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ABSTRACT

A ribbon chord diagram, or simply a chord diagram, of a ribbon surface-link in the 4-space is introduced. Links, virtual links and welded virtual links can be described naturally by chord diagrams with the corresponding moves, respectively. Some moves on chord diagrams are introduced by overseeing these special moves. Then the faithful equivalence on ribbon surface-links is stated in terms of the moves on chord diagrams. This answers questions by Y. Nakanishi and Y. Marumoto affirmatively. The faithful TOP-equivalence on ribbon surface-links derives the same result. By combining a previous result on TOP-triviality of a surface-knot, a ribbon surface-knot is DIFF-trivial if and only if the fundamental group is an infinite cyclic group. This corrects an erroneous proof in T. Yanagawa’s old paper.
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1. Introduction

A ribbon surface-link in the 4-space $\mathbb{R}^4$ defined in [16] is described as a ribbon chord diagram, or simply a chord diagram in Section 2, which is a diagram of a chord graph, a trivalent spatial graph in the 3-space $\mathbb{R}^3$ consisting of a trivial link called based loops and arcs called chords.\(^1\) We investigate a transformation from a link diagram or a virtual link diagram to a chord diagram of a ribbon torus-link which is defined by observing Artin’s spinning construction [1] for a classical link

\(^1\)Our basic techniques depend on the papers [15, 16]. Earlier arguments in T. Yajima’s papers [23, 24] and T. Yanagawa’s paper [26] are also helpful here.
and by observing Satoh’s construction [21] for a virtual or welded virtual link. In this section, we also investigate consequences of the classical, virtual and welded virtual Reidemeister moves to chord diagrams. In Section 3, three kinds of moves on the chord diagrams, called $M_0$, $M_1$ and $M_2$ are introduced as moves relaxing the classical, virtual and welded virtual Reidemeister moves given in Section 2. The move $M_0$ is nothing but the Reidemeister moves on diagrams of trivalent spatial graphs. The move $M_1$ is a move on the based loops, called the fusion-fission move, which is equivalent under the use of the move $M_0$ to the elementary fusion-fission move $M_{1,0}$, the moves of the chord slide $M_{1,1}$ and the chord pass $M_{1,2}$. In Section 4, first of all, the definition of a faithful equivalence on ribbon surface-links is made as an equivalence together with a homotopical vanishing condition on meridians of the ribbon 1-handles. Then the main result (Theorem 4.1) saying that two ribbon surface-links are faithfully equivalent if and only if any chord diagrams of them are mutually deformed into each other by a finite number of the moves $M_0$, $M_1$ and $M_2$ is stated and proved. This answers questions by Y. Nakanishi in [19] and Y. Marumoto in [17] affirmatively. A main idea of this proof is outlined as follows: Given a faithful equivalence on ribbon surface-links $F$ and $F'$, then we send a chord graph put on $F$ to $F'$ by the faithful equivalence. Then we deform the image of the chord graph into a chord graph of $F'$ put on $F'$ homotopically while using the moves $M_0$, $M_1$ and $M_2$ on the chord diagrams. In Section 5, it is observed in Corollary 5.1 that the topological version of Theorem 4.1 holds without essential change. This means that any two ribbon surface-links are faithfully TOP-equivalent if and only if they are faithfully equivalent. A surface-knot $F$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is DIFF-trivial or TOP-trivial respectively if $F$ bounds a handlebody embedded in $\mathbb{R}^4$ by a smooth embedding or a locally-flat topological embedding. It is proved in [4] and [13] that a surface-knot $F$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is TOP-trivial if and only if the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus F)$ is an infinite cyclic group. As a consequence of Corollary 5.1, we see that a ribbon surface-knot $F$ is DIFF-trivial if and only if the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus F)$ is an infinite cyclic group (Corollary 5.3). This corrects an erroneous proof of T. Yanagawa’s old paper [27].

The author would like to thank Seiichi Kamada and Shin Satoh for helpful comments for this research. An essential part of this paper is completed during his stay at the Chinese University of Hong Kong, where he thanks Zhongtao Wu for his nice hospitalities.

2. A ribbon surface-link and a ribbon chord diagram

A band surgery on an oriented link $\ell$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is a transformation of $\ell$ into an oriented link $\ell'$ by a band $b$ spanning $\ell$ such that $\ell' = \text{cl}(\ell \setminus (\ell \cap b)) \cup \text{cl}(b \setminus (\ell \cap b))$ (see Fig. 1).

For the real line $\mathbb{R}$, the 4-space $\mathbb{R}^4$ is considered as

$$\mathbb{R}^4 = \{(x, t) | x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$
For an interval $[a, b]$ and a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, we use the notation

$$A[a, b] = \{(x, t) | x \in A, t \in [a, b]\}.$$ 

The realizing surface of a band surgery $\ell \rightarrow \ell'$ on finitely many mutually disjoint bands $\beta_j (j = 1, 2, \ldots, p)$ is a surface $F^b_a$ in $\mathbb{R}^3[a, b]$ defined by the following identity:

$$F^b_a \cap \mathbb{R}^3[t] = \begin{cases}
\ell'(t) & (\frac{a+b}{2} < t \leq b) \\
(\ell \cup b_1 \cup \cdots \cup b_p)[t] & (t = \frac{a+b}{2}) \\
\ell(t) & (a \leq t < \frac{a+b}{2})
\end{cases}$$

For a band surgery sequence $\ell_0 \rightarrow \ell_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \ell_m$ and an increasing real sequence $a = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_m = b$, we can construct the realizing surface

$$F^b_a = F^{a_0}_{a_0} \cup F^{a_1}_{a_1} \cup \cdots \cup F^{a_m}_{a_{m-1}}$$

of the band surgery sequence $\ell_0 \rightarrow \ell_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \ell_m$ in $\mathbb{R}^3[a, b]$, whose topological type is independent of particular choices of the numbers $a_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1)$. If the
links \( \ell_0 \) and \( \ell_m \) are trivial, then we have disk systems \( d \) and \( d' \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) bounded by \( \ell_0 \) and \( \ell_m \), respectively, so that we can construct a closed oriented surface
\[
\tilde{F}_a^b = d[a] \cup F_a^b \cup d'[b]
\]
in \( \mathbb{R}^3[a,b] \), which we call the closed realizing surface of the band surgery sequence \( \ell_0 \to \ell_1 \to \cdots \to \ell_m \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3[a,b] \) (see Fig. 2).

