A nondegeneracy result for least energy solutions to a biharmonic problem with nearly critical exponent

Tomohiko Sato Computer Center Gakushuin University 1-5-1 Mejiro, Toshima-ku Tokyo, 171-8585, Japan E-mail: tsato@gakushuin.ac.jp and Futoshi Takahashi Department of Mathematics Graduate School of Science Osaka City University 3-3-138 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku Osaka, 558-8585, Japan Tel: (+81)(0)6-6605-2508 E-mail: futoshi@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

Dedicated to professor Toshitaka Nagai on the occasion of his sixties birthday

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B33, 35J35, 35J40. Key words: nondegeneracy, critical exponent, least energy solution

Abstract

Consider the problem $\Delta^2 u = c_0 K(x) u^{p_{\varepsilon}}$, u > 0 in Ω , $u = \Delta u = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N (N \ge 5)$, $c_0 = (N-4)(N-2)N(N+2)$, p = (N+4)/(N-4), $p_{\varepsilon} = p - \varepsilon$ and K is a smooth positive function on $\overline{\Omega}$.

Under some assumptions on the coefficient function K, which include the nondegeneracy of its unique maximum point as a critical point of Hess K, we prove that least energy solutions of the problem are nondegenerate for $\varepsilon > 0$ small.

1 Introduction

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = c_0 K(x) u^{p_{\varepsilon}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 5)$ is a smooth bounded domain, $c_0 = (N-4)(N-2)N(N+2)$, $p_{\varepsilon} = p - \varepsilon$, p = (N+4)/(N-4) is the critical Sobolev exponent with respect to the Sobolev embedding $H^2 \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\Omega)$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter. Here, K is a positive function in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$.

We put an assumption on the coefficient function K:

(K): $K \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}), 0 < K(x) \leq 1, K^{-1}(\max_{\overline{\Omega}} K) = \{x_0\} \subset \Omega$ with $K(x_0) = 1$, and x_0 is a nondegenerate critical point of K.

In the following, as solutions of (1.1) we consider only least energy solutions u_{ε} such that

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} K(x) |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p_{\varepsilon}+1} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p_{\varepsilon}+1}}} = \inf_{u \in H^2 \cap H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} K(x) |u|^{p_{\varepsilon}+1} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p_{\varepsilon}+1}}}.$$

We easily check that least energy solutions blow up in the sense that $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = u_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon}) \to +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and that the maximum point x_{ε} of u_{ε} converges to

a maximum point of K in $\overline{\Omega}$. Therefore we have $x_{\varepsilon} \to x_0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, here by the assumption (K), x_0 is the unique interior maximum point of K.

In this note, we prove the nondegeneracy of least energy solutions to (1.1) when $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, under the assumption (K). Here as usual, the nondegeneracy of u_{ε} for small ε means that the problem

$$\begin{cases}
\Delta^2 v_{\varepsilon} = c_0 p_{\varepsilon} K(x) u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}-1} v_{\varepsilon} & \text{in } \Omega, \\
v_{\varepsilon} = \Delta v_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega
\end{cases}$$
(1.2)

admits no solution except for the trivial one for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

Theorem 1.1 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 5)$ be a smooth bounded domain. Under the assumption (K), least energy solution u_{ε} to (1.1) is nondegenerate for $\varepsilon > 0$ small.

The precise asymptotic behavior of least energy solutions as $\varepsilon \to 0$ when $K \not\equiv 1$ was obtained in [6] under the assumption (K). Using this result, we prove Theorem 1.1 along the line of [7] and [8], the original idea of which comes from [4].

