

# On alternation numbers of links

Akio Kawauchi<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, Osaka City University, Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku,  
Osaka 558-8585, Japan*

---

## Abstract

We construct infinitely many hyperbolic links with  $x$ -distance far from the set of (possibly, splittable) alternating links in the concordance class of every link. A sensitive result is given for the concordance class of every (possibly, split) alternating link. Our proof uses an estimate of the  $\tau$ -distance by an Alexander invariant and the topological imitation theory, both established earlier by the author.

*Key words:* Alternating link,  $x$ -distance, Alternation number, Concordance, Alternating Laurent polynomial, Semi-classical Alexander polynomial, Link  
*1991 MSC:* 57M25, 57M27

---

## 1 Alternation number and $\tau$ -alternation number

*In this paper, links are always oriented links in the oriented 3-sphere  $S^3$  and a knot is regarded as a link of one component. An alternating link is a link with an alternating diagram, a link diagram such that an over-crossing and an under-crossing appear alternatively along every knot diagram component. Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the set of (possibly, splittable) alternating links. After the solution of the Tait flype conjecture on alternating links by W. W. Menasco and M. B. Thistlethwaite in [16], it became an important question to ask how a non-alternating link is “close to” or “far from” the set  $\mathbb{A}$  under a suitable metric. The  $x$ -distance (or Gordian distance)  $d^x(L, L')$  between links  $L$  and  $L'$  with the same number of components is the minimal number of cross-changes transforming a diagram of  $L$  into a diagram of  $L'$ . A zero-linking twist of an oriented link  $L$  is an operation on links to obtain a link  $L'$  from  $L$  by a twist along a trivial knot  $O$  such that  $L \cap O = \emptyset$  and the linking number  $\text{Link}(L, O) = 0$ ,*

---

<sup>1</sup> Dedicating this paper to Professor Takao Matumoto on his sixtieth birthday.  
*Email address:* `kawauchi@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp` (Akio Kawauchi).

and the  $\tau$ -distance  $d^\tau(L, L')$  between links  $L$  and  $L'$  with the same number of components is the minimal number of zero-linking twists transforming  $L$  into  $L'$  (cf. [12]). For links  $L, L'$  with different numbers of components, we define  $d^\tau(L, L') = d(L, L') = +\infty$ . Since the crossing change is a zero-linking twist and every link diagram is transformed into a diagram of a trivial link by crossing changes, we have

$$0 \leq d^\tau(L, L') \leq d^x(L, L') < +\infty$$

for all links  $L, L'$  with the same number of components. The x-distance and the  $\tau$ -distance are metric functions on the set of links with  $r$  components for every  $r \geq 1$ . An estimate of  $d^\tau(L, L')$  was done in [12] in terms of local link signatures and a localized version of Nakanishi index (cf. [14]). For our use, the latter invariant will be useful and explained in Section 2. Here is an example showing that the  $\tau$ -distance is distinct from the x-distance in general.

**Example 1.1.** For the 2-bridge knot  $7_4$  and the trivial knot  $O$ , we have  $d^\tau(7_4, O) = 1 < 2 = d^x(7_4, O)$ . It is direct to see that  $d^\tau(7_4, O) = 1$  and  $d^x(7_4, O) \leq 2$ . By a result of T. Kanenobu and H. Murakami [7], we have  $d^x(7_4, O) > 1$  and hence  $d^x(7_4, O) = 2$ . For a link example, let  $L$  be a link obtained from the  $(2, 2n)$ -torus link for  $n > 1$  by reversing the orientation of one component, and  $O^2$  the trivial link of two components. Then we have  $d^\tau(L, O^2) = 1 < n = d^x(L, O^2)$ .

We put the following definition:

**Definition 1.2.** The *alternation number*  $\text{alt}(L)$  and the  $\tau$ -*alternation number*  $\text{alt}^\tau(L)$  of a link  $L$  are the numbers  $d^x(L, \mathbb{A})$  and  $d^\tau(L, \mathbb{A})$ , respectively.

