 11$ |
| -1 | 1 | -3 | -1 | $\sqrt{3} i$ | $-1+\sqrt{3} i$ | $(3+\sqrt{3} i) / 6$ |
| -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | $i$ | $-1-i$ | $2(2+i) / 5$ |
| -1 | -1 | 2 | -1 | $\sqrt{2} i$ | $-1-\sqrt{2} i$ | $2(2+3 \sqrt{2} i) / 11$ |
| -1 | -1 | 3 | -1 | $\sqrt{3} i$ | $-1-\sqrt{3} i$ | $(1+\sqrt{3} i) / 2$ |
| -1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $-1-e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $(5-\sqrt{3} i) / 7$ |
| -1 | -1 | 2 | 0 | $(-1+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $-(1+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $(5-\sqrt{7} i) / 8$ |
| -1 | -1 | 3 | 0 | $(-1+\sqrt{11} i) / 2$ | $-(1+\sqrt{11} i) / 2$ | $(5-\sqrt{11} i) / 9$ |
| -1 | -1 | 4 | 0 | $(-1+\sqrt{15} i) / 2$ | $-(1+\sqrt{15} i) / 2$ | $(5-\sqrt{15} i) / 10$ |
| -1 | -2 | 2 | 0 | $(-1+\sqrt{15} i) / 4$ | $-(1+\sqrt{15} i) / 2$ | $(5-\sqrt{15} i) / 10$ |
| -1 | -2 | 2 | 1 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $-1-2 e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $2(2-\sqrt{3} i) / 7$ |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | any | 2 | lattice point |
| 2 | 1 | -1 | 1 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $2+e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | lattice point |
| 2 | 1 | -2 | 1 | $(-1+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $(3+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | lattice point |
| 2 | 2 | -2 | 0 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $2+2 e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | lattice point |
| -2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | any | -2 | $1 / 2$ |
| -2 | -1 | 1 | -1 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $-2-e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $(7-\sqrt{3} i) / 13$ |
| -2 | -1 | 2 | -1 | $(-1+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $-(3+\sqrt{7} i) / 2$ | $(7-\sqrt{7} i) / 14$ |
| -2 | -2 | 2 | 0 | $e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $-2-2 e^{2 \pi i / 3}$ | $(3+\sqrt{3} i) / 6$ |

TABLE 2. $p=-1, \pm 2$
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