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#### Abstract

We show that any $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-invariant stably complex structure on a topological toric manifold of dimension $2 n$ is integrable. We also show that such a manifold is weakly $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-equivariantly isomorphic to a toric manifold.


## 1. Introduction

A toric manifold is a nonsingular complete toric variety. As a topological analogue of a toric manifold, the notion of topological toric manifold has been introduced by the author, Y. Fukukawa and M. Masuda 3. A topological toric manifold of dimension $2 n$ is a smooth closed manifold endowed with an effective $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-action having an open dense orbit, and locally equivariantly diffeomorphic to a smooth representation space of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$. We note that a topological toric manifold is locally equivariantly diffeomorphic to an algebraic representation space if and only if it is a toric manifold.

A quasitoric manifold introduced by M. Davis and T. Januskiewicz (1) of dimension $2 n$ is a smooth closed manifold endowed with a locally standard $\left(S^{1}\right)^{n}$-action, whose orbit space is a simple polytope. In [3], it is shown that any quasitoric manifold is a topological toric manifold with the restricted compact torus action. Conversely, it is also shown that any topological toric manifold of dimension less than or equal to 6 with the restricted compact torus action is a quasitoric manifold. However, there are infinitely many topological toric manifolds with the restricted compact torus action which are not equivariantly diffeomorphic to any quasitoric manifold.

Among quasitoric manifolds, some admit invariant almost complex structures under the compact torus actions. M. Masuda provided examples of 4-dimensional quasitoric manifolds which admit $\left(S^{1}\right)^{2}$-invariant almost complex structures (see [4, Theorem 5.1]). A. Kustarev described a necessary and sufficient condition for a quasitoric manifold to admit a torus invariant almost complex structure for arbitrary dimension (see [2, Theorem 1]).

As we mentioned, any quasitoric manifold is a topological toric manifold with the restricted compact torus action. In this paper, we discuss on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-invariant stably, or almost complex structures on topological toric manifolds of dimension $2 n$. The followings are our results:

Theorem 1.1. Let $X$ be a topological toric manifold of dimension $2 n$. Let $\mathbb{R}^{2 \ell}$ be the product bundle of rank $2 \ell$ over $X, T X$ the tangent bundle of $X$. If there

[^0]exists a $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-invariant stably complex structure $J$ on $T X \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}^{2 \ell}$, then $T X$ becomes a complex subbundle of $T X \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}^{2 \ell}$. Namely, $X$ has an invariant almost complex structure.

Theorem 1.2. Let $X$ be a topological toric manifold of dimension $2 n, J a\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ invariant almost complex structure. Then, $J$ is integrable and $X$ is weakly equivariantly isomorphic to a toric manifold. Namely, there are a toric manifold $Y$, a biholomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and a smooth automorphism $\rho$ of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ such that $f \circ g=\rho(g) \cdot f$ for all $g \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$.

If we replace the condition " $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-invariant" by " $\left(S^{1}\right)^{n}$-invariant" on the almost complex structure $J$, then Theorem 1.2 does not hold. For example, $\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# \mathbb{C} P^{2} \# \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ with an effective $\left(S^{1}\right)^{2}$-action is a topological toric manifold with the restricted $\left(S^{1}\right)^{2}$-action. One can show that there exists an $\left(S^{1}\right)^{2}$-invariant almost complex structure on $\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# \mathbb{C} P^{2} \# \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ (see [4, Theorem 5.1]). However, $\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# \mathbb{C} P^{2} \# \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ carries no complex structure because $\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# \mathbb{C} P^{2} \# \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ does not fit Kodaira's classification of complex surfaces. Namely, the almost complex structure is not integrable.

For a topological toric manifold $X$ of dimension $2 n$, there is a canonical short exact sequence of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-bundles

$$
0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}^{m-n} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} L_{i} \rightarrow T X \rightarrow 0
$$

where $L_{i}$ 's are complex line bundles. (see [3, Theorem 6.1]). Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 say that the short exact sequence above does not split as $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-bundles unless $X$ is a toric manifold.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review the quotient construction of topological toric manifolds and the correspondence between topological toric manifolds and nonsingular complete topological fans (see [3] for details).