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some facts which are needed in the sequel. Let G = G(x, z) denote the Green function of Δ^2 under the Navier boundary condition:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Delta^2 G(\cdot,z) &= \delta_z & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ G(\cdot,z) &= \Delta G(\cdot,z) = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

We decompose G as $G(x, z) = \Gamma(x, z) - H(x, z)$, where $\Gamma(x, z)$ is the fundamental solution of Δ^2 :

$$\Gamma(x,z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(N-4)(N-2)\sigma_N} |x-z|^{4-N}, & N \ge 5, \\ \frac{1}{\sigma_4} \log |x-z|^{-1}, & N = 4, \end{cases}$$

where σ_N is the volume of the (N-1) dimensional unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N and H(x, z) is the regular part of the Green function. Finally, let R(z) = H(z, z) denote the Robin function of the Green function of Δ^2 with the Navier

boundary condition. By the maximum principle, we have R > 0 on Ω and $R(z) \to +\infty$ as z tends to the boundary of Ω . In the following, we set $\overline{G} = -\Delta G$. Then \overline{G} is the Green function of $-\Delta$ under the Dirichlet boundary condition, and satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta G = \overline{G}, \ -\Delta \overline{G} = \delta_z & \text{in } \Omega, \\ G > 0, \ \overline{G} > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ G = \overline{G} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.1 For any $z \in \Omega$, there holds

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} ((x-z) \cdot \nu_x) \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu_x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \overline{G}}{\partial \nu_x}\right) (x,z) ds_x = (N-4)R(z), \qquad (2.1)$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu_x}(x,z) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu_x}(x,z) \nu_i(x) ds_x = \frac{\partial R}{\partial z_i}(z), \quad (i = 1, \cdots, N),$$
(2.2)

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial \overline{G}}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu_x} \right) (x, z) ds_x + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \left(\frac{\partial \overline{G}}{\partial \nu_x} \right) (x, z) ds_x$$

$$= \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} (z), \quad (i, j = 1, \cdots, N). \tag{2.3}$$

Here ν_x is the outer unit normal at $x \in \partial \Omega$.

Proof. See [3]:Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3. Note that our sign convention is different from that of [3]. By differentiating (2.2) with respect to z_j , noting that $\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu_x}(x,z)\right)\nu_i(x) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}(x,z), \left(\frac{\partial \overline{G}}{\partial \nu_x}(x,z)\right)\nu_i(x) = \frac{\partial \overline{G}}{\partial x_i}(x,z)$ on $\partial\Omega$, we see that (2.3) holds.

Lemma 2.2 Let u_{ε} be a solution to (1.1) and v_{ε} be a solution to (1.2). Denote $\overline{u}_{\varepsilon} = -\Delta u_{\varepsilon}$ and $\overline{v}_{\varepsilon} = -\Delta v_{\varepsilon}$. Then the following identities hold true:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} ((x-z) \cdot \nu_x) \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \overline{v}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_x} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_x} \right) \right\} ds_x$$
$$= c_0 \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon} (x-z) \cdot \nabla K(x) dx \tag{2.4}$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_x} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \overline{v}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_x} \right) \right\} ds_x = c_0 \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i} \right) u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon} dx \quad (2.5)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$.

Proof. For smooth f, g, we have the formula

$$\int_{\Omega} \left((\Delta^2 f)g - (\Delta^2 g)f \right) dx$$

=
$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial\Delta f}{\partial\nu_x} \right) g - \left(\frac{\partial\Delta g}{\partial\nu_x} \right) f ds_x + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\nu_x} \right) \Delta g - \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial\nu_x} \right) \Delta f ds_x. \quad (2.6)$$

Set $w_{\varepsilon}(x) = (x-z) \cdot \nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x) + \alpha_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}(x)$ where $\alpha_{\varepsilon} = \frac{4}{p_{\varepsilon}-1}$. Direct computation yields that

$$\Delta^2 w_{\varepsilon} = (\alpha_{\varepsilon} + 4)c_0 K(x) u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} + c_0 p_{\varepsilon} K(x) u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}(x - z) \cdot \nabla u_{\varepsilon} + c_0 u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}}(x - z) \cdot \nabla K(x).$$

Since v_{ε} satisfies $\Delta^2 v_{\varepsilon} = c_0 p_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}-1} v_{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$(\Delta^2 w_{\varepsilon})v_{\varepsilon} - (\Delta^2 v_{\varepsilon})w_{\varepsilon} = (\alpha_{\varepsilon} + 4 - p_{\varepsilon}\alpha_{\varepsilon})c_0 u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}}v_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Integrating this identity on Ω with the formula (2.6), and noting that

$$w_{\varepsilon}(x) = (x-z) \cdot \nu_x(\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_x}), \quad \Delta w_{\varepsilon}(x) = (x-z) \cdot \nu_x(\frac{\partial \Delta u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_x})$$

for $x \in \partial \Omega$, we have (2.4).