It is direct to see that

$$0 \leq \text{alt}^\tau(L) \leq \text{alt}(L) < +\infty$$

for every link  $L$ . Two links  $L_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) are *concordant* (= *link-cobordant*) if there is a smooth embedding  $c : L \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^3 \times [0, 1]$  for a closed oriented 1-manifold  $L$  such that  $c(L \times i) = L_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) (cf. [14]). The concordance relation is an equivalence relation on the set of links. Let  $[L]$  be the *concordance class* of a link  $L$ , namely the set of links concordant to  $L$ . The *concordance-alternation number*  $\text{alt}[L]$  and the *concordance- $\tau$ -alternation number*  $\text{alt}^\tau[L]$  of a link  $L$  are defined by:

$$\text{alt}[L] = \min_{L' \in [L]} \text{alt}(L') \quad \text{and} \quad \text{alt}^\tau[L] = \min_{L' \in [L]} \text{alt}^\tau(L'),$$

which are uniquely determined by the concordance class  $[L]$ . In this paper, we construct infinitely many hyperbolic links  $L^*$  in the concordance class of every link  $L$  such that  $\text{alt}(L^*)$  is equal to any previously given integer  $n \geq \text{alt}[L]$ .

In the case of the concordance class of every (possibly, splittable) alternating link  $L$ , we can let this link  $L^*$  have the property that  $\text{alt}(L^*) = \text{alt}^\tau(L^*) = d^\tau(L, L^*) = d^x(L, L^*)$ . These results will be given in Section 3.

## 2 Algebraic alternation number and algebraic $\tau$ -alternation number

Let  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}] = \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$  be the Laurent polynomial ring. A non-zero Laurent polynomial  $f(t)$  is *alternating* if the coefficients of the Laurent polynomial  $f(-t)$  in  $t$  are nonzero integers with the same sign. Let  $\tilde{E}(L)$  be the infinite cyclic covering over the compact exterior  $E(L)$  of an oriented link  $L$  in  $S^3$  which is induced from the epimorphism  $\gamma_L : \pi_1(E(L)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  sending each oriented meridian of  $L$  to  $1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $H_1(\tilde{E}(L))$  is naturally regarded as a finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -module, called the  $\Lambda$ -module of  $L$  and denoted by  $H(L)$ . The *torsion-Alexander polynomial*  $A^T(L; t)$  of a link  $L$  is the zeroth characteristic polynomial of the  $\Lambda$ -torsion part  $TH(L)$  of the  $\Lambda$ -module  $H(L)$  of  $L$  (see [13]). To state it more explicitly, let  $M$  be a  $\Lambda$ -presentation matrix of size  $(q, p)$  for  $TH(L)$  with  $p \geq q$ . That is, let  $M$  be a matrix given by  $\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_q)M$  for a  $\Lambda$ -exact sequence

$$\Lambda^p \xrightarrow{\phi} \Lambda^q \rightarrow TH(L) \rightarrow 0 \quad (p \geq q)$$

with respect to  $\Lambda$ -bases  $x_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, p)$  and  $y_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, q)$  of  $\Lambda^p$  and  $\Lambda^q$ , respectively. Then  $A^T(L; t)$  is defined to be a generator of the smallest principal ideal containing the ideal generated by  $q$ -minors of  $M$ . In other words, for the finite maximal  $\Lambda$ -module  $D(L)$  of  $H(L)$ , the  $\Lambda$ -torsion module  $TH(L)/D(L)$  has a non-degenerate square  $\Lambda$ -presentation matrix, whose determinant is not 0 and equals to  $A^T(L; t)$  up to units of  $\Lambda$  (see [10]). The torsion-Alexander polynomial  $A^T(L; t)$  is always a non-zero Laurent polynomial and an invariant of  $L$  up to units of  $\Lambda$ . By definition, the classical Alexander polynomial  $A(L; t)$  of  $L$  is  $A^T(L; t)$  or 0 according to whether  $TH(L) = H(L)$  or  $TH(L) \neq H(L)$ . The torsion-Alexander polynomial  $A^T(L; t)$  is *semi-classical* if every knot component  $K$  of  $L$  belongs to a sublink  $L_K$  of  $L$  such that  $A(L_K; t)$  is a factor of  $A^T(L; t)$ . Here are some examples on semi-classical torsion-Alexander polynomials.

