

Recent developments of supersymmetric gauge theories on lattice

Fumihiko Sugino
(Okayama Inst. Quantum Phys.)

Based on the papers

- F. S., JHEP **0401** (2004) 015 [hep-lat/0311021] ,JHEP **0403** (2004) 067 [hep-lat/0401017].
- M. Hanada, S. Matsuura, F. S., Prog. Theor. Phys. **126** (2012) 597 [arXiv:1004.5513 [[hep-lat]], Nucl. Phys. B **857** (2012) 335 [arXiv:1109.6807 [hep-lat]].
- M. Hanada, S. Matsuura, F. S., H. Suzuki, work in progress.

1 Introduction

◇ I will talk about lattice formulations of SUSY gauge theories.

QFT on lattice:

- UV regularization
- More importantly, nonperturbative construction of QFT
- Nonperturbative study by computer simulation

Lattice cannot keep all the symmetries of the continuum QFT:

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{lat}} = \mathcal{S}^{\text{cont}} + \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \quad (\text{when lattice spacing } a \text{ is small})$$

↑

$\mathcal{O}(a)$ irrelevant terms at the classical level.

- But, quantum mechanically, some operators in $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ may become relevant due to radiative corrections. (They are symmetry-breaking relevant operators.)

⇒ In order to obtain the correct continuum theory, we should subtract the symmetry-breaking relevant operators in advance. (fine-tuning)

Lattice models with no fine-tuning is practically best, (although a few operators to be fine-tuned are perhaps acceptable).

- We consider the case that lattice actions S^{lat} have “good symmetries” that protect from generating symmetry-breaking radiative corrections.
→ fine-tuning free
- For some (lower-dimensional) gauge theories with extended SUSY, “nilpotent” SUSY (not generating translations) can play a role of the “good symmetries”. [Kaplan et al, Catterall, F. S.]

Typical examples)

- 2d $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$, $(4, 4)$, $(8, 8)$ SYM
- 2d $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ SQCD, gauged linear sigma models

◇ Although such lattice theories have only the “nilpotent” part of SUSY, they restore the full SUSY in the continuum limit.

- It is analytically shown for all orders in perturbation theory.
- Nonperturbative check by computer simulation for 2d $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ SYM with $G = SU(2), SU(3), SU(4), SU(5)$.

[Kanamori-Suzuki, Hanada-Kanamori]

However, this approach seems not so good to obtain 4d SUSY gauge theories from the lattice.

◇ Here, I will explain a method to construct 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM with no fine-tuning:

1. a lattice formulation for 2d $\mathcal{N} = (8, 8) U(N)$ SYM by plane-wave like mass deformation in Sections 2, 3.
2. a procedure to obtain 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4 U(k)$ SYM from fuzzy S^2 background of the 2d theory in Sections 4, 5. (k : arbitrary)

c.f.) Maldacena, Sheikh-Jabbari, van Raamsdonk

Fuzzy S^2 background in BMN Matrix Model (1d) \Rightarrow 3d $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SYM

c.f.) Ishii, Ishiki, Shimasaki, Tsuchiya

BMN Matrix Model (1d) \Rightarrow 4d planar ($k = \infty$) $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM on $\mathbf{R} \times S^3$

2 Mass-deformed 2d $\mathcal{N} = (8, 8)$ SYM

2d $\mathcal{N} = (8, 8)$ SYM \Rightarrow BTFT form [Dijkgraaf-Moore, Blau-Thompson,...]

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A_\mu \Rightarrow A_\mu \\
 X_I \quad (I = 3, \dots, 10) & \Rightarrow \begin{cases} X_i & (i = 3, 4) \\ B_A & (A = 1, 2, 3) \\ C = 2X_8, \phi_\pm = X_9 \pm iX_{10} \end{cases} \\
 \Psi & \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \psi_{+\mu}, \rho_{+i}, \chi_{+A}, \eta_+ \\ \psi_{-\mu}, \rho_{-i}, \chi_{-A}, \eta_- \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