On the other hand, differentiating the equation in (1.1) with respect to x_i , we have

$$\Delta^2 \left(\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right) = c_0 p_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon} - 1} \left(\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Multiplying this by v_{ε} , and the equation of v_{ε} by $\left(\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i}\right)$ and subtracting, we obtain

$$\left(\Delta^2 v_{\varepsilon}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i}\right) - \left(\Delta^2 \left(\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i}\right)\right) v_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Finally, integration by parts formula (2.6) yields (2.5).

Next is the asymptotic result by [6]. In what follows, we use a symbol $\|\cdot\|$ to denote the L^{∞} norm of functions.

Theorem 2.3 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 5$ be a smooth bounded domain. Let u_{ε} be a least energy solution to $(P_{\varepsilon,K})$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $x_{\varepsilon} \in \Omega$ be a point such that $u_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon}) = ||u_{\varepsilon}||$. Assume (K). Then after passing to a subsequence, the following estimate holds true: There exists a constant C > 0 independent of ε such that for any $R_{\varepsilon} \to \infty$ with $r_{\varepsilon} = R_{\varepsilon} ||u_{\varepsilon}||^{-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}} \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{\varepsilon}(x) &\leq C \frac{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|}{\left(1+\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{4}{N-4}}|x-x_{\varepsilon}|^{2}\right)^{\frac{N-4}{2}}}, \quad for \ |x-x_{\varepsilon}| \leq r_{\varepsilon}, \\
 u_{\varepsilon}(x) &\leq \frac{C}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|} \frac{1}{|x-x_{\varepsilon}|^{N-4}}, \quad for \ \{|x-x_{\varepsilon}| > r_{\varepsilon}\} \cap \Omega.
\end{aligned}$$
(2.7)

Furthermore, as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

(1)
$$\begin{cases} |x_{\varepsilon} - x_{0}| = O(||u_{\varepsilon}||^{-2}) & N = 5, \\ |x_{\varepsilon} - x_{0}| = o(||u_{\varepsilon}||^{-\frac{2}{N-4}}) & N \ge 6, \end{cases}$$
 (2.8)

$$(2) \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\varepsilon} \to 1, \tag{2.9}$$

$$(3) \|u_{\varepsilon}\|u_{\varepsilon}(x) \to 2(N-4)(N-2)\sigma_N G(x,x_0) \quad in \ C^3_{loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{x_0\}), \quad (2.10)$$

(4)
$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{2} \to \frac{1}{21} \pi R(x_{0}) & N = 5, \\ \varepsilon \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{2} \to -\frac{1}{4} \Delta K(x_{0}) + 480 \pi^{3} R(x_{0}) & N = 6, \\ \varepsilon \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{4}{N-4}} \to -\frac{2}{(N-2)(N-4)} \Delta K(x_{0}) & N \ge 7. \end{cases}$$
 (2.11)

Now, consider the scaled function

$$\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(y) := \frac{1}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|} u_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{y}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}}} + x_{\varepsilon} \right), \quad y \in \Omega_{\varepsilon} := \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}} (\Omega - \varepsilon).$$
(2.12)

 \tilde{u}_{ε} satisfies $0 < \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} \leq 1, \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(0) = 1$, and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} = c_0 K (\frac{y}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}}} + x_{\varepsilon}) \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} & \text{ in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \\ \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} = \Delta \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}. \end{cases}$$