### Example 2.1.

- (1) If  $TH(L) = H(L)$ , then  $A^T(L; t) = A(L; t)$  and it is semi-classical. In particular, if  $L$  is a knot, then it is semi-classical.
- (2) If  $L$  has only trivial components, then  $A^T(L; t)$  is semi-classical.
- (3) If  $L$  is a connected sum or a split sum of two links  $L_i (i = 1, 2)$  with  $A^T(L_i; t) (i = 1, 2)$  semi-classical, then  $A^T(L; t)$  is semi-classical.
- (4) Let  $K$  be a knot such that  $A(K; t)$  is a non-unit of  $\Lambda$ , and  $L$  a 2-component

parallel link of  $K$  with mutually opposite orientations and with the linking number 0. Then  $L$  bounds an annulus as a Seifert surface whose associated Seifert matrix is the zero matrix (0). Hence we have  $H(L) \cong \Lambda$  and  $A^T(L; t)$  is a unit of  $\Lambda$  which cannot have  $A(K; t)$  as a factor. Thus,  $A^T(L; t)$  is not semi-classical.

Let  $\mathbb{A}_a$  be the set of links  $L$  such that  $A^T(L; t)$  is alternating and semi-classical. The following lemma is essentially a well-known result by R. H. Crowell [3] and K. Murasugi [17]:

**Lemma 2.2.**  $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{A}_a$ .

**Proof.** Every alternating link  $L$  is a split union of non-split alternating links  $L_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, s)$ . We see from [3] or [17] that  $A(L_i; t)$  is an alternating Laurent polynomial for all  $i$ . Since we have  $TH(L_i) = H(L_i)$  and

$$H(L) \cong \Lambda^{s-1} \oplus H(L_1) \oplus H(L_2) \oplus \dots \oplus H(L_s),$$

we have that  $A^T(L; t) = A(L_1; t)A(L_2; t) \dots A(L_s; t)$ , implying that  $A^T(L; t)$  is alternating and semi-classical.  $\square$

The number  $s-1$  in the proof of Lemma 2.2 is called the *splitting number* of the alternating link  $L$ . We note that the alternating torsion-Alexander polynomial of a link is not always realizable by an alternating link. It is an unsolved open problem to characterize the Alexander polynomials of alternating links. A related conjecture is the *trapezoidal conjecture* proposed by R. H. Fox [4], asking that the Alexander polynomial  $A(L; t) = \sum_{i=k}^{k+m} a_i t^i$  of every non-split alternating link  $L$  has the following properties: Namely,

- (1)  $|a_k| \leq |a_{k+1}| \leq |a_{k+2}| \leq \dots \leq |a_{k+[m/2]}|$  and
- (2) if  $|a_{k+i}| = |a_{k+i+1}|$  for some  $i$ , then we have  $|a_{k+i}| = |a_{k+j}|$  for every  $j = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, [m/2]$ .

This conjecture is known to be true for many classes of links including the class of 2-bridge links, and more recently proved for all alternating knots of genus up to 2 by P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó [18] and I. Jong [5]. On the other hand, K. Murasugi [17] and I. Jong [5] observed that there are alternating Laurent polynomials of degree 4 which are realized by knots and satisfy the trapezoidal conjecture, but are not realizable by any alternating knots. For example, the Alexander polynomial  $A(9_{44}; t) = 1 - 4t + 7t^2 - 4t^3 + t^4$  is such an example, in addition satisfying the Ozsváth-Szabó condition in [18]. In the following proposition, we investigate which knot in the knot table with up to 10 crossings belongs to  $\mathbb{A}$  or  $\mathbb{A}_a$ :

**Proposition 2.3.**

- (1) If the crossing number  $\text{cr}(K) \leq 7$ , then  $K \in \mathbb{A}$ .