A surface-link in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) is a closed oriented (possibly disconnected) surface \( F \) embedded in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) by a smooth embedding or a piecewise-linear locally flat embedding. When \( F \) is connected, it is called a surface-knot in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \). Two surface-links \( F \) and \( F' \) in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) are equivalent if there is an orientation-preserving smooth or piecewise-linear homeomorphism \( f : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4 \) sending \( F \) to \( F' \) orientation-preservingly. The following lemma called Horibe-Yanagawa’s lemma is shown in [15].

**Lemma 2.1.** Any two closed realizing surfaces constructed from the same realizing surface \( F_a^b \) by using any disk systems are equivalent by an equivalence \( f : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4 \) keeping \( \mathbb{R}^3[a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon'] \) fixed for any sufficiently small positive numbers \( \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \).

We use the following definition of a ribbon surface-link given in [16]:

**Definition.** A surface-link \( F \) in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) is ribbon if \( F \) is equivalent to the closed realizing surface of a band surgery sequence
\[
o \to \ell \to o
\]
such that \( o \) is a trivial link in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) and the band surgery \( \ell \to o \) is the inverse of \( o \to \ell \) (see Fig. 3).

![Figure 3: A ribbon surface-knot in \( \mathbb{R}^3[-2,2] \)](image)

An embedded 1-handle on a surface-link \( F \) in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) is the image \( h = \text{im}(\psi) \) of an embedding
\[
\psi : D^2 \times I \to \mathbb{R}^4
\]
such that
\[ F \cap h = \psi(D^2 \times \{0, 1\}), \]
where \( D^2 \) is the unit disk and \( I = [0, 1] \). The surface-link obtained from \( F \) by surgery along the embedded 1-handle \( h \) is the surface-link
\[ F' = \text{cl}(F \setminus F \cap h) \cup \text{cl}(\partial h \setminus F \cap h) \]
in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \). The following characterization of a ribbon-surface-link is given in [16], which is reproved here because we use a property of this characterization.

**Lemma 2.2.** An oriented surface-link \( F \) in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) is ribbon if and only if \( F \) is obtained from a trivial \( S^2 \)-link
\[ O = S^2_0 \cup S^2_1 \cup \cdots \cup S^2_n \]
in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) by surgery along embedded 1-handles \( h_j \) \((j = 1, 2, \ldots, s)\) on \( O \).

**Proof of Lemma 2.2.** By definition, a ribbon surface-link \( F \) is equivalent to a surface-link obtained from the trivial \( S^2 \)-link
\[ O = d[-2] \cup o[-2, 2] \cup d[2] \]
by surgery along the 1-handles
\[ (\beta_1 \cup \beta_2 \cup \cdots \cup \beta_s)[-1, 1], \]
where \( o \) is a trivial link in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), \( d \) is a disk system bounded by \( o \) and \( \beta_j(j = 1, 2, \ldots, s) \) are the bands used for the band surgery \( o \to \ell \) (see Fig. 4).

![Figure 4: Creating a 1-handle from a band](image)

Conversely, assume that \( F \) is obtained from a trivial \( S^2 \)-link \( O \) by surgery along 1-handles \( h_j \) \((j = 1, 2, \ldots, s)\) on \( O \). Let \( \alpha_j \) be a core arc of \( h_j \) attaching to \( O \). Let \( F' \) be another surface-link obtained from \( O \) by a surgery along 1-handles \( h'_j \) \((j = 1, 2, \ldots, s)\)
on $O$, and $\alpha'_j$ a core arc of $h'_j$ attaching to $O$. We use the following lemma seen from [6, Theorem 1.2]:

**Lemma 2.3.** If $\alpha'_j$ is homotopic to $\alpha_j$ by a homotopy relative to $O$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$, then $F'$ is equivalent to $F$.

Let $O = d[-2] \cup o[-2,2] \cup d[2]$ for a trivial link $o$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and a disk system $d$ bounded by $o$. Assume that the endpoints of the arcs $\alpha_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$) are in $\mathbb{R}^3[0]$ and by a general position argument the projection images $\alpha'_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$) of $\alpha_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$) into $\mathbb{R}^3[0]$ are mutually disjoint embedded arcs. Then $\alpha'_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$) are homotopic to $\alpha_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$) by a homotopy relative to $O$. By Lemma 2.3, we can assume that the arcs $\alpha_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$) are in $\mathbb{R}^3[0]$. Let $\beta_j$ be a band spanning $o$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\alpha_j$ is a core arc of $\beta_j$. The surface-link $\tilde{F}$ is equivalent to the surface-link obtained from $O$ by surgery along the 1-handles $h_j = \beta_j[-1,1]$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$) on $O$. (The latter surface-link will be denoted by $\tilde{F}_2^2(o,\alpha).$) Hence $F$ is a ribbon surface-link, completing the proof of Lemma 2.2. □

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.4.** Every oriented ribbon surface-link is presented as a union of an oriented trivial link $o = \bigcup_{i=0}^n o_i$ and the arcs $\alpha = \bigcup_{j=1}^s \alpha_j$ spanning $o$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (see Fig. 5).

The pair $(o;\alpha)$ is called a **chord graph**, the trivial link $o$ the **based loops**, and the arcs $\alpha$ the **chords**. The ribbon surface-link $F$ given by the pair $(o;\alpha)$ is denoted by $F = F(o;\alpha)$. The notation $\tilde{F}_2^2(o,\alpha)$ given in the proof of Lemma 2.2 is also used when we emphasize that the disk systems are in $\mathbb{R}^3[\pm 2]$, the upper bands are in $\mathbb{R}^3[1]$ and the lower bands are in $\mathbb{R}^3[-1]$.

We note that the chord graph $(o;\alpha)$ is nothing but a trivalent spatial graph obtained from a trivial link by adding a finite number of mutually disjoint arcs. A **ribbon chord diagram** or simply a **chord diagram** is a spatial graph diagram $C(o;\alpha)$
of a chord graph \((o; \alpha)\). In an earlier paper [12], the chord graph is called a *disk-arc presentation* of a ribbon-surface-link.

We note that the diagram on the based loops in the chord diagram \(C(o; \alpha)\) may have crossings since it is exactly a diagram of a trivial link. See Fig. 6 for a chord diagram whose based loops have crossings.

![Figure 6: A chord diagram with crossed based loops](image)

In the band presentations of the chords, the bands should be twisted so that the realizing surface is oriented. For example, as band presentations of the chords in Fig. 7, the chord of (1) must be replaced by a \(2m\)-half-twist band whereas the chord of (2) must be replaced by a \((2m + 1)\)-half-twist band, for any integer \(m\), to obtain an oriented surface-link. Then we note that the equivalence class of the resulting surface-link is independent of a choice of the twists by [6, Lemma 1.4], which gives a merit adopting a chord rather than a band to represent a ribbon surface-link. Although every based loop in a chord graph is oriented, the arrow is omitted unless we emphasize the orientation.