A nonsingular complete topological fan is a pair $\Delta=(\Sigma, \beta)$ such that
(1) $\Sigma$ is an abstract simplicial complex on $[m]=\{1, \ldots, m\}$,
(2) $\beta:[m] \rightarrow(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ is a function which satisfies the following:
(a) Let Re be the composition of two natural projections $(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z})^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We assign a cone

$$
\left\{\sum_{i \in I} a_{i}(\operatorname{Re} \circ \beta)(i) \mid a_{i} \geq 0\right\}
$$

to each simplex $I$ in $\Sigma$. Then, we have a collection of cones in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(i) Each pair of two cones does not overlap on their relative interiors. Namely, the real part $\operatorname{Re} \circ \beta$ of $\beta$ together with $\Sigma$ forms an ordinary fan.
(ii) The union of all cones coincides with $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Namely, the fan is complete.
(b) The integer part of $\beta$ together with $\Sigma$ forms a nonsingular multi-fan (see [4, p.249]).

It follows from (2a) that $\Sigma$ must be a simplicial $(n-1)$-sphere with $m$ vertices. If we regard integers $\mathbb{Z}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}$ via $a \mapsto(a, a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then any nonsingular complete fan can be regarded as a special case of a nonsingular complete topological fan. Conversely, if the image of $\beta$ is contained in the diagonal subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ of $(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z})^{n}$, then $\Delta$ becomes a nonsingular complete fan.

We express $\beta(i)$ as $\beta_{i}=\left(\beta_{i}^{1}, \ldots, \beta_{i}^{n}\right) \in(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ and $\beta_{i}^{j}=\left(b_{i}^{j}+\sqrt{-1} c_{i}^{j}, v_{i}^{j}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$ and $j=1, \ldots, n$. For a nonsingular complete topological fan $\Delta=(\Sigma, \beta)$, we can construct a topological toric manifold as follows. We set

$$
U(I):=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{m} \mid z_{i} \neq 0 \text { for } i \notin I\right\}
$$

for $I \in[m]$, and

$$
U(\Sigma):=\bigcup_{I \in \Sigma} U(I)
$$

We define a group homomorphism $\lambda_{\beta_{i}}: \mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\beta_{i}}\left(h_{i}\right):=\left(h_{i}^{\beta_{i}^{1}}, \ldots, h_{i}^{\beta_{i}^{n}}\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}^{\beta_{i}^{j}}:=\left|h_{i}\right|^{b_{i}^{j}+\sqrt{-1} c_{i}^{j}}\left(\frac{h_{i}}{\left|h_{i}\right|}\right)^{v_{i}^{j}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a group homomorphism $\lambda:\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ by

$$
\lambda\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}\right):=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{\beta_{i}}\left(h_{i}\right) .
$$

One can show that $\lambda$ is surjective (see [3, Lemma 4.1]). We note that the $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m_{-}}$ action on $U(\Sigma)$ given by coordinatewise multiplications induces the action of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} / \operatorname{ker} \lambda$ on the quotient space $X(\Delta):=U(\Sigma) / \operatorname{ker} \lambda$. Since $\lambda$ is surjective, we can identify $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} / \operatorname{ker} \lambda$ with $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ through $\lambda$. Hence $X(\Delta)$ is equipped with the $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-action. One can show that $X(\Delta)$ is a topological toric manifold.

We shall remember the equivariant charts and transition functions of $X(\Delta)$ described in 3 for later use. We regard $\beta_{i}^{j}=\left(b_{i}^{j}+\sqrt{-1} c_{i}^{j}, v_{i}^{j}\right)$ as the following matrix:

$$
\beta_{i}^{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{i}^{j} & 0 \\
c_{i}^{j} & v_{i}^{j}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

And we also regard $\beta_{i}$ as an $n$-tuple ( $\beta_{i}^{1}, \ldots, \beta_{i}^{n}$ ) of square matrices of size 2. Let $\Sigma^{(n)}$ denote the set of $(n-1)$-dimensional simplices in $\Sigma$. For $I \in \Sigma^{(n)}$, the dual $\left\{\alpha_{i}^{I}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of $\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha_{h}^{I}, \beta_{i}\right\rangle=\delta_{h}^{i} \mathbf{1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ denotes the the Kronecker delta, and $\langle$,$\rangle is given by$

$$
\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha^{j} \beta^{j}
$$

for $n$-tuples $\alpha=\left(\alpha^{1}, \ldots, \alpha^{n}\right)$ and $\beta=\left(\beta^{1}, \ldots, \beta^{n}\right)$ of square matrices of size 2 . The equivariant charts are given as follows. For $\alpha=\left(\alpha^{1}, \ldots, \alpha^{n}\right)$, we define a
representation $\chi^{\alpha}:\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ by

$$
\chi^{\alpha}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right):=\prod_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}^{\alpha^{j}}
$$