- (2) Among the knots  $K$  with  $\text{cr}(K) = 8$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{A}_a$  if and only if  $K \neq 8_{19}$ , and  $K \in \mathbb{A}$  if and only if  $K \neq 8_{19}, 8_{20}, 8_{21}$ .
- (3) Among the knots  $K$  with  $\text{cr}(K) = 9$ , we have always  $K \in \mathbb{A}_a$ , and  $K \in \mathbb{A}$  if and only if  $K \neq 9_i$  ( $42 \leq i \leq 49$ ).
- (4) Among the knots  $K$  with  $\text{cr}(K) = 10$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{A}_a$  if and only if  $K \neq 10_{124}, 10_{128}, 10_{139}, 10_{145}, 10_{152}, 10_{154}, 10_{161}, 10_{162}$ , and  $K \in \mathbb{A}$  if and only if  $K \neq 10_i$  ( $124 \leq i \leq 166$ ).

**Proof.** We see (1)-(4) from the data on Alexander polynomials and diagrams of the knot table except how to determine a knot which is in  $\mathbb{A}_a$  but not in  $\mathbb{A}$ . For example, we can see it by using the data on Kauffman polynomials  $F_K(a, x)$  in [14]<sup>2</sup>, because for an alternating knot  $K$  the span of  $F_K(a, x)$  on  $a$  is known to be equal to the crossing number  $\text{cr}(K)$  by Y. Yokota [21].  $\square$

The *algebraic alternation number*  $\text{alt}_a(L)$  (or the *algebraic  $\tau$ -alternation number*  $\text{alt}_a^\tau(L)$ , respectively) of a link  $L$  is defined to be  $d^x(L, \mathbb{A}_a)$  (or  $d^\tau(L, \mathbb{A}_a)$ , respectively). The *algebraic concordance-alternation number*  $\text{alt}_a[L]$  (or the *algebraic concordance- $\tau$ -alternation number*  $\text{alt}_a^\tau[L]$ , respectively) of a link  $L$  is the minimal number of  $\text{alt}_a(L')$  (or  $\text{alt}_a^\tau(L')$ , respectively) for all links  $L' \in [L]$ , which is uniquely determined by the concordance class  $[L]$ . A *multiplicative subset* of  $\Lambda$  is a subset  $S \subset \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$  such that

- (1) the units  $\pm t^i$  ( $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) of  $\Lambda$  are in  $S$ ,
- (2) the product  $f(t)g(t)$  of any elements  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  of  $S$  is in  $S$ , and
- (3) every prime factor of any element  $g(t) \in S$  is in  $S$ .

For the quotient field  $Q(\Lambda)$  of  $\Lambda$  and a multiplicative subset  $S$  of  $\Lambda$ , let  $\Lambda_S$  be the subring  $\{f(t)/g(t) \in Q(\Lambda) \mid f(t) \in \Lambda, g(t) \in S\}$  of  $Q(\Lambda)$ . For a  $\Lambda$ -module  $H$ , let  $H_S$  be the  $\Lambda_S$ -module  $H \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda_S$ . For a finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -module  $H$  and a multiplicative subset  $S$  of  $\Lambda$ , let  $e_S(H)$  be the least number of  $\Lambda_S$ -generators of the  $\Lambda_S$ -module  $H_S$ . (We take  $e_S(H) = 0$  when  $H = 0$ .) Let  $e_S(L) = e_S(H(L))$  for the  $\Lambda$ -module  $H(L)$  of  $L$ , which is equal to the Nakanishi index of  $L$  if  $S$  is the set of units  $\pm t^i$  ( $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) of  $\Lambda$  (cf. [14]). Our basic tool is the following *estimation lemma*, which is proved in [12]:

**Lemma 2.4.** For arbitrary two links  $L_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) with the same number of components and every multiplicative subset  $S$  of  $\Lambda$ , we have

$$+\infty > d^x(L_0, L_1) \geq d^\tau(L_0, L_1) \geq |e_S(L_0) - e_S(L_1)|.$$

The following lemma is direct from definitions:

<sup>2</sup> The table of  $F_K(a, x)$  made there has an ambiguity on the multiples of  $a$  although the span of  $F_K(a, x)$  on  $a$  is uniquely determined since it was computed without counting the writhe of a knot diagram.