![Figure 7: A difference on chords](image)

If an oriented compact 1-manifold \(\ell\) is properly embedded in the upper-half 3-space

\[
\mathbb{R}^3_+ = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | x_3 \geq 0\},
\]

then the surface-link \(F(\ell)\) in \(\mathbb{R}^4\) is constructed from \(\ell\) by the mapping

\[
(x_1, x_2, x_3) \rightarrow (x_1, x_2, x_3 \cos \theta, x_3 \sin \theta) | 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi,
\]
where every arc or loop component in $\ell$ changes into an $S^2$-component or a torus-component in $F(\ell)$, respectively. The surface-link $F(\ell)$ is a ribbon surface-link (see [17]), called the spun surface-link of $\ell$, and this construction is called Artin’s spinning construction (see [1]). A chord diagram $C_D$ of the ribbon surface-link $F(\ell)$ is obtained from any diagram $D$ of $\ell$ in $\mathbb{R}^3_+$ by replacing a neighborhood of every crossing point of $D$ with any one of the two diagrams in the right hand of Fig. 8 and every endpoint of an arc component of $\ell$ with a based loop (with any orientation) attaching to the endpoint. See Fig. 9 for an illustration of this replacement, where the equality in Fig. 9 will be seen from the moves $M_0$, $M_1$ and $M_2$ on chord diagrams introduced in Section 3.

![Figure 8: Transforming a neighborhood of a crossing point into a part of a chord diagram](image)

![Figure 9: Transforming a diagram of a 1-manifold into a chord diagram](image)

The following example concerns a ribbon torus-knot due to T. Yajima [23].

**Example 2.5.** Yajima’s ribbon torus-knot is described in Fig. 10. As it is explained in [23], the spun trefoil $S^2$-knot (in the left side of Fig. 10) is obtained by cutting the chord $\alpha_1$ and the ribbon $S^2$-knot (in the right side of Fig. 10) constructed from the standard ribbon disk of the Stevedore knot ($6_1$) is obtained by cutting the chord $\alpha_2$.

Every virtual link diagram $D$ (see [10]) is transformed into a chord diagram $C_D$ by replacing a neighborhood of every real crossing with any one of the two diagrams
in the right hand of Fig. 8 and then by replacing a neighborhood of every virtual crossing by any one of the two diagrams in the right hand of Fig. 11.

Figure 11: Transforming a neighborhood of a virtual crossing point into a part of a chord diagram

The based loops of the chord diagram $C_D$ bound mutually disjoint disks in the plane and every based loop of $C_D$ is passed through by a chord. In general, such a chord diagram is called a regular chord diagram. Further, if every chord of $C_D$ is oriented as it is illustrated in Fig. 12, then every chord of $C_D$ is compatibly oriented. In general, such a regular chord diagram is called an oriented regular chord diagram. For an oriented regular chord diagram, an orientation to one chord is sufficient to specify the orientations on all the chords. The chord system of a chord diagram is simple if there is no crossing among the chords. If $D$ is a link diagram, then the chord diagram $C_D$ is an oriented regular chord diagram with simple chord system, and if $D$ is a virtual link diagram, then the chord diagram $C_D$ is an oriented regular chord diagram.

To avoid ambiguities on an oriented regular chord diagram $C_D$ constructed from a virtual link diagram $D$, we also use the replacements given in Fig. 13. Then the diagram resulting from $C_D$ is called the flat chord diagram of $C_D$ and denoted by $\tilde{C}_D$. It is noted that the flat chord diagram $\tilde{C}_D$ recovers the virtual link diagram $D$ uniquely by taking the upper arcs on the based loops of any chord diagram $C_D$ inducing $\tilde{C}_D$ and then by replacing the crossing points on the chords of $\tilde{C}_D$ with the virtual crossing points.

Let $D$ be the set of link diagrams, and $D_V$ be the set of virtual link diagrams. Then we have $D \subseteq D_V$. Let $\tilde{C}_D$ be the set of flat chord diagrams obtained from the
set $\mathcal{D}$ of link diagrams, and $\mathcal{C}_V$ the set of flat chord diagrams obtained from the set $\mathcal{D}_V$ of virtual link diagrams. We also have $\mathcal{C}_D \subset \mathcal{C}_V$. Let
\[
\iota : (\mathcal{D}_V, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_V, \mathcal{C}_D)
\]
be the bijection defined by sending every $D$ to $\mathcal{C}_D$. The Reidemeister moves of classical, virtual and welded virtual link diagrams are transformed into moves on the flat chord diagrams as they are given in Fig. 14, where the Reidemeister moves $R_1 - R_8$ change into the moves $cR_1 - cR_8$ on the flat chord diagrams, where orientations of the chords, naturally preserved are omitted.

Note that the sets of links, virtual links and welded virtual links are given by the quotient sets $\mathcal{D}/(R_1 - R_3)$, $\mathcal{D}_V/(R_1 - R_7)$ and $\mathcal{D}_V/(R_1 - R_8)$, respectively. The following proposition is direct.

**Proposition 2.6.** The bijection $\iota$ induces bijections:
\[
\iota_* : \mathcal{D}/(R_1 - R_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_D/(cR_1 - cR_3),
\]
\[
\iota_* : \mathcal{D}_V/(R_1 - R_7) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V/(cR_1 - cR_7),
\]
\[
\iota_* : \mathcal{D}_V/(R_1 - R_8) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V/(cR_1 - cR_8).
\]
Proposition 2.7. (1) The quotient map
\[ \mathcal{D}/(R_1 - R_3) \to \mathcal{D}_V/(R_1 - R_7) \]
is injective.

(2) The composite quotient map
\[ \mathcal{D}/(R_1 - R_3) \to \mathcal{D}_V/(R_1 - R_7) \to \mathcal{D}_V/(R_1 - R_8) \]
is injective, whereas the quotient map
\[ \mathcal{D}_V/(R_1 - R_7) \to \mathcal{D}_V/(R_1 - R_8) \]
is not injective.

Non-injectivity of the quotient map
\[ \mathcal{D}_V/(R_1 - R_7) \to \mathcal{D}_V/(R_1 - R_8) \]
using flat chord diagrams is shown by Fig. 15.

The following corollary is direct from Proposition 2.7.
Corollary 2.8. The composite map

$$\tilde{D}/(cR_1 - cR_3) \rightarrow \tilde{D}/(cR_1 - cR_7) \rightarrow \tilde{D}/(cR_1 - cR_8)$$

is non-injective.