Let $V\left(\chi^{\alpha}\right)$ denote the representation space of $\chi^{\alpha}$. For $I \in \Sigma^{(n)}$, the equivariant chart $\varphi_{I}: U(I) / \operatorname{ker} \lambda \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} V\left(\chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\varphi_{I}\left(\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right]\right):=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} z_{j}^{\left\langle\alpha_{i}^{I}, \beta_{j}\right\rangle}\right)_{i \in I}
$$

where $\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right]$ denotes the equivalence class of $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right) \in U(\Sigma)$. Let $\left(w_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be the coordinates of $\bigoplus_{i \in I} V\left(\chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}\right)$. Namely,

$$
w_{i}:=\prod_{j=1}^{m} z_{j}^{\left\langle\alpha_{i}^{I}, \beta_{j}\right\rangle} .
$$

An omniorientation of a topological toric manifold $X$ is a choice of orientations of normal bundles of characteristic submanifolds of $X$. Here, a characteristic submanifold of $X$ is a connected $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-invariant submanifold of codimension 2 . By the construction above, $\beta$ allows us to decide an omniorientation of $X(\Delta)$ as follows. Each characteristic submanifold intersecting $U(I) / \operatorname{ker} \lambda$ is locally represented as $\left\{\left(w_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \mid w_{i_{0}}=0\right\}$ for some $i_{0} \in I$ through $\varphi_{I}: U(I) / \operatorname{ker} \lambda \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} V\left(\chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}\right)$. We choose the orientation of the normal bundle of each characteristic submanifold so that $\varphi_{I}$ preserves the orientations of the normal bundles of the characteristic submanifolds and the normal bundle of $\left\{\left(w_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \mid w_{i_{0}}=0\right\}$ in $\bigoplus_{i \in I} V\left(\chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}\right)$. We need to show that this is well-defined. By (2.3), $\lambda_{\beta_{i_{0}}}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ fixes $\left\{\left(w_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \mid w_{i_{0}}=0\right\}$. Let us consider the differential $\left(\lambda_{\beta_{i_{0}}}(\sqrt{-1})\right)_{*}$ of the action $\lambda_{\beta_{i_{0}}}(\sqrt{-1})$ at a point of $\left\{\left(w_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \mid w_{i_{0}}=0\right\}$. The normal vector space of $\left\{\left(w_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \mid w_{i_{0}}=0\right\}$ in $\bigoplus_{i \in I} V\left(\chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}\right)$ at any point in $\left\{\left(w_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \mid w_{i_{0}}=0\right\}$ can be identified with $V\left(\chi^{\alpha_{i_{0}}^{I}}\right)$. By direct computation, we have

$$
\chi^{\alpha_{i_{0}}^{I} \circ \lambda_{\beta_{i_{0}}}(\sqrt{-1})=\sqrt{-1} .}
$$

(see [3, Lemma 2.2.(2)]). Namely, for any point of the characteristic submanifold and any nonzero normal vector $\xi$ at the point, if we choose the orientation of the normal bundle of the characteristic submanifold so that $\left(\xi,\left(\lambda_{\beta_{i_{0}}}(\sqrt{-1})\right)_{*}(\xi)\right)$ is a positive basis, then $\varphi_{I}$ preserves the orientations of the normal bundles.