**Lemma 2.5.** We have the following inequalities:

$$\text{alt}_a^\tau(L) \leq \text{alt}_a(L) \leq \text{alt}(L), \quad \text{alt}_a^\tau(L) \leq \text{alt}^\tau(L) \leq \text{alt}(L),$$

where everything is taken 0 if  $L$  is an alternating link.

The alternation number,  $\tau$ -alternation number, algebraic alternation number and algebraic  $\tau$ -alternation number for the non-alternating knots with up to 10 crossings are calculated as follows:

**Example 2.6.** For every non-alternating knot  $K$  with  $\text{cr}(K) \leq 10$ , we have  $\text{alt}(K) = 1$  by checking the list of non-alternating knots in Proposition 2.3, so that we have  $\text{alt}^\tau(K) = \text{alt}(K) = 1$  and  $\text{alt}_a(K) = \text{alt}_a^\tau(K) \leq 1$  for all non-alternating knots with  $\text{cr}(K) \leq 10$ . More explicitly, checking the Alexander polynomials, we have  $\text{alt}^\tau(K) = \text{alt}(K) = 1$  if and only if  $K = 8_i$  ( $i = 19, 20, 21$ ),  $9_i$  ( $42 \leq i \leq 49$ ) or  $10_i$  ( $124 \leq i \leq 166$ ), and  $\text{alt}_a^\tau(K) = \text{alt}_a(K) = 1$  if and only if  $K = 8_{19}$  or  $10_{124}, 10_{128}, 10_{139}, 10_{145}, 10_{152}, 10_{154}, 10_{161}, 10_{162}$ , among the non-alternating knots  $K$  with  $\text{cr}(K) \leq 10$ .

### 3 Main theorems and the proofs

As a result for an alternating link, we obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** For every  $n \geq 1$ , every (possibly, split) alternating link  $L_\alpha \in \mathbb{A}$  is concordant to infinitely many hyperbolic links  $L_n$  such that

$$\text{alt}(L_n) = \text{alt}^\tau(L_n) = \text{alt}_a(L_n) = \text{alt}_a^\tau(L_n) = d^x(L_n, L_\alpha) = d^\tau(L_n, L_\alpha) = n.$$

**Proof.** We use a slice knot  $K$  such that  $d^x(K, O) = 1$  for a trivial knot  $O$  and  $A(K; t)$  has a negative root. For example, the knot  $K$  in Fig. 1 is a ribbon knot such that  $d^x(K, O) = 1$  is confirmed by the crossing change at the point  $p$  indicated in Fig.1 and the Alexander polynomial  $A(K; t) = 3 - (t^2 + t^{-2})$  has the negative roots  $t = (-\sqrt{5} \pm 1)/2$ . Let  $K^n$  be the  $n$ -fold connected sum  $K \# K \# \dots \# K$  of  $K$ . Since  $K^n$  is a ribbon knot, we see that a connected sum  $L_\alpha \# K^n$  is concordant to  $L_\alpha$ . Using that  $d^x(K^n, O) \leq n$ ,



Fig. 1.

