# ON THE GROWTH OF HYPERBOLIC 3-DIMENSIONAL GENERALIZED SIMPLEX REFLECTION GROUPS 

YOHEI KOMORI AND YURIKO UMEMOTO


#### Abstract

We prove that the growth rates of three-dimensional generalized simplex reflection groups, i.e. three-dimensional non-compact hyperbolic Coxeter groups with four generators are always Perron numbers.


## 1. Introduction

A convex polyhedron $P$ of finite volume in the $n$-dimensional hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ is called a Coxeter polyhedron if its dihedral angles are submultiples of $\pi$. Any Coxeter polyhedron is a fundamental domain of the discrete group $\Gamma$ generated by the set $S$ consisting of the reflections with respects to its facets. We call $(\Gamma, S)$ an $n$-dimensional hyperbolic Coxeter group. In particular when $P$ is a (generalized) simplex of $\mathbb{H}^{n},(\Gamma, S)$ is also called a (generalized) simplex reflection group ([8]). In this situation we can define the word length $\ell_{S}(x)$ of $x \in \Gamma$ with respect to $S$ by the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ for which there exist $s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{n} \in S$ such that $x=s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{n}$. The growth function $f_{S}(t)$ of $(\Gamma, S)$ is the formal power series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} t^{k}$ where $a_{k}$ is the number of elements $g \in \Gamma$ satisfying $\ell_{S}(g)=k$. It is known that the growth rate of $(\Gamma, S), \omega:=\lim \sup _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{a_{k}}$ is bigger than 1 ([3]) and less than or equal to the cardinality $|S|$ of $S$. By means of CauchyHadamard formula, the radius of convergence $R$ of $f_{S}(t)$ is the reciprocal of $\omega$, i.e. $1 /|S| \leq R<1$. In practice the growth function $f_{S}(t)$ which is analytic on $|t|<R$ extends to a rational function $P(t) / Q(t)$ on $\mathbb{C}$ by analytic continuation where $P(t), Q(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ are relatively prime. There are formulas due to Solomon and Steinberg to calculate the rational function $P(t) / Q(t)$ from the Coxeter diagram of $(\Gamma, S)([10,11]$. See also [4]).

Theorem 1. (Solomon's formula)
The growth function $f_{S}(t)$ of an irreducible spherical Coxeter group $(\Gamma, S)$ can be written as $f_{S}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[m_{i}+1\right]$ where $[n]:=1+t+\cdots+t^{n-1}$ and $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{k}\right\}$ is the set of exponents of $(\Gamma, S)$.

Theorem 2. (Steinberg's formula)
Let $(\Gamma, S)$ be a hyperbolic Coxeter group. Let us denote the Coxeter subgroup
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of $(\Gamma, S)$ generated by the subset $T \subseteq S$ by $\left(\Gamma_{T}, T\right)$, and denote its growth function by $f_{T}(t)$. Set $\mathcal{F}=\left\{T \subseteq S: \Gamma_{T}\right.$ is finite $\}$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{f_{S}\left(t^{-1}\right)}=\sum_{T \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{(-1)^{|T|}}{f_{T}(t)}
$$

In this case, $t=R$ is a pole of $f_{S}(t)$. Hence $R$ is a real zero of the denominator $Q(t)$ closest to the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ of all zeros of $Q(t)$. Solomon's formula implies that $P(0)=1$. Hence $a_{0}=1$ means that $Q(0)=1$. Therefore $\omega>1$, the reciprocal of $R$, becomes a real algebraic integer whose conjugates have moduli less than or equal to the modulus of $\omega$. If $t=R$ is the unique zero of $Q(t)$ with the smallest modulus, then $\omega>1$ is a real algebraic integer whose conjugates have moduli less than the modulus of $\omega$ : such a real algebraic integer is called a Perron number.

For two and three-dimensional cocompact hyperbolic Coxeter groups, Cannon-Wagreich and Parry showed that the growth rates are Salem numbers ( $[1,7]$ ), where a real algebraic integer $\tau>1$ is called a Salem number if $\tau^{-1}$ is an algebraic conjugate of $\tau$ and all algebraic conjugates of $\tau$ other than $\tau$ and $\tau^{-1}$ lie on the unit circle. From the definition, a Salem number is a Perron number.

