Definition (c.f. Ebeling-Ploog) Let $B=(0,(f=0))$ and $B^{\prime}=\left(0,\left(f^{\prime}=0\right)\right)$ be germs of bimodular singularities in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. A pair $\left(B, B^{\prime}\right)$ of singularities are called transpose dual if the following three conditions are satisfied.
(1) Defining polynomials $f, f^{\prime}$ are invertible.
(2) Matrices $A_{f}, A_{f^{\prime}}$ of exponents of $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are transpose to each other.
(3) $f$ (resp. $f^{\prime}$ ) is compactified to a four-termed polynomial $F$ (resp. $F^{\prime}$ ) in $\left|-K_{\mathbb{P}(a)}\right|$ (resp. $\left.\left|-K_{\mathbb{P}(b)}\right|\right)$, where $\mathbb{P}(a)$ (resp. $\left.\mathbb{P}(b)\right)$ is the 3-dimensional weighted projective space whose general members are Gorenstein $K 3$ with weight $a$ (resp. $b$ ).

In a joint-work with Ueda, the following theorem is proved for every trnaspose-dual pair $\left(B, B^{\prime}\right)$ of bimodular singulairites.

Theorem (M-Ueda) For a transpose-dual pair ( $B, B^{\prime}$ ), there exists a reflexive polytope $\Delta$ such that $\Delta_{F} \subset \Delta$ and $\Delta_{F^{\prime}} \subset \Delta^{*}$. Here $\Delta_{F}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\Delta_{F^{\prime}}\right)$ is the Newton polytope of $F\left(\right.$ resp. $F^{\prime}$ ) monomials corresponding to whose lattice points are fixed by an automorphic action of $F\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.F^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $\Delta$ be the reflexive polytope obtained in Theorem (M-Ueda). For a $\Delta$-regular member $S$, a natural restriction mapping $r$ from the minimal model $\widetilde{X_{\Delta}}$ of the toric variety $X_{\Delta}$ associated to $\Delta$ to the minimal model $\widetilde{S}$ of $S$ induces a restriction $r_{*}$ from $H^{1,1}\left(\widetilde{X_{\Delta}}\right)$ to $H^{1,1}(\widetilde{S})$. Let $\operatorname{Pic}(\Delta):=$ $H^{1,1}(\widetilde{S}) \cap H^{2}(\widetilde{S}, \mathbb{Z})$ the Picard lattice of $\widetilde{S}$, and $T(\Delta)$ be its orthogonal complement in the $K 3$ lattice. Consider the following problem.

Problem Does an isometry $\operatorname{Pic}(\Delta) \simeq U \oplus T\left(\Delta^{*}\right)$ hold ?

Our main theorem is stated as follows:
Main Theorem For reflexive polytope $\Delta \operatorname{Pic}(\Delta) \simeq U \oplus T\left(\Delta^{*}\right)$ holds if and only if the map $r_{*}$ is surjective, where explicit $\operatorname{Pic}(\Delta)$ and $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\Delta^{*}\right)$ are given in the table below. Denote by $C_{8}^{6}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-4 & 1 \\ 1 & -2\end{array}\right)$, and names of singularities follow Arnold.

| Singularity | $\operatorname{Pic}(\Delta)$ | $\rho(\Delta)$ | $\rho\left(\Delta^{*}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\Delta^{*}\right)$ | Singularity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q_{12}$ | $U \oplus E_{6} \oplus E_{8}$ | 16 | 4 | $U \oplus A_{2}$ | $E_{18}$ |
| $Z_{1,0}$ | $U \oplus E_{7} \oplus E_{8}$ | 17 | 3 | $U \oplus A_{1}$ | $E_{19}$ |
| $E_{20}$ | $U \oplus E_{8}^{\oplus 2}$ | 18 | 2 | $U$ | $E_{20}$ |
| $Q_{2,0}$ | $U \oplus A_{6} \oplus E_{8}$ | 16 | 4 | $U \oplus C_{8}^{6}$ | $Z_{17}$ |
| $E_{25}$ | $U \oplus E_{7} \oplus E_{8}$ | 17 | 3 | $U \oplus A_{1}$ | $Z_{19}$ |
| $Q_{18}$ | $U \oplus E_{6} \oplus E_{8}$ | 16 | 4 | $U \oplus A_{2}$ | $E_{30}$ |

Not only the isometry of Picard lattice, but also we find a birational isomorphism between two families.
Corollary Compactified families of $K 3$ surfaces associated to singularities $Q_{12}$ and $Q_{18}\left(\right.$ resp. $Z_{1,0}$ and $\left.E_{25}\right)$ have birational general members.