We take appropriate two supercharges Q_+, Q_- to write the action of 2d $\mathcal{N} = (8, 8)$ SYM in the Q_+Q_- exact form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_0 &= Q_+ Q_- \mathcal{F}_0, \\
 \mathcal{F}_0 &= \frac{1}{g_{2d}^2} \int d^2x \operatorname{tr} \left[-iB_A \Phi_A - \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_{ABC} B_A [B_B, B_C] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \psi_{+\mu} \psi_{-\mu} - \rho_{+i} \rho_{-i} - \chi_{+A} \chi_{-A} - \frac{1}{4} \eta_+ \eta_- \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1 &= 2(-D_1 X_3 - D_2 X_4), \\ \Phi_2 &= 2(-D_1 X_4 + D_2 X_3), \\ \Phi_3 &= 2(-F_{12} + i[X_3, X_4]).\end{aligned}$$

◇ Q_{\pm} SUSY:

$$Q_{\pm} A_{\mu} = \psi_{\pm\mu}, \dots$$

$$Q_{\pm} X_i = \rho_{\pm i}, \dots$$

$$Q_{\pm} B_A = \chi_{\pm A}, \dots$$

$$Q_{\pm} C = \eta_{\pm}, \quad Q_{\pm} \eta_{\pm} = \pm[\phi_{\pm}, C], \quad Q_{\mp} \eta_{\pm} = \mp[\phi_{+}, \phi_{-}],$$

$$Q_{\pm} \phi_{\pm} = 0, \quad Q_{\mp} \phi_{\pm} = \mp \eta_{\pm}.$$

⇒ Nilpotency up to gauge transformations

$$Q_{+}^2 = (\text{infinitesimal gauge transformation by } \phi_{+}),$$

$$Q_{-}^2 = (\text{infinitesimal gauge transformation by } -\phi_{-}),$$

$$\{Q_{+}, Q_{-}\} = (\text{infinitesimal gauge transformation by } C).$$

⇒ Since \mathcal{F}_0 is gauge invariant, S_0 is manifestly invariant under Q_{+} and Q_{-} SUSYs.

◇ $SU(2)_R$ symmetry (J_0, J_{++}, J_{--}) J_0 -charge

Doublets :	$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{+\mu} \\ \psi_{-\mu} \end{pmatrix},$	$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_{+A} \\ \chi_{-A} \end{pmatrix},$	$\begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ -\eta_- \end{pmatrix},$	$\begin{pmatrix} Q_+ \\ Q_- \end{pmatrix}$	1
					-1
Triplet :	$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ C \\ -\phi_- \end{pmatrix}$				2
					0
					-2

$$[J_0, J_{\pm\pm}] = \pm 2J_{\pm\pm}, \quad [J_{++}, J_{--}] = J_0.$$

$$\mathcal{F}_0 : SU(2)_R\text{-inv.} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}_0 : SU(2)_R\text{-inv.}$$

◇ Mass deformation of 2d $\mathcal{N} = (8, 8)$ SYM

● Mass deformed Q_{\pm} SUSY by $SU(2)_R$

[Hanada-Matsuura-F.S.]

$$Q_{\pm} A_{\mu} = \psi_{\pm\mu}, \dots$$

$$Q_{\pm} X_i = \rho_{\pm i}, \dots$$

$$Q_{\pm} B_A = \chi_{\pm A}, \dots$$

$$Q_{\pm} C = \eta_{\pm}, \quad Q_{\pm} \eta_{\pm} = \pm[\phi_{\pm}, C] + \frac{2M}{3} \phi_{\pm},$$

$$Q_{\mp} \eta_{\pm} = \mp[\phi_{+}, \phi_{-}] \pm \frac{M}{3} C, \quad Q_{\pm} \phi_{\pm} = 0, \quad Q_{\mp} \phi_{\pm} = \mp \eta_{\pm}.$$

⇒ Nilpotency up to gauge and $SU(2)_R$ transformations

$$Q_{+}^2 = (\text{infinitesimal gauge transformation by } \phi_{+}) + \frac{M}{3} J_{++},$$

$$Q_{-}^2 = (\text{infinitesimal gauge transformation by } -\phi_{-}) - \frac{M}{3} J_{--},$$

$$\{Q_{+}, Q_{-}\} = (\text{infinitesimal gauge transformation by } C) - \frac{M}{3} J_0.$$