Since $||u_{\varepsilon}|| \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and x_{ε} does not approach to $\partial\Omega$, we see $\Omega_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}^N$. By standard elliptic estimates, we have a subsequence denoted also by \tilde{u}_{ε} that

 $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} \to U$ compact uniformly in \mathbb{R}^N (2.13)

as $\varepsilon \to 0$ for some function U. Passing to the limit, we obtain that U is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 U = c_0 U^p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ 0 < U \leq 1, \ U(0) = 1, \\ \lim_{|y| \to \infty} U(y) = 0. \end{cases}$$

According to the uniqueness theorem by Chang Shou Lin [5], we obtain

$$U(y) = \left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{\frac{N-4}{2}}.$$
(2.14)

In terms of \tilde{u}_{ε} in (2.12), the estimate (2.7) reads

$$\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(y) \leq \begin{cases} CU(y) & \text{for } |y| \leq R_{\varepsilon}, \\ C\frac{1}{|y|^{N-4}} & \text{for } \{|y| > R_{\varepsilon}\} \cap \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \end{cases}$$
(2.15)

where $R_{\varepsilon} \to \infty$ is any sequence as in the above.

Here, we recall a theorem by Bartsch, Weth and Willem [1].

Lemma 2.4 Let v_0 be a solution to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 v_0 = c_0 p U^{p-1} v_0 \quad in \ \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta v_0|^2 dy < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then there exist a_j $(j = 1, 2, \dots, N), b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that v_0 can be written as

$$v_0 = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \frac{y_j}{(1+|y|^2)^{(N-2)/2}} + b \frac{1-|y|^2}{(1+|y|^2)^{(N-2)/2}}.$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1.

We argue by contradiction. We assume there exists a non-trivial solution v_{ε} to (1.2) satisfying $||v_{\varepsilon}|| = ||u_{\varepsilon}||$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Consider the scaled function

$$\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y) = \frac{1}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|} v_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{y}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}}} + x_{\varepsilon} \right), \quad y \in \Omega_{\varepsilon} = \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}} (\Omega - x_{\varepsilon}).$$
(3.1)

We see $0 < \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} \leq 1$ and \tilde{v}_{ε} satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^{2} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} = c_{0} p_{\varepsilon} K (\frac{y}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}}} + x_{\varepsilon}) \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}-1} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \\ \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} = \Delta \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \\ \|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} = 1. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.2)$$

By $\|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} = 1$, elliptic estimate implies that

$$\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} \to v_0 \quad \text{compact uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^N$$
(3.3)

for some v_0 and v_0 satisfies

$$\Delta^2 v_0 = c_0 p U^{p-1} v_0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Also by arguing as in [7], we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\Delta \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}|^2 dy \le C \tag{3.4}$$

for some C > 0 independent of $\varepsilon > 0$ small. By (3.4) and Fatou's lemma, we also have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta v_0|^2 dy \le C.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$v_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \frac{y_j}{(1+|y|^2)^{(N-2)/2}} + b \frac{1-|y|^2}{(1+|y|^2)^{(N-2)/2}}.$$
 (3.5)

In the following, we divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1. b = 0.

Step 2.
$$a_j = 0, j = 1, \dots, N$$

Step 3. $v_0 = 0$ leads to a contradiction.

First, by using the Kelvin transformation and a local supremum estimate for weak solutions to a linear biharmonic equation by Caristi and Mitidieri [2], we can obtain the pointwise estimate for the scaled function \tilde{v}_{ε} , just as in [7] Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.1 Let \tilde{v}_{ε} be a solution of (3.2). Then we have the estimate

$$|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y)| \le CU(y), \quad \forall y \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$$
(3.6)

for some C > 0.

Also by Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.3 (2.7), we have the following convergence result. For a proof, see Lemma 3.2 in [7].