3. Moves on chord diagrams

In this section, three kinds of moves $M_0$, $M_1$ and $M_2$ on the set $C$ of chord diagrams are introduced such that the moves $cR_1 - cR_8$ are consequences of the moves $M_0$, $M_1$ and $M_2$.

Move $M_0$. This move consists of the Reidemeister moves $R_1$, $R_2$, $R_3$, $gR_4$, $gR_5$ as spatial trivalent graphs, illustrated in Fig. 16.

We note that any two arcs in the three arcs together with a vertex or any arc in Fig. 16 can be taken to belong to a based loop although the orientation and the shadow of the based loop are omitted there.

Move $M_1$. This move is the fusion-fission move, illustrated in Fig. 17, where the fusion operation is done only for a chord between different based loops.

The following lemma is obtained.

Lemma 3.1. Under the use of the move $M_0$, the move $M_1$ is equivalent to the combination move of the elementary fusion-fission move $M_{1,0}$, the chord slide move
Figure 16: Move $M_0$: Reidemeister moves $R_1$, $R_2$, $R_3$, $gR_4$, $gR_5$ for trivalent graph diagrams

Figure 17: Fusion-fission $M_1$
$M_{1,1}$ and the chord pass move $M_{1,2}$ illustrated in Fig. 18. The birth-death move illustrated in Fig. 19 is obtained from these moves, unless a closed chord is involved.

As a convention, a closed chord is regarded as a chord with a based loop constructed from the birth-death move.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. The move $M_1$ implies the moves $M_{1,0}$, $M_{1,1}$ and $M_{1,2}$. To obtain the converse, we assume by using $M_0$ that the disks bounded by the based loops in the left figure of Fig. 17 are embedded in the plane and disjoint from the other based loops. By using $M_{1,1}$ and $M_{1,2}$, a situation to apply $M_{1,0}$ is created, so that the right figure of Fig. 17 is obtained. Next, we assume by using $M_0$ that the disk bounded by the based loop in the right figure of Fig. 17 is embedded in the plane and disjoint from the other based loops. By $M_{1,0}$, a situation to apply $M_{1,1}$ and $M_{1,2}$ is created, so that the left figure of Fig. 17 is obtained. Thus, the desired equivalence is shown. Next, to obtain the birth-death move from $M_1$, we take the chord of the left figure of Fig. 19 near the attaching point of the chord to a based loop to obtain the right figure of Fig. 19 by using $M_1$. The resulting based loop can slide along the chord by using $M_0$. Thus, we obtain the birth-death move. $\square$

Move $M_2$. This move consists of moves on chords, illustrated in Fig. 20.

The following observation is easily obtained.

Observation 3.2. The moves $cR_1 - cR_8$ on the set $C_V$ of oriented chord diagrams are the consequences of the moves $M_0, M_1, M_2$. 
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Figure 19: The birth-death move

Figure 20: Chord moves $M_2$
The following proposition is given by S. Satoh [21].

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $C_1$ be the subset of $C$ represented by ribbon torus-links. Then the operation recovering any chord diagram $C_D$ from the flat chord diagram $\tilde{C}_D$ for every virtual link diagram $D$ induces a surjection $\tau : \tilde{C}_V/(cR_1 - cR_8) \to C_1/(M_0, M_1, M_2)$.

Thus, there is a surjection from the set of welded virtual links onto the set of ribbon torus-links. Further, every arrow of the following sequence

$$\mathcal{D}/(R_1 - R_3) \to \mathcal{D}_V/(R_1 - R_7) \to \mathcal{D}_V/(R_1 - R_8) \cong \tilde{C}_V/(cR_1 - cR_8) \xrightarrow{\tau} C_1/(M_0, M_1, M_2)$$

preserves the fundamental group presentations.

**Proof of Proposition 3.3 from our viewpoint.** Observation 3.2, it suffices to show that $\tau$ is a surjection. By the move $M_0$, a chord diagram $C \in C_1$ is deformed into a chord diagram $C'$ so that the based loops bound mutually disjoint disks in the plane and the crossings among the chords arise outside the disks. Since $C'$ represents a ribbon torus-link, by the chord slide $M_1$, the chord diagram $C'$ is deformed into a chord diagram $C''$ so that every based loop of $C''$ has just two end points. A based loop where no chord passes through can be removed by the birth-death move. Thus, by the fusion-fission move $M_1$, $C''$ is deformed into a regular chord diagram. If the regular chord diagram $C''$ is oriented, then we have $C'' = C_D$ for a virtual link diagram $D$. The flat chord diagram $\tilde{C}_D$ is sent to $C$ in $C_1/(M_0, M_1, M_2)$. If the chord diagram $C''$ is non-orientable, then $C''$ can be changed into an oriented regular chord diagram by the move $M_0$ as it is shown in Fig. 21. The reason why the fundamental group presentations are preserved comes from the fact that the group relations of a virtual link diagram are exactly equal to the group relations of a ribbon torus-link diagram (cf. [23]), as they are shown in Fig. 22. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.3. □

The following observation is more or less known.

**Observation 3.4.** The map $\tau : \tilde{C}_V/(cR_1 - cR_8) \to C_1/(M_0, M_1, M_2)$ is not injective.

**Proof of Observation 3.4.** By construction of a ribbon surface-link, every chord diagram $C(\alpha; \alpha)$ and the inversed mirror image $C(-\alpha; \alpha)^*$ represent the equivalent ribbon surface-links. On the other hand, there are many links $L$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which is not equivalent to the inversed mirror image (=the negative amphicheiral link) $-L^*$ of $L$ (for example, take the trefoil knot as $L$) which are still distinct as welded virtual links by [20], completing the proof of Observation 3.4. □
Figure 21: Changing a non-orientable chord into an oriented chord

Figure 22: Group relations

Group relations of a virtual link diagram

\[
\begin{align*}
a = d, & \quad b = a^{-1}ca & \quad a = d, & \quad b = c
\end{align*}
\]

Group relations of a ribbon torus-link diagram

\[
\begin{align*}
a = d, & \quad b = a^{-1}ca & \quad a = d, & \quad b = c
\end{align*}
\]
4. Faithful equivalence on ribbon surface-link and the main result

To state the definition of a faithful equivalence, let \( h(\alpha) \) be the 1-handles along the chords \( \alpha \), used for the construction of a ribbon surface-link \( F(\alpha; \alpha) \). Let \( F(\alpha; h(\alpha)) = F(\alpha; \alpha) \cup h(\alpha) \). A ribbon surface-link \( F(\alpha; \alpha) \) is faithfully equivalent to a ribbon surface-link \( F(\alpha'; \alpha') \) if there is an equivalence \( f : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4 \) sending \( F(\alpha; \alpha) \) to \( F(\alpha'; \alpha') \) and every meridian (i.e., belt 1-sphere) of the 1-handles \( h(\alpha) \) to a null-homotopic curve in \( F(\alpha'; h(\alpha')) \).