we have  $d^x(L_\alpha \# K^n, L_\alpha) \leq n$ . Our desired links  $L^n$  are constructed from the link  $L_\alpha \# K^n$  by the “topological imitation” technique in [11]. In fact, we can construct from  $L_\alpha \# K^n$  infinitely many hyperbolic links  $L^n$  with an AID (=almost identical) imitation  $q : (S^3, L^n) \rightarrow (S^3, L_\alpha \# K^n)$  such that the link  $L_\alpha \# K^{n-1}$  is obtained from  $L^n$  by a crossing change. Then the link  $L^n$  is concordant to  $L_\alpha \# K^n$  by a property of an imitation and hence to  $L_\alpha$ , and we have  $d^x(L^n, L_\alpha) \leq n$ . We show that  $\text{alt}_a^\tau(L^n) \geq n$ . Then the proof will be completed by Lemma 2.5. Let  $m = \text{alt}_a^\tau(L^n) = d^\tau(L^n, L')$  for a link  $L' \in \mathbb{A}_a$ . Let  $\bar{K}^n$  be the component of  $L_\alpha \# K^n$  containing  $K^n$  as a connected summand. Since  $A^T(L'; t)$  is semi-classical, we have a sublink  $C' \subset L'$  such that  $A(C'; t)$  is a factor of  $A^T(L'; t)$  and  $C'$  changes into a sublink  $C^n \subset L^n$  containing the component  $q^{-1}(\bar{K}^n)$  by  $m$  times of zero-linking twists. Using that the link  $C^n$  is an (AID) imitation of the link  $q(C^n)$  by the imitation map defined by  $q$ , we see from a property of an imitation that  $H(C^n) = H(q(C^n))$ . Since  $q(C^n)$  contains  $K^n$  as a connected summand, the  $\Lambda$ -module  $H(C^n)$  has  $H(K^n) = H(K)^n$ , a direct sum of  $n$  copies of  $H(K)$ , as a direct summand. Let  $S$  be the subset of  $\Lambda$  consisting of a Laurent polynomial  $f(t)$  which has no negative root. We see that  $S$  is a multiplicative subset of  $\Lambda$ . It is important to note that every alternating Laurent polynomial  $f(t) = \sum_{i=k}^{k+m} a_i t^i \in \Lambda$  is in  $S$ . In fact, if  $r$  is a negative number, then we see from the definition of an alternating Laurent polynomial that the signs of  $a_i r^i$  for all  $i$  are the same, so that  $f(r) \neq 0$ . Since  $H(K^n) = H(K)^n$  and  $A(K; t) \notin S$ , we see that  $e_S(K^n) \geq n$  (see [10,12] for a calculation). Thus, we have  $e_S(C^n) \geq n$ . On the other hand, since  $A^T(L; t)$  is an alternating Laurent polynomial and  $A(C'; t)$  is a factor of  $A^T(L; t)$ , we see that  $A(C'; t) \in S$ . Then we show that  $e(C')_S = 0$ . To see this, we note that there is a  $\Lambda$ -exact sequence  $\Lambda^h \rightarrow \Lambda^h \rightarrow H(C') \rightarrow 0$  for a positive integer  $h$  (cf. [10]), which induces a  $\Lambda_S$ -exact sequence  $\Lambda_S^h \rightarrow \Lambda_S^h \rightarrow H(C')_S \rightarrow 0$ . By the definition of the Alexander polynomial,  $A(C'; t)$  is equal to the determinant of a matrix representing the  $\Lambda$ -homomorphism  $\Lambda^h \rightarrow \Lambda^h$  up to units of  $\Lambda$ , which is a unit in  $\Lambda_S$ . Hence we have  $H(C')_S = 0$  and  $e_S(C') = 0$ . By the estimation lemma, we have

$$m \geq d^\tau(C^n, C') \geq |e_S(C^n) - e_S(C')| = e_S(C^n) \geq n,$$

implying that  $m = \text{alt}_a^\tau(L^n) \geq n$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

Here is a reason why we exclude the case  $n = 0$  in Theorem 3.1.

**Remark 3.2.** The  $\Lambda$ -rank  $\text{rank}_\Lambda H(L)$  is a concordance invariant of a link  $L$  (cf. [8,9,13]), so that the splitting numbers of concordant alternating links are equal. Hence any split alternating link is not concordant to any non-split (and hence hyperbolic) alternating link, although it is always concordant to a non-split (more strongly hyperbolic) link. Thus, we cannot take  $n = 0$  in Theorem 3.1.

As a result for a general link, we obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.3.** For every link  $L$  and every integer  $n \geq \text{alt}[L]$  except that  $n = \text{alt}[L] = 0$  and  $[L]$  is represented by a split link, we have infinitely many hyperbolic links  $L^n$  such that  $L^n$  is concordant to  $L$  and  $\text{alt}(L^n) = n$ .