Lemma 3.2 Let $\omega \subset \Omega$ be any neighborhood of $\partial \Omega$ not containing x_0 . Then we have

$$||u_{\varepsilon}||v_{\varepsilon} \to -2(N-2)(N-4)\sigma_N bG(\cdot, x_0) \quad in \ C^3(\omega).$$
(3.7)

Proof of Step 1. Here, we prove only the case $N \ge 7$. Proof of the cases N = 5 and N = 6 will be done by a similar argument; see [8] for the second order $-\Delta$ case.

Putting $z = x_0$ in (2.4) and multiplying $||u_{\varepsilon}||^{4/(N-4)}$, we have

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{4}{N-4}-2} \int_{\partial\Omega} ((x-x_{0})\cdot\nu_{x}) \left(\frac{\partial\|u_{\varepsilon}\|u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu_{x}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial\|u_{\varepsilon}\|\overline{v}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu_{x}}\right) ds_{x} + \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{4}{N-4}-2} \int_{\partial\Omega} ((x-x_{0})\cdot\nu_{x}) \left(\frac{\partial\|u_{\varepsilon}\|\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu_{x}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial\|u_{\varepsilon}\|v_{\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu_{x}}\right) ds_{x} = \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{4}{N-4}} c_{0} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon}(x-z)\cdot\nabla K(x) dx.$$
(3.8)

As $\frac{4}{N-4} < 2$ if $N \ge 7$, LHS of (3.8) converges to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$. On the other hand, by Taylor's formula and the change of variables, we write

(RHS) of (3.8) =:
$$C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + C_4$$

where, putting $b_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x_0)$,

$$\begin{split} C_1 &= c_0 \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{4}{N-4} + p_{\varepsilon} + 1 - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}{4})N - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}{2})} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y) \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} b_{ij} y_i y_j dy, \\ C_2 &= 2c_0 \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{4}{N-4} + p_{\varepsilon} + 1 - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}{4})N - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}{4})} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y) \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} b_{ij} y_i (x_{\varepsilon j} - x_{0j}) dy, \\ C_3 &= c_0 \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{4}{N-4} + p_{\varepsilon} + 1 - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}{4})N} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y) \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} b_{ij} (x_{\varepsilon i} - x_{0i}) (x_{\varepsilon j} - x_{0j}) dy, \\ C_4 &= c_0 \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{4}{N-4} + p_{\varepsilon} + 1 - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}{4})N} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y) \left(O \left|\frac{y}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}{4}}} + x_{\varepsilon} - x_0\right|^3\right) dy. \end{split}$$

By (2.15), (3.6), (2.9), (2.8) and the dominated convergence theorem, we see

$$\begin{split} C_{2} &= O(\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{2}{N-4} + \frac{N-3}{4}\varepsilon}) \times O\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p}v_{0}(y)|y|dy + o(1)\right) \times o(\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{-\frac{2}{N-4}}) = o(1), \\ C_{3} &= O(\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{4}{N-4} + \frac{N-4}{4}\varepsilon}) \times O\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p}v_{0}(y)dy + o(1)\right) \times o(\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{-\frac{4}{N-4}}) = o(1), \\ C_{4} &= O(\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{4}{N-4} + \frac{N-4}{4}\varepsilon}) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y) \left(O\left(\left|\frac{y}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{2}}}\right|^{3}\right) + O(|x_{\varepsilon} - x_{0}|^{3})\right) \\ &= O(\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{4}{N-4}}) \times O(\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{-\frac{6}{N-4}}) \times O\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p}v_{0}(y)(|y|^{3} + 1)dy + o(1)\right) \\ &= O(\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{-\frac{2}{N-4}}) \end{split}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. As for C_1 , we see

$$C_1 = c_0 \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{(\frac{N-2}{4})\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y) \sum_{i,j=1}^N b_{ij} y_i y_j dy$$
$$\to c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^p(y) v_0(y) \sum_{i,j=1}^N b_{ij} y_i y_j dy = \frac{c_0}{N} b \Delta K(x_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^p(y) \frac{1 - |y|^2}{(1 + |y|^2)^{N/2}} |y|^2 dy.$$

Thus letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (3.8), we have

$$0 = \Delta K(x_0) \times b.$$

Hence we obtain b = 0, because our nondegeneracy assumption of x_0 assures that $\Delta K(x_0) < 0$ strictly.