The correspondence $\Delta \mapsto X(\Delta)$ is bijective between nonsingular complete topological fans and omnioriented topological toric manifolds (see [3, Theorem 8.1]). We shall see the inverse correspondence. For a topological toric manifold $X$ of dimension $2 n$ with an omniorientation, let us denote characteristic submanifolds of $X$ by $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$. Define

$$
\Sigma=\left\{I \in[m] \mid \bigcap_{i \in I} X_{i} \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

For an orientation on normal bundle of $X_{i}$, we can find a unique complex structure $J_{i}$ such that

- the orientation coincides with the orientation which comes from $J_{i}$,
- the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-subgroup of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ which fixes each point of $X_{i}$ acts on the normal bundle as $\mathbb{C}$-linear with respect to $J_{i}$.
For $J_{i}$, we can find a unique $\beta_{i} \in(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ such that

$$
\left(\lambda_{\beta_{i}}(h)\right)_{*}(\xi)=h \xi
$$

for any normal vector $\xi$ and $h \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, where the right hand side is the multiplication with complex number. For an omniorientation of $X$, define $\beta:[m] \rightarrow(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ as $\beta(i):=\beta_{i}$. Then, the pair $\Delta(X)=(\Sigma, \beta)$ becomes a nonsingular complete topological fan and the correspondence $X \mapsto \Delta(X)$ is the inverse correspondence of $\Delta \mapsto X(\Delta)$. Namely, there exists an equivariant diffeomorphism $X \rightarrow X(\Delta(X))$ which preserves the omniorientations.

The transition functions of $X(\Delta)$ are given as follows. Let $K$ be another element in $\Sigma^{(n)}$. By direct computation, $k$-component of $\varphi_{K}\left(\varphi_{I}^{-1}\left(w_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ for $k \in K$ is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i \in I} w_{i}^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}^{K}, \beta_{i}\right\rangle} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [3, Lemma 5.2]). We remark that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}_{j}}\left(\prod_{i \in I} w_{i}^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}^{K}, \beta_{i}\right\rangle}\right)=0
$$

if and only if $\left\langle\alpha_{k}^{K}, \beta_{j}\right\rangle=\gamma_{k, j}^{K} \mathbf{1}$ for some integer $\gamma_{k, j}^{K}$ (see (2.21). This implies that all transition functions are holomorphic if and only if there is an integer $\gamma_{k, j}^{K}$ such that $\left\langle\alpha_{k}^{K}, \beta_{i}\right\rangle=\gamma_{k, j}^{K} \mathbf{1}$ for all $i \in[m], k \in K$ and $K \in \Sigma^{(n)}$. In this case, each transition function is a Laurent monomial and hence $X(\Delta)$ is weakly equivariantly diffeomorphic to a toric manifold.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $X$ be a $2 n$-dimensional topological toric manifold, $T X$ the tangent bundle of $X, J$ a $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-invariant complex structure on $T X \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 \ell}$. We take an omniorientation of $X$ and consider the topological fan $\Delta=(\Sigma, \beta)$ associated to $X=X(\Delta)$ with the given omniorientation. We define a cross section $\underline{\mathrm{e}}_{h}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{\mathbb { R }}^{2 \ell}=X \times \mathbb{R}^{2 \ell}$ for $h=1, \ldots, 2 \ell$ by $x \mapsto\left(x, e_{h}\right)$ for all $x \in X$, where $e_{h}$ denotes the $h$-th standard basis vector of $\mathbb{R}^{2 \ell}$.

There is a natural inclusion $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n} \hookrightarrow X$ given by $g \mapsto g \cdot[1, \ldots, 1]$ where $[1, \ldots, 1]$ denotes the equivalence class of $(1, \ldots, 1)$ in $U(\Sigma)$. For $I \in \Sigma^{(n)}$, the inclusion is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigoplus_{i \in I} \chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}: g=\left(g_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, n} \mapsto\left(\chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}(g)\right)_{i \in I} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

via the equivariant local chart $\varphi_{I}: U_{I} / \operatorname{ker} \lambda \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} V\left(\chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}\right)$. We identify $\bigoplus_{i \in I} V\left(\chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}\right)$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i}=x_{i}+\sqrt{-1} y_{i} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \in I$, where $\left(w_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ denote the coordinates of $\bigoplus_{i \in I} V\left(\chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}\right)$. We also identify $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ with $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi:\left(g_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, n} \mapsto\left(\log \left|g_{j}\right|,-\sqrt{-1} \log \left(\frac{g_{j}}{\left|g_{j}\right|}\right)\right)_{j=1, \ldots, n} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(\tau_{j}, \theta_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, n}$ be the coordinates of $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z})^{n}$. Since $J$ is $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-invariant, the matrix representation, denoted $J_{0}$, of $J$ on $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ with respect to the coordinates $\left(\tau_{j}, \theta_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, n}$ and sections $\underline{\mathrm{e}}_{h}$ 's is constant.