Kellerhals and Perren calculated the growth functions of all four-dimensional cocompact hyperbolic Coxeter groups with at most 6 generators and showed that $\omega$ are not Salem numbers while they checked that $\omega$ are Perron numbers numerically. ([6]).

In the non-compact case, Floyd proved that the growth rates of twodimensional non-compact hyperbolic Coxeter groups are Pisot-Vijayaraghavan numbers, where a real algebraic integer $\tau>1$ is called a Pisot-Vijayaraghavan number if algebraic conjugates of $\tau$ other than $\tau$ lie in the unit disk ([2]). A Pisot-Vijayaraghavan number is also a Perron number by definition.

From these results for low-dimensional cases, Kellerhals and Perren conjectured that the growth rates of hyperbolic Coxeter groups are always Perron numbers. In the present paper, we go to the next stage: threedimensional non-compact hyperbolic Coxeter groups of finite covolume. We will show that the growth rate of a three-dimensional generalized simplex reflection group is a Perron number.

## 2. Denominators of growth functions

There are exactly 23 three-dimensional generalized simplex reflection groups ( $[5,8]$ ). By means of Steinberg's formula we can calculate growth functions of them.

Proposition 1. The denominator polynomials $Q(t)$ of the growth functions $f_{S}(t)=P(t) / Q(t)$ of the 23 three-dimensional generalized simplex reflection groups $(\Gamma, S)$ are as follows:

- $(t-1)\left(3 t^{2}+t-1\right)$
- $(t-1)\left(3 t^{3}+t^{2}+t-1\right)$
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```
- \((t-1)\left(2 t^{4}+3 t^{3}+t^{2}-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{5}+t^{4}+t-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(2 t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{2}+t-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(3 t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{7}+t^{6}+t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{3}-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{7}+t^{6}+t^{5}+t^{4}-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{7}+t^{6}+2 t^{5}+2 t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{7}+t^{6}+2 t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{3}+t-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{8}+2 t^{7}+2 t^{6}+3 t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{3}-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{9}+t^{7}+t^{6}+t^{4}+t^{2}+t-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{13}+t^{12}+2 t^{11}+2 t^{10}+2 t^{9}+2 t^{8}+2 t^{7}+2 t^{6}+2 t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{3}-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{2}+t+1\right)\left(t^{2}+t-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t+1\right)\left(t^{2}+t-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{3}+t-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t+1\right)\left(t^{3}+t-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t+1\right)\left(t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{2}-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{5}+t^{3}+t-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{6}+t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t-1\right)\)
- \((t-1)\left(t^{10}+t^{9}+t^{8}+t^{7}+t^{6}+t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t-1\right)\)
```

We remark that the factor $(t-1)$ appears in every denominator of $f_{S}(t)$ because of the fact that $1 / f_{S}(1)=\chi(\Gamma)=0$ in the odd-dimensional case due to a result of Serre ([9]).

## 3. Main Result

Theorem 3. The growth rate of a three-dimensional generalized simplex reflection group is a Perron number.

In Table 1 below, we show the distributions of poles of $f_{S}(t)$ for a particular case of three-dimensional generalized simplex reflection groups.

By Proposition 1, the following lemma is sufficient to prove the theorem.
Lemma 1. Consider the polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$

$$
g(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} t^{k}-1
$$

where $a_{k}$ is a non-negative integer. We also assume that the greatest common divisor of $\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_{k} \neq 0\right\}$ is 1 . Then there is a real number $r_{0}, 0<r_{0}<1$ which is the unique zero of $g(t)$ having the smallest absolute value of all zeros of $g(t)$.

Proof. Let us put $h(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} t^{k}$. Note that $g(t)=0$ if and only if $h(t)=1$.
(Step1) Observe $h(0)=0, h(1)>1$, and $h(t)$ is strictly monotone increasing where $t$ is in the open interval $(0,1)$. From the intermediate value theorem, there exists the unique real number $r_{0}$ in $(0,1)$ such that $h\left(r_{0}\right)=1$.
(Step2) Suppose there exists a complex number $z$ whose absolute value is less than $r_{0}$ and satisfying the condition $h(z)=1$. Denote $z=r e^{i \theta}$ where $0<r<r_{0}$ and $0 \leqslant \theta<2 \pi$. Then
$1=|h(z)|=\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)^{k}\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\left(a_{k} r^{k}\right) e^{i k \theta}\right|=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} r^{k}=h(r)<h\left(r_{0}\right)=1$, which is a contradiction. Hence $r_{0}$ has the smallest absolute value of all zeros of $g(t)$.
(Step3) Consider a complex number $z$ whose absolute value is equal to $r_{0}$. Set $z=r_{0} e^{i \theta}$ and $0 \leqslant \theta<2 \pi$. Then $1=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} r_{0}^{k} e^{i k \theta}$ implies

$$
1=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} r_{0}^{k} \cos k \theta \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} r_{0}^{k}=1
$$

Hence $\cos k \theta=1$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a_{k} \neq 0$. The assumption that the greatest common divisor of $\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_{k} \neq 0\right\}$ is 1 means that $\theta=0$. Therefore $z=r_{0}$, and we conclude that $r_{0}$ is the unique zero of $g(t)$ having the smallest absolute value of all zeros of $g(t)$.

| Coxeter diagram | $\frac{(t+1)^{3}\left(t^{2}+1\right)\left(t^{2}-t+1\right)\left(t^{2}+t+1\right)}{(t-1)\left(t^{8}+2 t^{7}+2 t^{6}+3 t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{3}-1\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $f_{S}(t)$ |  |
| poles of $f_{S}(t)$ |  |

Table 1.

## 4. Remark

By Proposition 1, the next lemma shows that some growth rates of threedimensional generalized simplex reflection groups are not only Perron numbers but also Pisot-Vijayaraghavan numbers (see Table 2 below).

| Coxeter diagram | $\frac{(t+1)^{3}\left(t^{2}+1\right)\left(t^{2}-t+1\right)}{(t-1)\left(t^{6}+t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t-1\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $f_{S}(t)$ |  |
| poles of $f_{S}(t)$ |  |

Table 2.
Lemma 2. For $n \geq 2$, the polynomial $g(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} t^{k}-1$ has the unique zero in the unit disk $\{t \in \mathbb{C}||t|<1\}$ and does not have zeros on the unit circle $|t|=1$.

Proof. Define $h_{1}(t)=t^{n+1}, h_{2}(t)=-2 t+1$, and

$$
h(t)=h_{1}(t)+h_{2}(t)=t^{n+1}-2 t+1=(t-1) g(t) .
$$

Then for any $1 / 2<r<1$ sufficiently close to $1, h(r)<0$. Any complex number $t$ on the circle $\{t \in \mathbb{C}||t|=r\}$ satisfies

$$
\left|h_{1}(t)\right|=\left|t^{n+1}\right|=r^{n+1}<2 r-1 \leq|2 t-1|=\left|h_{2}(t)\right| .
$$

Because $h_{2}(t)$ has the unique zero $t=1 / 2$ in the disk $|t|<r$, it follows from Rouchés theorem that $h(t)$ also has the unique zero in the disk $|t|<r$. Since this holds for any $r<1$ sufficiently close to 1 , it means that $h(t)$, hence $g(t)$ has the unique zero in the unit disk $|t|<1$. Finally we show that $g(t)$ does not have zeros on the unit circle $|t|=1$. Suppose there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=0$. Then $h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=0$ implies that $1=\left|e^{i(n+1) \theta}\right|=\left|2 e^{i \theta}-1\right|$. Hence $e^{i \theta}=1$, which contradicts to $g(1) \neq 0$. Therefore $g(t)$ has the unique zero in the unit disk $\{t \in \mathbb{C}||t|<1\}$ and does not have zeros on the unit circle $|t|=1$.
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