Proof of Step 2.

In this step, we prove $a_j = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ in (3.5) by using the next lemma.

Lemma 3.3 Assume b = 0 and $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \neq 0$ in (3.5). Then we have

$$\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{\frac{N-2}{N-4}}v_{\varepsilon} \to 2(N-2)\sigma_{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}a_{j}\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial z_{j}}(x,z)\right)\Big|_{z=x_{0}}$$

in $C^3_{loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{x_0\})$.

Proof. Since $-\Delta \overline{v}_{\varepsilon} = c_0 p_{\varepsilon} K(x) u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}-1} v_{\varepsilon}$ in Ω , $\overline{v}_{\varepsilon} = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, the Green representation formula implies that

$$\overline{v}_{\varepsilon}(x) = c_0 p_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \overline{G}(x, z) K(z) u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}(z) v_{\varepsilon}(z) dz$$
(3.9)

for any $x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{x_0\}$, here $\overline{G}(x, z) = -\Delta_x G(x, z)$ is the Green function of $-\Delta$ under the Dirichlet boundary condition. By a change of variables, we see

$$c_0 p_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \overline{G}(x,z) K(z) u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}-1}(z) v_{\varepsilon}(z) dz$$

= $c_0 p_{\varepsilon} ||u_{\varepsilon}||^{p_{\varepsilon}-(\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4})N} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \overline{G}_{\varepsilon}(x,y) K_{\varepsilon}(y) \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}-1} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y) dy$

where $\overline{G}_{\varepsilon}(x,y) = \overline{G}(x, \frac{y}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}}} + x_{\varepsilon})$ and $K_{\varepsilon}(y) = K(\frac{y}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}}} + x_{\varepsilon})$. By (2.13) and (3.3), we obtain

$$K_{\varepsilon}(y)\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}-1}\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y) \to \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\frac{-1}{(N+4)}U^p(y)\right)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^N .

Now, let us consider the following linear first order PDE

$$\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \frac{\partial w_\varepsilon}{\partial y_j} = \tilde{u}_\varepsilon^{p_\varepsilon - 1} \tilde{v}_\varepsilon(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

with the initial condition $w_{\varepsilon}|_{\Gamma_a} = \frac{-1}{(N+4)} U^p(y)$, where $\Gamma_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N | x \cdot a = 0\}$. Here, the right hand side is assumed to be 0 outside of Ω_{ε} . By the unique solvability, we have the solution w_{ε} of this problem with the estimate $w_{\varepsilon}(y) = O(|y|^{-(N+3)})$ as $|y| \to \infty$, since $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}-1} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y) = O(|y|^{-(N+4)})$ by (2.15) and (3.6). Also we have

$$w_{\varepsilon} \to \frac{-1}{(N+4)} U^p$$
 uniformly on compact subsets on \mathbb{R}^N

and

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} w_{\varepsilon}(y) dy \to \frac{-1}{(N+4)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^p dy = \left(\frac{-1}{N+4}\right) \left(\frac{2\sigma_N}{N(N+2)}\right)$$

by the dominated convergence theorem. Using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{split} \overline{v}_{\varepsilon}(x) &= c_0 p_{\varepsilon} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{p_{\varepsilon} - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}{4})N} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \overline{G}_{\varepsilon}(x, y) K_{\varepsilon}(y) \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \frac{\partial w_{\varepsilon}}{\partial y_j} dy \\ &= -c_0 p_{\varepsilon} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{p_{\varepsilon} - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}{4})N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left\{ \overline{G}_{\varepsilon}(x, y) K_{\varepsilon}(y) \right\} w_{\varepsilon}(y) dy \\ &= -c_0 p_{\varepsilon} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{p_{\varepsilon} - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}{4})N - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}{4})} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \left\{ \overline{G}(x, z) K(z) \right\} \Big|_{z = \frac{y}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon} - 1}{4}} + x_{\varepsilon}}} w_{\varepsilon}(y) dy \end{split}$$