To see that the faithful equivalence is an equivalence relation, first assume that \( F(\alpha; \alpha) \) be a ribbon surface-knot. Let \( \pi_1(F(\alpha; \alpha))_\lambda \) be the quotient of the fundamental group \( \pi_1(F(\alpha; \alpha)) \) by the normal subgroup generated normally by meridians of the 1-handles \( h(\alpha) \). The group \( \pi_1(F(\alpha; \alpha))_\lambda \) is isomorphic to the group \( \pi_1(F(\alpha; h(\alpha))) \) which is a free group of rank equal to the genus of the surface \( F(\alpha; \alpha) \). By definition, the faithful equivalence \( f : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4 \) induces an epimorphism \( f^\#: \pi_1(F(\alpha; \alpha))_\lambda \to \pi_1(F(\alpha'; \alpha'))_\lambda \), which is an isomorphism since they are free groups of the same rank. Thus, the inverse equivalence \( f^{-1} \) gives a faithful equivalence from \( F(\alpha'; \alpha') \) to \( F(\alpha; \alpha) \) and hence the faithful equivalence on ribbon surface-knots is an equivalence relation. Considering this argument componentwise, we see that the faithful equivalence on ribbon surface-links is an equivalence relation. We also note that the ribbon surface-link \( F(\alpha; \alpha) \) is uniquely constructed from a chord graph \( (\alpha; \alpha) \) up to faithful equivalences.

The main theorem of this paper is stated as follows:

**Theorem 4.1.** Two ribbon surface-links \( F(\alpha; \alpha) \) and \( F(\alpha'; \alpha') \) are faithfully equivalent if and only if the chord diagram \( C(\alpha'; \alpha') \) is obtained from the chord diagram \( C(\alpha; \alpha) \) by a finite number of the moves \( M_0, M_1, M_2 \).

Since any faithful equivalence on ribbon \( S^2 \)-links is equivalent to an equivalence, this result answers a question of Y. Nakanishi’s paper [19] and Y. Marumoto’s paper [17] (cf. [11, p.186]) asking on ribbon \( S^2 \)-knots affirmatively. We also mention that a similar result is claimed by B. Winter [22] in a different way (unpublished).

The moves \( M_0, M_1, M_2 \) do not alter the faithful equivalence class of a ribbon surface-link. Thus, the if part is trivial. Throughout the remainder of this section, the proof of the only if part of Theorem 4.1 will be done. First, assume that the ribbon surface-links \( F(\alpha; \alpha) = \tilde{F}_2(a; \alpha) \) and \( F(\alpha'; \alpha') = \tilde{F}_2(a'; \alpha') \) are ribbon surface-knots. Let \( \tilde{F}_2(a; \alpha) \) and \( \tilde{F}_2(a'; \alpha') \) be the surfaces obtained from \( \tilde{F}_2(a; \alpha) \) and \( \tilde{F}_2(a'; \alpha') \) by removing the upper and lower disk systems, respectively. By the moves \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \), we can assume the following (1)-(3).

1. The based loops \( o \) and \( o' \) are identical: \( o = o' \) and have the \( n + 1 \) components \( o_i \) \((i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n)\).
2. The chords \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha' \) have the same number of chords \( \alpha_j \) and \( \alpha'_j \) with identical
boundaries $\partial \alpha_j = \partial \alpha_j'$ for all $j (j = 1, 2, \ldots, s)$.

(3) The chords $\alpha$ connect the based loops $o$ as in Fig. 23. Namely, for every $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$ the chord $\alpha_j$ joins the based loop $o_j$ to the based loop $o_0$, referred to as a non-self-connecting chord, and for every $j$ with $n + 1 \leq j \leq s$ the chord $\alpha_j$ joins the based loop $o_0$ itself, referred to as a self-connecting chord.

Figure 23: A specification of the chords $\alpha$ joining the based loops $o$

We use the following lemma, well-known by the uniqueness of regular neighborhoods and the isotopy extension theorem in the piecewise-linear topology (cf. Hudson [7]).

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $(D^4, D^2)$ be the standard (4,2)-disk pair. For any two disjoint disks $d_i (i = 0, 1, \ldots, n)$ and $d'_i (i = 0, 1, \ldots, n)$ in the disk interior $\text{int}(D^2)$ whose complement $\text{cl}(D^2 \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^n d_i \cup d'_i))$ is a 2-manifold, there is a self-homeomorphism $g : (D^4, D^2) \to (D^4, D^2)$ such that $g|\partial D^4 = 1$ and $g(d_i) = d'_i$ for every $i (i = 0, 1, \ldots, n)$. Further, if there is an identification of the disks $d_i$ and $d'_i$ with the orientations induced from an orientation of $D^2$, then we can take $g|d_i = 1$.

In fact, by the assumption of Lemma 4.2, the disks $d_i (i = 0, 1, \ldots, n)$ and $d'_i (i = 0, 1, \ldots, n)$ are considered as piecewise-linearly embedded disks in $D^2$ where we can apply the uniqueness of regular neighborhoods and the isotopy extension theorem. By Lemma 4.2, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** There is a faithful equivalence

$$f : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$$

from $\tilde{F}^2_2(o; \alpha)$ to $\tilde{F}^2_2(o; \alpha')$ with $f|\mathbb{R}^3(-\infty, -3] \cup \mathbb{R}^3[3, +\infty) = 1$ such that