⇒ Mass deformed action

$$S_M = \left(Q_+ Q_- - \frac{M}{3} \right) \mathcal{F}_M,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_M = \mathcal{F}_0 + \Delta \mathcal{F},$$

$$\Delta \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{g_{2d}^2} \int d^2x \operatorname{tr} \left[\sum_{A=1}^3 \frac{a_A}{2} B_A^2 + \sum_{i=3}^4 \frac{c_i}{2} X_i^2 \right].$$

Note

- S_M is Q_{\pm} -invariant: $\underline{Q_+ S_M = Q_- S_M = 0}$, and $SU(2)_R$ -invariant.

↑

$$[J_{\pm\pm}, Q_{\pm}] = 0, [J_{\pm\pm}, Q_{\mp}] = Q_{\pm}$$

- When $a_A, c_i \in \left(-\frac{2M}{3}, 0\right)$, scalars B_A, X_i have positive mass terms.
For convenience, we take $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = -\frac{2M}{9}$, $c_3 = c_4 = -\frac{4M}{9}$.

- Explicit form of the action

$$S_M = S_0 + \Delta S,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S = \frac{1}{g_{2d}^2} \int d^2x \operatorname{tr} & \left[\frac{2M^2}{81} (B_A^2 + X_i^2) + \frac{M^2}{9} \left(\frac{C^2}{4} + \phi_+ \phi_- \right) \right. \\ & - \frac{M}{2} C[\phi_+, \phi_-] \\ & + \frac{2M}{3} \psi_{+\mu} \psi_{-\mu} + \frac{2M}{9} \rho_{+i} \rho_{-i} + \frac{4M}{9} \chi_{+A} \chi_{-A} - \frac{M}{6} \eta_+ \eta_- \\ & \left. - \frac{4iM}{9} B_3 (F_{12} + i[X_3, X_4]) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Flat directions are stabilized by the mass M .

- Fuzzy S^2 configurations satisfying

$$[\phi_+, \phi_-] = \frac{M}{3}C, \quad [C, \phi_{\pm}] = \pm \frac{2M}{3}\phi_{\pm},$$
$$B_A = X_i = 0$$

give Q_{\pm} -SUSY preserving minima ($S_M = 0$).

3 Lattice formulation of mass-deformed 2d $\mathcal{N} = (8, 8)$ SYM

Key aspects:

[Hanada-Matsuura-F.S.]

- Lattice gauge fields are on links: $A_\mu(x) \Rightarrow U_\mu(x) = e^{iaA_\mu(x)}$

All the other fields are on sites.

$$Q_\pm A_\mu = \psi_{\pm\mu}, \dots \Rightarrow Q_\pm U_\mu(x) = i\psi_{\pm\mu}(x)U_\mu(x), \dots$$

“Nilpotent” mass-deformed Q_\pm SUSY are realized on lattice.

- Gauge configurations are smoothly restricted those satisfying the admissibility condition $\|1 - U_{12}(x)\| < \epsilon$ by

$$F_{12} \Rightarrow \frac{i(U_{12}(x) - U_{21}(x))}{1 - \epsilon^{-2}\|1 - U_{12}(x)\|^2}$$

with $\|A\| = \sqrt{\text{tr}(AA^\dagger)}$, $0 < \epsilon < 2$ for $G = U(N)$.

- The admissibility condition is necessary to kill unphysical flux vacua.
- Minimizing the action singles out the gauge configuration $U_{12}(x) = 1$.

- Same as in the continuum theory, flat directions are stabilized, and fuzzy S^2 configurations give Q_{\pm} -SUSY preserving minima.
- The lattice theory is shown to be free from fine-tuning (at least to the all order in the perturbation theory).
- After taking the continuum limit, $M \rightarrow 0$ limit yields the undeformed theory (\Rightarrow DVV matrix string theory).

4 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM from 2d lattice

[Hanada-Matsuura-F.S.]

