In 2013, in a joint work with K.Ueda, we proved that Ebeling-Ploog's transpose duality extends to the polytope duality for families of weighted $K 3$ hypersurfaces associated bimodular singularities and other isolated hypersurface singularities. Moreover, the polytope duality in this case may extend to the lattice mirror symmetry in the sense as follows:

Let $\Delta$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ be the reflexive polytopes obtained in the study of MaseUeda. Families $\left(\mathcal{F}_{\Delta}, \mathcal{F}_{\Delta^{\prime}}\right)$ of weighted $K 3$ hypersurfaces associated to the polytopes $\Delta$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ are lattice mirror symmetric if an isometry of Picard lattices

$$
\operatorname{Pic}(\Delta) \simeq U \oplus T\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)
$$

holds. In fact, among the isolated hypersurface singularities in question, in the following cases in the presenting list, the families attain lattice mirror symmetry:

Denote by $C_{8}^{6}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-4 & 1 \\ 1 & -2\end{array}\right)$.

| Singularity | $\operatorname{Pic}(\Delta)$ | $\rho(\Delta)$ | $\rho\left(\Delta^{*}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\Delta^{*}\right)$ | Singularity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q_{12}$ | $U \oplus E_{6} \oplus E_{8}$ | 16 | 4 | $U \oplus A_{2}$ | $E_{18}$ |
| $Z_{1,0}$ | $U \oplus E_{7} \oplus E_{8}$ | 17 | 3 | $U \oplus A_{1}$ | $E_{19}$ |
| $E_{20}$ | $U \oplus E_{8}^{\oplus 2}$ | 18 | 2 | $U$ | $E_{20}$ |
| $Q_{2,0}$ | $U \oplus A_{6} \oplus E_{8}$ | 16 | 4 | $U \oplus C_{8}^{6}$ | $Z_{17}$ |
| $E_{25}$ | $U \oplus E_{7} \oplus E_{8}$ | 17 | 3 | $U \oplus A_{1}$ | $Z_{19}$ |
| $Q_{18}$ | $U \oplus E_{6} \oplus E_{8}$ | 16 | 4 | $U \oplus A_{2}$ | $E_{30}$ |

Now let us consider the following problem: let $\left(\Delta_{M U}, \Delta_{M U}^{\prime}\right)$ be a pair of reflexive polytopes obtained in Mase-Ueda's study, which does not attain the lattice mirror symmetry. Is it possible to take another pair $\left(\Delta, \Delta^{\prime}\right)$ instead of $\left(\Delta_{M U}, \Delta_{M U}^{\prime}\right)$, such that the lattice mirror symmetry holds ?

Let $\Delta$ be a reflexive polytope with corresponding toric variety $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\Delta}$ denote its minimal resolution. For a generic anticanonical member $Z$ of $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta}$, and its simultaneous minimal resolution $\tilde{Z}$, denote by $L_{0}(\Delta)$ the rank of the cokernel of a natural restriction

$$
r: H^{1,1}\left(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\Delta}\right) \rightarrow H^{1,1}(\tilde{Z})
$$

So far, we obtain the following negative answer for one case.
Example. Let us consider a self-dual transpose pair pair $B=B^{\prime}=W_{18^{-}}$ singularity, and take a polytope

$$
\Delta=\operatorname{Conv}\{(0,-1,0),(-2,3,0),(-3,5,-1),(1,-1,0),(0,0,1)\}
$$

Then, $\Delta$ is reflexive and $L_{0}(\Delta)=0$.
No reflexive subpolytope of $\Delta_{[M U]}=\Delta_{(a ; d)}=\Delta_{(3,4,7,14 ; 28)}$ other than this satisfies $L_{0}=0$.
Indeed, starting from $\Delta_{[M U]}$ and we know that $\Delta_{[M U]}$ has an inner lattice point on the edge connecting $(0,-1,0)$ and $(2,-1,0)$ which makes $L_{0}$ grow by 6 . So, we have to remove a vertex $(2,-1,0)$ from $\Delta_{[M U]}$. In order that to be reflexive, we have to remove a vertex $(-1,1,1)$ as well. The resulting subpolytope is the presenting $\Delta$

We also have $\rho(\Delta)=17$ and $\rho\left(\Delta^{*}\right)=1$. This together with the fact that $L_{0}(\Delta)=0$ leads that $\rho(\Delta)+\rho\left(\Delta^{*}\right)=17+1+0=18 \neq 20$. Therefore, the isometry $\operatorname{Pic}(\Delta) \simeq U \oplus T\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ does not hold. Thus for this pair, the answer seems NO.