$$f|d[-2] \cup u[-2, 1] \cup o[1, 2] \cup d'[2] = 1$$

for the union $u$ of an arc $u_i \subset o_i \setminus o_i \cap \alpha$ for every $i$. 
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**Proof of Lemma 4.3.** Since a surface-link is compact, the faithful equivalence is given by an orientation-preserving homeomorphism \( h : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4 \) with compact support. Thus, we can assume that \( h|_{\mathbb{R}^3(\infty, -3]} \cup \mathbb{R}^3[3, +\infty) = 1 \). Choose a 2-disk \( D_1^2 \) in \( \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha') \) containing the disk system \( f(d[-2] \cup u[-2, 1] \cup o[1, 2] \cup d[2]) \) and a disjoint small 2-disk \( \Delta_1 \). Since \( \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha') \) is smoothly embedded in \( \mathbb{R}^3[-3, 3] \), the disk \( D_1^2 \) is regarded as a standard 2-disk in a 4-disk \( D_1^4 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3[-3, 3] \) with \( D_1^4 \cap \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha') = D_2^2 \). By Lemma 4.2, the disk system \( f(d[-2] \cup u[-2, 1] \cup o[1, 2] \cup d[2]) \) is deformed into a previously specified disk system in \( \Delta_1 \) by a homeomorphism \( g_1 : D_1^4 \to D_1^4 \) with \( g_1(D_1^2) = D_1^2 \) and \( g_1|_{\partial D_1^4} = 1 \). Let \( D_2^4 \) be a 2-disk in \( \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha') \) containing the disk system \( d[-2] \cup u[-2, 1] \cup o[1, 2] \cup d[2] \) and a disjoint 2-disk \( \Delta_2 \), and \( D_2^4 \) a 4-disk in \( \mathbb{R}^3[-3, 3] \) with \( D_2^2 \) a standard 2-disk and \( D_1^4 \cap \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha') = D_2^2 \). By Lemma 4.2, the disk system \( d[-2] \cup u[-2, 1] \cup o[1, 2] \cup d[2] \) is deformed into a previously specified disk system in \( \Delta_2 \) by a homeomorphism \( g_2 : D_2^4 \to D_2^4 \) with \( g_2(D_2^2) = D_2^2 \) and \( g_2|_{\partial D_2^4} = 1 \). Let \( \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 = \emptyset \). Choose a 2-disk \( D_3^2 \) in \( \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha') \) containing \( \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \). Let \( D_3^4 \) be a 4-disk in \( \mathbb{R}^3[-3, 3] \) with \( D_3^2 \) a standard 2-disk and \( D_3^4 \cap \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha') = D_3^2 \). By Lemma 4.2, a previously specified disk system in \( \Delta_1 \) is also deformed into a previously specified disk system in \( \Delta_2 \) by a homeomorphism \( g_3 : D_3^4 \to D_3^4 \) with \( g_3(D_3^2) = D_3^2 \) and \( g_3|_{\partial D_3^4} = 1 \). Let \( g_i^+ : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4 \) be the homeomorphism obtained from \( g_i \) by the identity extension for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). Then the composition \( f = (g_3^+)(g_2^+)(g_1^+)(h) \) is a desired faithful homeomorphism because \( g_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3) \) give isotopic deformations on \( \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha') \). □

We put copies of the chords \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha' \) in the upper bands of \( \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha) \) and \( \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha') \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3[1] \) which are denoted by \( \bar{\alpha} \) and \( \bar{\alpha}' \), respectively. Let \( \bar{\Gamma}(\alpha) \) be the graph obtained from the chord graph \( (\alpha; \alpha) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) by deleting the interior of \( u \), and \( \bar{\Gamma}(\alpha') \) the graph obtained similarly from the chord graph \( (\alpha; \alpha') \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) (see Fig. 24). Let \( \bar{\Gamma}(\alpha) \) and \( \bar{\Gamma}(\alpha') \) be the graphs obtained by putting \( \Gamma(\alpha) \) and \( \Gamma(\alpha') \) in \( \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha) \cap \mathbb{R}^3[1] \) and \( \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha') \cap \mathbb{R}^3[1] \), respectively. Then we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.4.** The faithful equivalence \( f : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4 \) in Lemma 4.3 induces a map \( f_* : \bar{\Gamma}(\alpha) \to \bar{\Gamma}(\alpha') \) preserving the degree one vertices graph-componentwise and inducing an isomorphism on the fundamental groups of the corresponding components.

**Proof of Lemma 4.4.** First, assume that \( G \) and \( G' \) are ribbon surface-knots. Let \( G = \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha) \) and \( G' = \mathbb{F}_2^2(\alpha; \alpha') \). Also, let \( G_h = G \cup h(\alpha) \) and \( G'_h = G' \cup h(\alpha') \). Let

\[ \Delta = d[-2] \cup u[-2, 2] \cup d[2], \quad E = \text{cl}(G \setminus \Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad E' = \text{cl}(G' \setminus \Delta). \]
Also, let
\[ E_h = E \cup h(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad E'_h = E' \cup h'(\alpha'). \]

We note that
\[ \Gamma(\alpha) \subset E \subset E_h, \quad \Gamma'(\alpha') \subset E' \subset E'_h \]
and there are strong deformation retractions \( E_h \to \Gamma(\alpha) \) and \( E'_h \to \Gamma'(\alpha') \). Since \( f \) defines a homeomorphism \( E \to E' \), we see from the inclusion map \( \Gamma(\alpha) \subset E \) and the retraction \( E'_h \to \Gamma'(\alpha') \) that \( f \) defines a map \( f_* : \Gamma(\alpha) \to \Gamma'(\alpha') \) uniquely up to homotopy which keeps the degree one vertices. Also, note that the fundamental groups \( \pi_1(\Gamma(\alpha)) \) and \( \pi_1(\Gamma'(\alpha')) \) are free groups of rank \( s-n \) with bases represented by the self-connecting chords \( \bar{\alpha}_j \) and \( \bar{\alpha}'_j \) (\( j = n+1, n+2, \ldots, s \)), respectively. To see that \( f_* \) induces an isomorphism on the fundamental groups, let \( m \in E \) be a meridian of the 1-handles \( h(\alpha) \). By the faithfulness of \( f \), the image \( f(m) \subset E' \) is null-homotopic in \( G'_h \). For the disk system \( d \) bounded by \( o \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) which is used for the construction of \( G' \), let \( c : o \times [0, \varepsilon] \to d \) be a boundary collar of \( o \) in \( d \) with \( c(x, 0) = x \) (\( \forall x \in o \)) for a sufficiently small positive number \( \varepsilon \). For the 2-sphere \( S^2 = d[-2] \cup o[-2,2] \cup d[2] \), let
\[ S^2_c = d[-2, -2+\varepsilon] \cup c(o \times [0, \varepsilon])[-2,2] \cup d[2,2-\varepsilon] \]
be a 3-manifold naturally homeomorphic to \( S^2 \times [0, \varepsilon] \). We assume that the 1-handles \( h(\alpha') \) meet the compact 3-manifold \( S^2_c \) only in the attaching disks to \( o[-2,2] \).