Note that $p_{\varepsilon} - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4})N - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}) = -(\frac{N-2}{N-4}) + \varepsilon(\frac{N-3}{4})$. Now, we see

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \left\{ \overline{G}(x,z)K(z) \right\} \Big|_{z=\frac{y}{\|u_\varepsilon\|} \frac{p_\varepsilon - 1}{4} + x_\varepsilon} \\ \rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial \overline{G}}{\partial z_j}(x,x_0) \right) K(x_0) + \overline{G}(x,x_0) \left(\frac{\partial K}{\partial z_j}(x_0) \right) \\ = \frac{\partial \overline{G}}{\partial z_j}(x,x_0)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^N as $\varepsilon \to 0$, since x_0 is a critical point of K with $K(x_0) = 1$. Also we note that $\frac{N}{N-2} + p_{\varepsilon} - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{2})N - (\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{2}) = (\frac{N-1}{2})\varepsilon$. Therefore, we have the convergence

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{N-2}{N-4}}\overline{v}_{\varepsilon}(x) \to &-c_0 p\left(\frac{-1}{N+4}\right) \left(\frac{2\sigma_N}{N(N+2)}\right) \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \left(\frac{\partial \overline{G}}{\partial z_j}(x,z)\right)\Big|_{z=x_0} \\ &= 2(N-2)\sigma_N \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \left(\frac{\partial \overline{G}}{\partial z_j}(x,z)\right)\Big|_{z=x_0} \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{x_0\}$. Elliptic estimates implies this convergence holds true in $C^1_{loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{x_0\})$. This proves Lemma.

Now, assume the contrary that $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \neq 0$. We multiply both

sides of (2.5) in Lemma 2.2 by $\|u_\varepsilon\|^{(N-2)/(N-4)}\times\|u_\varepsilon\|^{-1}$ to get

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{-2} \left[\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial \|u_{\varepsilon}\| \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{N-2}{N-4}} v_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{x}} \right) ds_{x} + \left(\frac{\partial \|u_{\varepsilon}\| u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{N-2}{N-4}} \overline{v}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{x}} \right) ds_{x} \right]$$
$$= \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{-1+\frac{N-2}{N-4}} c_{0} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_{i}} \right) u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon} dx \tag{3.10}$$

As $\varepsilon \to 0$, we see that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial \|u_{\varepsilon}\|\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{N-2}{N-4}} v_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{x}} \right) ds_{x} + \left(\frac{\partial \|u_{\varepsilon}\| u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{N-2}{N-4}} \overline{v}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{x}} \right) ds_{x}$$

tends to

$$4(N-4)(N-2)^{2}\sigma_{N}^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}a_{j}\times$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial\overline{G}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_{x}}\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial z_{j}}\right)(x,x_{0})+\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_{i}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_{x}}\left(\frac{\partial\overline{G}}{\partial z_{j}}\right)(x,x_{0})\right\}ds_{x}$$

$$=4(N-4)(N-2)^{2}\sigma_{N}^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}a_{j}\frac{\partial^{2}R}{\partial z_{i}\partial z_{j}}(z)\Big|_{z=x_{0}},$$

here we have used Theorem 2.3 (2.10), Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 2.1 (2.3). Thus we have (LHS) of (3.10) tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

On the other hand, again we solve the linear PDE

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \frac{\partial w_{\varepsilon}}{\partial y_j} = \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^N$$
(3.11)

with the initial condition $w_{\varepsilon}|_{\Gamma_a} = \frac{-1}{2N}U^{p+1}(y)$, where $\Gamma_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N | x \cdot a = 0\}$. Here as before, the RHS of (3.11) is understood as 0 outside of Ω_{ε} . The solution w_{ε} satisfies the estimate $w_{\varepsilon}(y) = O(|y|^{-2N+1})$ as $|y| \to \infty$, since $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y) = O(U^{p_{\varepsilon}+1}(y)) = O(|y|^{-2N})$ by (2.15) and (3.6). As before, we have