Consider the lattice theory around the minimum of k -coincident fuzzy S^2 :

$$C = \frac{2M_0}{3}L_3, \quad \phi_{\pm} = \frac{M_0}{3}(L_1 \pm iL_2)$$

with $L_a = \frac{L_a^{(n)}}{\uparrow} \otimes \mathbb{1}_k$ and $N = nk$.

SU(2)-generators of spin- j irre. repre. ($n = 2j + 1$)

First, we take continuum limit of the 2d lattice

\Rightarrow Mass-deformed $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $U(k)$ SYM on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (\text{Fuzzy } S^2)$

- 16 SUSYs broken to Q_{\pm} by M

- Fuzzy S^2 : radius $R = \frac{3}{M}$,

fuzziness $\Theta = \frac{18}{M^2 n}$

\Rightarrow UV cutoff $\Lambda = \frac{M}{3} \cdot 2j$

- $g_{4d}^2 = 2\pi\Theta g_{2d}^2$

Next, we take the two limits:

- Step 1:

Decompactify fuzzy S^2 to the Moyal plane \mathbb{R}_Θ^2

$M \rightarrow 0$ and $n = 2j + 1 \rightarrow \infty$ with Θ and k fixed

$\Rightarrow \Lambda \propto n^{1/2} \rightarrow \infty$ (“continuum limit”)

We expect that M is soft.

\Rightarrow The theory becomes $\mathcal{N} = 4 U(k)$ SYM on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_\Theta^2$ with 16 SUSYs restored.

- Step 2:

Commutative limit $\Theta \rightarrow 0$ with g_{4d} fixed

The limit is considered to be smooth.

[Matusis-Susskind-Toumbas]

Finally, we obtain 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4 U(k)$ SYM on \mathbb{R}^4 .

k : general

In particular, 4d rotational symmetry is restored.

5 1-loop check of the procedure

[Hanada-Matsuura-F.S.-Suzuki]

As a concrete check of the procedure, we carry out 1-loop computation of $\mathcal{N} = 4 U(k)$ SYM on $\mathbf{R}^2 \times (\text{Fuzzy } S^2)$ and take the limits of Steps 1 and 2.

◇ The final expression of the kinetic terms of X_i ($i = 3, 4$) and B_A ($A = 1, 2$) is consistent to the standard 1-loop result of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM on \mathbf{R}^4 :

- The overall $U(1)$ part receives no radiative correction (free).
- The $SU(k)$ part: (\mathbf{q} is a 4-momentum, $q^2 = q_1^2 + q_2^2 + (\frac{M}{3}J)^2$.)

$$\frac{1}{g_{4d}^2} \int \frac{d^4 \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^4} \text{tr}_k \left[\tilde{x}_i^{SU(k)(R)}(-\mathbf{q}) \tilde{x}_i^{SU(k)(R)}(\mathbf{q}) \right] \\ \times q^2 \left[1 + \frac{g_{4d}^2 k}{4\pi^2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{q^2}{\mu_R^2} + 1 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(g_{4d}^4) \right] + (\text{same form for } \tilde{b}_A)$$

after the wave function renormalization (μ_R : renormalization point)

$$\tilde{x}_i^{SU(k)(R)}(\mathbf{q}) \equiv \left(1 + \frac{g_{4d}^2 k}{4\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda}{\mu_R} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{x}_i^{SU(k)}(\mathbf{q}), \dots$$

- 4d rotational symmetry is restored!
- Singular behavior due to UV/IR mixing does not survive in the limits.

⇒ The procedure seems to work well.

6 Discussions

◇ We presented a lattice formulation of mass-deformed 2d $\mathcal{N} = (8, 8)$ $U(N)$ SYM preserving two supercharges Q_{\pm} , and discussed a procedure to obtain 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $U(k)$ SYM from the 2d theory.

◇ We can construct a similar mass-deformed lattice model for 2d $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ $U(N)$ SYM. [Hanada-Matsuura-F.S.]

- Mass-deformed 2d continuum theory preserves full 8 SUSYs
- 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $U(k)$ SYM on $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \text{NC } \mathbf{R}^2$ is obtained.

◇ Coupled to matter fields, $\mathcal{N} = 1^*, 2^*$ models.

In particular, 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal theories would be interesting.