The loop \( f(m) \) bounds a generic piecewise-linear singular disk \( D_* \) in the compact 3-manifold \( G'_{h,c} = G'_h \cup S^2_c \). Let
\[ \Delta_c = d[-2, -2+\varepsilon] \cup c(u \times [0, \varepsilon])[-2,2] \cup d[2,2-\varepsilon] \]
be a 3-manifold naturally homeomorphic to \( \Delta \times [0, \varepsilon] \). Let \( J = c(p \times [0, \varepsilon]) \) be the arc for an interior point \( p \) of \( u \) which is proper in \( S^2_c \). Since \( \Delta_c \) is a regular neighborhood of \( J \) in \( S^2_c \) and \( J \) can be assumed to meet the singular disk \( D_* \) in a part apart from the
singularity transversely, we can assume from the uniqueness of regular neighborhoods that the disk $D_*$ meets $\Delta_c$ in disks $P(\Delta)$ parallel to the disk $\Delta$ in $\Delta_c$. Note that the disks $P(\Delta)$ are contained in 2-spheres $P(S^2)$ parallel to the 2-sphere $S^2_c$. Replacing the disks $P(\Delta)$ with the complementary disks $\text{cl}(P(S^2) \setminus P(\Delta))$, we see that the loop $f(m)$ bounds a singular disk $D'_*$ in the 3-manifold $\text{cl}(G'_c \setminus \Delta_c)$ of which $E'_h$ is a strong deformation retract. Thus, the loop $f(m)$ is null-homotopic in $E'_h$. This implies that the map $f_* : \tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha) \to \tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha')$ induces an epimorphism on the free fundamental groups of the same rank, meaning an isomorphism. When $G$ and $G'$ are ribbon surface-links, the same conclusion is obtained by considering the argument above componentwise. □

On the non-self-connecting chords, it is seen from Lemma 4.4 that after the endpoints of every non-self-connecting chord $\alpha'_j$ are moved along the arcs $\text{cl}(o \setminus u)$ and the other chords $\alpha'_{j'} (j' \neq j)$, the image $f(\bar{\alpha}_j)$ of every non-self-connecting chord $\bar{\alpha}_j$ is homotopically deformed into the chord $\bar{\alpha}'_j$ by a homotopy in $\tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha')$ relative to the endpoints of $\bar{\alpha}'_j$.

On the self-connecting chords, we use the fact in [18] that every automorphism of a free group of a finite rank is generated by the elementary Nielsen transformations, meaning

1. Exchange of two basis elements,
2. Replacement of a basis element by its inverse, and
3. Replacement of a basis element by the product of it and another basis element.

By this fact and Lemma 4.4, after finitely many processes of sliding the endpoints of every self-connecting chord $\alpha'_j$ along the arcs $\text{cl}(o \setminus u)$ and some of the other chords $\alpha'_{j'} (j' \neq j)$, the image $f(\bar{\alpha}_j)$ of every self-connecting chord $\bar{\alpha}_j$ is homotopically deformed into the chord $\bar{\alpha}'_j$ by a homotopy in $\tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha')$ relative to the endpoints of $\bar{\alpha}'_j$. Thus, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.5.** After a finite number of the moves $M_0$, $M_1$, $M_2$ on the chord diagrams $C(o; \alpha)$ and $C(o; \alpha')$, the image $f(\bar{\alpha})$ is homotopic to $\bar{\alpha}'$ in $\mathbb{R}^3[-3,3]$ by a homotopy relative to the cylinders $o[-3,3]$.

The following lemma is needed to compare the chords $\alpha$ with the chords $\alpha'$.

**Lemma 4.6.** The homeomorphism $f : \mathbb{R}^3[-3,3] \to \mathbb{R}^3[-3,3]$ is isotopic to a homeomorphism $f' : \mathbb{R}^3[-3,3] \to \mathbb{R}^3[-3,3]$ extending the homeomorphism

$$f|_{F^2_2(o; \alpha)} : F^2_2(o; \alpha) \to F^2_2(o; \alpha')$$

such that

$$f'|_{o[-3]} \cup u[-3,3] \cup o[3] = 1.$$
Proof of Lemma 4.6. Let $p$ be the set of an interior point of the arc $u_i$ for every $i$. By the result of Freedman-Quinn [2, 8.1A], we may consider that the arcs $f(p[2, 3])$ are smooth arcs although the homeomorphism $f$ is not a diffeomorphism or a piecewise-linear homeomorphism. The intersection $f(p[2, 3]) \cap R^3[-3, 2]$ is a disjoint union of the points $f(p[2]) = p[2]$ and compact arcs $I$ with the boundaries $\partial I$ in $R^3[2]$. Since the natural homomorphism

$$\pi_1(R^3[2] \setminus o[2]) \rightarrow \pi_1(R^3[-3, 2] \setminus (F^2_2(o; \alpha') \cup d[-2]))$$

is onto, it can be seen that the arcs $I$ are homotopically deformed into $R^3[2] \setminus o[2]$ by a homotopy relative to $\partial I$ in $R^3[-3, 2] \setminus (F^2_2(o; \alpha') \cup d[-2])$. By applying the same argument to $f(p[-3, -2])$, we may consider that there is a homeomorphism $f' : R^3[-3, 3] \rightarrow R^3[-3, 3]$ which is isotopic to $f$ and extends the homeomorphism $f|F^2_2(o; \alpha) : F^2_2(o; \alpha) \rightarrow F^2_2(o; \alpha')$ such that

$$f'(p[2, 3]) = p[2, 3] \quad \text{and} \quad f'(p[-3, -2]) = p[-3, -2].$$

By the uniqueness of regular neighborhoods and an argument of [6, Lemma 1.4], we may have

$$f'(N(p[2, 3])) = N(p[2, 3]) \quad \text{and} \quad f'(N(p[-3, -2])) = N(p[-3, -2])$$

for normal disk-bundles $N(p[2, 3])$ and $N(p[-3, -2])$ of the arcs $p[2, 3]$ and $p[-3, -2]$ in $R^3[2, 3]$ and $R^3[-3, -2]$, respectively. Further, by the uniqueness of regular neighborhoods, the bands $f'(u[-3, -2])$ and $f'(u[2, 3])$ are respectively considered to be obtained from the bands $u[-3, -2]$ and $u[2, 3]$ by twisting along the arcs $p[-3, -2]$ and $p[2, 3]$ in the normal disk-bundles $N(p[-3, -2])$ and $N(p[2, 3])$. By a further isotopic deformation of $f'$ keeping $f|F^2_2(o; \alpha)$ fixed but granting an isotopic deformation of $f'|R^3[-3] \cup R^3[3]$, we may have

$$f'|o[-3] \cup u[-3, 3] \cup o[3] = 1. \Box$$

The following corollary is obtained from Lemma 4.6.

Corollary 4.7. After a finite number of the moves $M_0$, $M_1$, $M_2$ on the chord diagrams $C(o; \alpha)$ and $C(o; \alpha')$, the chords $\alpha$ are homotopic to the chords $\alpha'$ in $R^3$ by a homotopy relative to the based loops $o$.