$$w_{\varepsilon} \to \frac{-1}{2N} U^{p+1}$$
 uniformly on compact subsets on \mathbb{R}^N

and

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} w_{\varepsilon}(y) dy \to \frac{-1}{2N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{p+1} dy = \frac{-1}{2N} \sigma_N C_N$$

by the dominated convergence theorem, where $C_N = \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^N} dr = \frac{\Gamma(N/2)^2}{2\Gamma(N)}$. Thus, (RHS of (2.5)) × $\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{N}{N-2}-1}$ is

$$\begin{split} c_0 \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{-1+\frac{N-2}{N-4}} & \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon} dx \\ &= c_0 \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{N-2}{N-4}+p_{\varepsilon}-(\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4})N} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i}\right) \left(\frac{y}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}}} + x_{\varepsilon}\right) \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} dy \\ &= c_0 \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{N-2}{N-4}+p_{\varepsilon}-(\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4})N} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i}\right) \left(\frac{y}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}}} + x_{\varepsilon}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \frac{\partial w_{\varepsilon}}{\partial y_j} dy \\ &= -c_0 \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{N-2}{N-4}+p_{\varepsilon}-(\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4})N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i}\right) \left(\frac{y}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}}} + x_{\varepsilon}\right) \right\} w_{\varepsilon}(y) dy \\ &= -c_0 \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{N-2}{N-4}+p_{\varepsilon}-(\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4})N-(\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4})} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right) (x) \Big|_{x=\frac{y}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{p_{\varepsilon}-1}{4}}} + x_{\varepsilon}} w_{\varepsilon}(y) dy \\ &\to -c_0 \left(\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{(\frac{N-3}{4})\varepsilon}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (x_0) \left(\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} w_{\varepsilon}(y) dy\right) \\ &= \frac{N}{2} c_0 \sigma_N C_N \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (x_0). \end{split}$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x_0) = 0.$$

By our assumption of the nondegeneracy of x_0 , the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)(x_0)$ is invertible. Therefore we obtain that $a_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$. Thus we have proved Step 2.

Proof of Step 3.

By Step 1 and Step 2, we have obtained that the limit function $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} = v_0 \equiv 0$. Since $\|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} = 1$, there exists $y_{\varepsilon} \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(y_{\varepsilon}) = 1$ and $|y_{\varepsilon}| \to \infty$, because the above convergence $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} \to v_0 \equiv 0$ is uniform on compact sets of \mathbb{R}^N . But this is not possible because of Lemma 3.1. This proves Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. Part of this work was supported by JSPS Grantin-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No. 20540216.

References

- [1] T. Bartsch, and T. Weth, and M. Willem: A Sobolev inequality with remainder term and critical equations on domains with topology for the polyharmonic operator, Calc. Var. and PDE. 18 (2003) 253-268.
- [2] G. Caristi, and E. Mitidieri: Harnack inequality and applications to solutions of biharmonic equations, Operator Theory: Advances and Appl. 168 (2006) 1-26.
- [3] K. S. Chou, and D. Geng: Asymptotics of positive solutions for a biharmonic equation involving critical exponent, Diff. Int. Eq. 13 (2000) 921-940.
- [4] M. Grossi: A nondegeneracy result for some nonlinear elliptic equation, NoDEA 12 (2005) 227-241.
- [5] C. S. Lin: A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in \mathbb{R}^n , Comment. Math. Helv. **73** (1998) 206-231.
- [6] F. Takahashi: Asymptotic behavior of least energy solutions for a biharmonic problem with nearly critical growth, Asymptotic Anal. 60 (2008) 213-226.
- [7] F. Takahashi: An asymptotic nondegeneracy result for a biharmonic equation with the nearly critical growth, NoDEA, **15** (2008) 623-637.
- [8] F. Takahashi: Nondegeneracy of least energy solutions for an elliptic problem with nearly critical nonlinearity, (preprint)