Let $\Psi_{I}:\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{n} \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ be the composition of the identification (3.2), the inverse of (3.1), and $\psi$. Namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{I}\left(\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right):=\psi \circ\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(x_{i}+\sqrt{-1} y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}\right)^{-1}$ coincides with $\prod_{i \in I} \lambda_{\beta_{i}}$ (see [3, Lemma 2.3]), it follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that the coordinates $\left(\tau_{j}, \theta_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, n}$ are represented as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{j} & =\log \left(\prod_{i \in I}\left|\left(x_{i}+\sqrt{-1} y_{i}\right)^{\beta_{i}^{j}}\right|\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I} b_{i}^{j} \log \left(x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{j} & =-\sqrt{-1} \log \left(\prod_{i \in I} \frac{\left(x_{i}+\sqrt{-1} y_{i}\right)^{\beta_{i}^{j}}}{\left|\left(x_{i}+\sqrt{-1} y_{i}\right)^{\beta_{i}^{j}}\right|}\right) \\
& =-\sqrt{-1} \log \left(\prod_{i \in I}\left|x_{i}+\sqrt{-1} y_{i}\right|^{\sqrt{-1}} c_{i}^{j}\left(\frac{x_{i}+\sqrt{-1} y_{i}}{\left|x_{i}+\sqrt{-1} y_{i}\right|}\right)^{v_{i}^{j}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i \in I}\left(\frac{c_{i}^{j}+\sqrt{-1} v_{i}^{j}}{2} \log \left(x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}\right)-\sqrt{-1} v_{i}^{j} \log \left(x_{i}+\sqrt{-1} y_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by direct computation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \tau_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{b_{i}^{j} x_{i}}{x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial \tau_{j}}{\partial y_{i}}=\frac{b_{i}^{j} y_{i}}{x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}}, \\
\frac{\partial \theta_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}= & \frac{\left(c_{i}^{j}+\sqrt{-1} v_{i}^{j}\right) x_{i}}{x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}}-\sqrt{-1} v_{i}^{j} \frac{1}{x_{i}+\sqrt{-1} y_{i}} \\
= & \frac{c_{i}^{j} x_{i}-v_{i}^{j} y_{i}}{x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \theta_{j}}{\partial y_{i}} & =\frac{\left(c_{i}^{j}+\sqrt{-1} v_{i}^{j}\right) y_{i}}{x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}}+v_{i}^{j} \frac{1}{x_{i}+\sqrt{-1} y_{i}} \\
& =\frac{c_{i}^{j} y_{i}+v_{i}^{j} x_{i}}{x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \tau_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} & \frac{\partial \tau_{j}}{\partial y_{i}} \\
\frac{\partial \theta_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} & \frac{\partial \theta_{j}}{\partial y_{i}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{i}^{j} & 0 \\
c_{i}^{j} & v_{i}^{j}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{x_{i}}{x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}} & \frac{y_{i}}{x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}} \\
\frac{y_{i}}{x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}} & \frac{x_{i}}{x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}}
\end{array}\right)=\beta_{i}^{j} t_{i},
$$

where

$$
t_{i}=\frac{1}{x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{i} & y_{i} \\
-y_{i} & x_{i}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{*} \subset \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})
$$

We set two square matrices

$$
B=\left(\beta_{i}^{j}\right)_{i, j} \text { and } T=\operatorname{diag}\left(t_{i} ; i \in I\right)
$$

of size $n$ whose entries are square matrices of size 2 . Then, the differential $T_{(x, y)} \Psi_{I}$ of $\Psi_{I}$ at $(x, y)$ is represented as $B T$ with respect to the coordinates $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ and $\left(\tau_{j}, \theta_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, n}$. Hence the complex structure $J$ of $T X \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 \ell}$ is represented on $\bigoplus_{i \in I} V\left(\chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}\right)=\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ as the following square matrix

$$
J_{I}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(B T)^{-1} &  \tag{3.5}\\
& I_{2 \ell}
\end{array}\right) J_{0}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B T & \\
& I_{2 \ell}
\end{array}\right)
$$

of size $2 n+2 \ell$ with respect to the coordinates $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ and sections $\underline{\mathrm{e}}_{h}$, where $I_{2 \ell}$ denote the identity matrix of size $2 \ell$. We set