Proof of Corollary 4.7. Move the endpoints $\partial \alpha = \partial \alpha'$ of the chords $\alpha$ and $\alpha'$ into the arcs $u$. For the homeomorphism $f'$ in Lemma 4.6, let $D' \subset R^3[-3, 3]$ be smoothly immersed disks which are homotopic to the disks $f'(\alpha[1, 3]) \subset R^3[-3, 3]$ by a homotopy in $R^3[-3, 3]$ relative to the boundaries $\partial D' = \partial f'((\alpha[1, 3])$. Apart from
the arcs \((\partial \alpha)[1, 3]\), the disks \(D'\) meet the 3-disks \(d[-3, 3]\) transversely as a compact 1-manifold (avoiding the double points of \(D'\)) and the disks \(\text{cl}(\alpha \setminus \omega)[-3, 3] \subset o[-3, 3]\) transversely as the boundaries of proper arcs in the 1-manifold of \(D' \cap d[-3, 3]\). By using a bi-collar of \(d[-3, 3]\) in \(\mathbb{R}^3[-3, 3]\), these proper arcs can be moved out of the 3-disks \(d[-3, 3]\) outside homotopically to obtain from \(D'\) singular disks \(D''\) with \(\partial D'' = \partial D'\) and \(D'' \cap o[-3, 3] = (\partial \alpha)[1, 3]\). Let \((\alpha; \alpha'')\) be the chord graph in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) given by \(f'((\alpha; \alpha)[3]) = (\alpha; \alpha'')[3]\) in \(\mathbb{R}^3[3]\). Since \((\alpha; \alpha'')\) is equivalent to the chord graph \((\alpha; \alpha)\) as trivalent spatial graphs, the chord diagram \(C(\alpha)\) is transformed into any chord diagram \(C(\alpha; \alpha'')\) by the move \(M_0\) (see [8, 9, 14] for this proof). By a homotopy from \(f'\) to \(f''\) relative to the based loops \(\omega\), we have singular disks \(D''\) bounded by \(f(\alpha) \cup \partial'\). The union \(D = D'' \cup D''' \cup \alpha'[-3, 1]\) is singular disks in \(\mathbb{R}^3[-3, 3]\) meeting \(o[-3, 3]\) only in the arcs \((\partial \alpha)[-3, 3]\). The projection of the union \(o[-3, 3] \cup D\) into \(\mathbb{R}^3\) gives a homotopy from the chords \(\alpha''\) to the chords \(\alpha'\) in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) relative to the based loops \(\omega\). □

Figure 25: How to eliminate a simple branch type singularity

This corollary and the following lemma complete the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**Lemma 4.8.** If the chords \(\alpha\) are homotopic to the chords \(\alpha'\) in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) by a homotopy relative to the based loops \(\omega\), then the chord diagram \(C(\alpha; \alpha')\) is obtained from the chord diagram \(C(\alpha; \alpha)\) by a finite number of the moves \(M_0, M_1\) and \(M_2\).

**Proof of Lemma 4.8.** By the move \(M_0\), the loops \(\alpha' \cup -\alpha\) bound piecewise-linear immersed disks \(D_+\) whose singularities consist of simple clasp type singularities with end points in the interiors of the chords \(\alpha\) and \(\alpha'\) and of simple branch type singularities with branch points on the based loops \(\omega\). The simple clasp type singularities are eliminated by \(M_0\) and \(M_2\). To eliminate the simple branch type singularities, split off the endpoints \(\partial \alpha\) of the chords \(\alpha\) from the endpoints \(\partial \alpha'\) of the chords \(\alpha'\) by sliding slightly the endpoints \(\partial \alpha\) of the chords \(\alpha\) along \(\omega\) to obtain piecewise-linear immersed disks \(D_+\) avoiding the overlaps of the branch points in \(D_+\). Then every simple branch type singularity is eliminated as it is shown in Fig. 25. In fact, the dotted loop in
the left figure of Fig. 25 is a loop surrounding a chord in $\alpha$ or $\alpha'$. Since the dotted chord in the left figure of Fig. 25 with this loop as a based loop is created by using the chord slide move $M_{1,1}$, the birth-death move (in $M_1$) and the chord move $M_2$, the right figure of Fig. 25 is obtained by the fusion-fission move (in $M_1$). By continuing this process, every simple branch type singularity is eliminated. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

5. Smooth unknotting of a ribbon surface-knot

Two surface-links $F$ and $F'$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$ are *TOP-equivalent* if there is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ sending $F$ to $F'$ orientation-preservingly. Two ribbon surface-links $F(\alpha; \alpha)$ and $F(\alpha'; \alpha')$ are *faithfully TOP-equivalent* if there is an TOP-equivalence $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ sending $F^2(\alpha; \alpha)$ to $F^2(\alpha'; \alpha')$ and every meridian of the 1-handles $h(\alpha)$ to a null-homotopic curve in $F^2(\alpha'; h(\alpha'))$. The faithful TOP-equivalence is also an equivalence relation.

By examining our argument of Section 4, the following theorem can be seen.

**Corollary 5.1.** If two ribbon surface-links $F(\alpha; \alpha)$ and $F(\alpha'; \alpha')$ are faithfully TOP-equivalent, then the chord diagram $C(\alpha'; \alpha')$ is obtained from the chord diagram $C(\alpha; \alpha)$ by a finite number of the moves $M_0$, $M_1$, $M_2$.

The following corollary is direct from Corollary 5.1.

**Corollary 5.2.** Two ribbon surface-links are faithfully TOP-equivalent if and only if they are faithfully equivalent.

A surface-knot $F$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is *DIFF-trivial* or *TOP-trivial* respectively, if $F$ bounds a handlebody embedded in $\mathbb{R}^4$ by a smooth embedding or a locally-flat topological embedding. It is proved in [4] and [13] that a surface-knot $F$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is TOP-trivial if the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus F)$ is an infinite cyclic group. By an argument of [4], a TOP-trivial ribbon surface-knot is faithfully TOP-equivalent to a trivial ribbon surface-knot $F(\alpha, \alpha)$ with a chord diagram $C(\alpha, \alpha)$ without crossings. More generally, every TOP-equivalence from a ribbon surface-knot to a trivial ribbon surface-knot is made a faithful TOP-equivalence by composing a self-equivalence $\mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ of the trivial ribbon surface-knot preserving the spin structure of the surface-knot (see S. Hirose [5]). Then, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.3.** A ribbon surface-knot $F$ is DIFF-trivial if the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus F)$ is an infinite cyclic group.

This proof corrects an erroneous proof of T. Yanagawa’s paper [27] (cf. T. Yajima [25]).
Acknowledgements. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 24244005, 26287013.

References