$$
J_{0}=:\left(\begin{array}{ll}
J_{11} & J_{12} \\
J_{21} & J_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $J_{11}, J_{12}, J_{21}, J_{22}$ are matrices of $2 n \times 2 n, 2 n \times 2 \ell, 2 \ell \times 2 n, 2 \ell \times 2 \ell$, respectively. Then,

$$
J_{I}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} J_{11} B\right) T & T^{-1} B^{-1} J_{12}  \tag{3.6}\\
J_{21} B T & J_{22}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Each entry of $J_{I}$ is a homogeneous (rational) polynomial in $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$. However, each entry of $J_{I}$ must be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, in particular, at the origin. Hence each entry of $J_{21}$ must be 0 . This means that the tangent space at any point of $X$ is stable under $J$. It follows that $T X$ is a complex subbundle of $T X \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 \ell}$ with respect to $J$. The theorem is proved.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $X$ be a topological toric manifold of dimension $2 n$ with a $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-invariant almost complex structure $J$. Since any characteristic submanifold of $X$ and $X$ itself are almost complex submanifolds, the normal bundles of characteristic submanifolds of $X$ become complex line bundles. Hence, we have a topological fan $\Delta=(\Sigma, \beta)$ associated to $X$. Namely, for each characteristic submanifold $X_{i}$ of X, we choose the unique $\beta_{i} \in(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ so that $\lambda_{\beta_{i}}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ fixes all points in $X_{i}$, and

$$
\left(\lambda_{\beta_{i}}(h)\right)_{*}(\xi)=h \xi
$$

for any $h \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and any normal vector $\xi$ of $X_{i}$.
According to the proof of Theorem 1.1 we identify the dense orbit with $(\mathbb{R} \times$ $\mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ and $\bigoplus_{i \in I} V\left(\chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}\right)$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ for $I \in \Sigma^{(n)}$. Since $J$ is $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-invariant, the matrix representation, denoted $J_{0}$, of $J$ on $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ with respect to the coordinate $\left(\tau_{j}, \theta_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, n}$ of $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ is constant. Let us remind $\Psi_{I}$ : $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{n} \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ (see (3.4) ). Then, the almost complex structure $J$ is represented on $\bigoplus_{i \in I} V\left(\alpha_{i}^{I}\right)=\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ as the following square matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{I}:=(B T)^{-1} J_{0}(B T)=T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} J_{0} B\right) T \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(this is the case when $\ell=0$ in (3.5) and (3.6)).
As we saw at the end of Section 3, each entry of $J_{I}$ is a homogeneous (rational) polynomial in $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$. However, each entry of $J_{I}$ must be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, in particular, at the origin. Hence $J_{I}$ must be constant. Since $J_{I}$ and $B^{-1} J_{0} B$ are both constant and $T$ can take any element in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$, it follows from (4.1) that
$J_{I}$ and $B^{-1} J_{0} B$ must be both in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ and hence $J_{I}=B^{-1} J_{0} B$. Moreover, $J_{I}^{2}$ is the minus identity matrix because $J_{I}$ is the matrix representing the almost complex structure $J$. It follows that $J_{I}$ must be of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 
\pm\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \pm\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

However, each $\beta_{i}$ is taken so that $\left(\lambda_{\beta_{i}}(h)\right)_{*}(\xi)=h \xi$ for any $h \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and any normal vector $\xi$ of $X_{i}$, as we mentioned in Section 2, we have

$$
J_{I}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) & & \\
& & \ddots
\end{array}\right]
$$

Clearly, the complex structure $J_{I}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ comes from the identification (3.2). Therefore, $J$ is integrable and the local chart $\varphi_{I}: U_{I} / \operatorname{ker} \lambda \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} V\left(\chi^{\alpha_{i}^{I}}\right)$ is a holomorphic chart. This implies that for another simplex $K \in \Sigma^{(n)}$, $k$-component of $\varphi_{K}\left(\varphi_{I}^{-1}\left(w_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ given as (2.4) for $k \in K$ is holomorphic. Thus, the transition functions must be Laurent monomials as remarked at the end of Section 2 and hence $X(\Delta)$ is weakly equivariantly isomorphic to a toric manifold. The theorem is proved.
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