**Proof.** If  $\text{alt}[L] = 0$ , then the concordance class  $[L]$  contains an alternating link, and the desired result follows from Theorem 3.1 for  $n > 0$ . Let  $d = \text{alt}[L] > 0$ . First, we show that there are infinitely many hyperbolic links  $L^d$  with  $[L^d] = [L]$  and  $\text{alt}(L^d) = d$ . For a link  $L'$  with  $\text{alt}(L') = d$  representing  $[L]$ , choose a crossing point of a diagram of  $L'$  to obtain a link  $L'_1$  with  $\text{alt}(L'_1) = d - 1$  by the crossing change. By the “topological imitation” technique in [11] applied to this crossing point, we have infinitely many hyperbolic links  $L^d$  with an AID imitation  $q : (S^3, L^d) \rightarrow (S^3, L')$  such that  $L'_1$  is obtained from  $L^d$  by a crossing change. Then we have  $\text{alt}(L^d) \leq \text{alt}(L'_1) + 1 = d$ . Since  $L^d$  is concordant to  $L'$  by the definition of an imitation, we have  $\text{alt}(L^d) \geq d$  and hence  $\text{alt}(L^d) = d$ . Let  $n > d$ . Let  $K$  be the knot used in the proof of Theorem 3.1, and  $K^h$  the  $h$ -fold connected sum  $K \# K \# \dots \# K$  of  $K$ . For every positive integer  $h$ , we have a hyperbolic link  $L^{d+h}$  with an AID imitation  $q : (S^3, L^{d+h}) \rightarrow (S^3, L^d \# K^h)$  which is a composite of AID imitations  $q^i : (S^3, L^{d+i}) \rightarrow (S^3, L^{d+i-1} \# K)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, h$ ), constructed by using [11], such that  $L^{d+i-1}$  is obtained from  $L^{d+i}$  by a crossing change. Then we have

$$\text{alt}(L^{d+h}) \leq \text{alt}(L^{d+h-1} \# K) \leq \text{alt}(L^{d+h-1}) + 1.$$

By properties of an AID imitation, we note that there are infinitely many families of mutually distinct hyperbolic links  $L^{d+i}$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, h$ ) for every  $h$ . For every such family, we show that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \text{alt}(L^{d+h}) = +\infty$ . To see this, let  $L_\alpha$  be an alternating link such that  $\text{alt}(L^{d+h}) = d^x(L^{d+h}, L_\alpha)$ . By the estimation lemma, we have  $\text{alt}(L^{d+h}) \geq |e_S(L^{d+h}) - e_S(L_\alpha)|$ , where  $S$  is the multiplicative set used in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Since  $H(L^{d+h}) \cong H(L^d \# K^h)$  which has  $H(K^h) = H(K)^h$  as a direct summand, we have  $e_S(L^{d+h}) = e_S(L^d \# K^h) \geq e_S(K^h) \geq h$ . Since  $e_S(L_\alpha)$  is equal to the splitting number of  $L_\alpha$  which is smaller than the component number of  $L_\alpha$  and hence of  $L$ , we see that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \text{alt}(L^{d+h}) = +\infty$  and there is a positive integer  $j$  so that  $\text{alt}(L^{d+j}) > n$ . To complete the proof, it suffices to show that there is an integer  $h$  with  $0 < h < j$  such that  $\text{alt}(L^{d+h}) = n$ . To see this, suppose such an  $h$  does not exist. Since  $\text{alt}(L^d) = d < n$ , we can take the maximal integer  $h$  such that  $0 \leq h < j$  and  $\text{alt}(L^{d+h}) \leq n$ . Using  $\text{alt}(L^{d+h}) < n$  and  $\text{alt}(L^{d+j}) > n$ , we have  $\text{alt}(L^{d+h+1}) \leq \text{alt}(L^{d+h}) + 1 \leq n$  and  $0 < h + 1 < j$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $h$ .  $\square$

Here is a note on the exceptional case of Theorem 3.3.

**Remark 3.4.** Although the same assertion of Theorem 3.3 using  $\text{alt}^\tau$ ,  $\text{alt}_a$  or  $\text{alt}_a^\tau$  instead of  $\text{alt}$  also holds by a similar argument, we need a remark on the exceptional case that  $\text{alt}[L] = 0$  and  $[L]$  is represented by a split link. By Remark 3.2, any alternating link representing  $[L]$  is split and thus we must take



$n > 0$  for Theorem 3.3 and its  $\text{alt}^\tau$  version. Let  $L$  be a split alternating link. By applying the “topological imitation” technique in [11] to a trivial crossing change of  $L$  into  $L$ , we obtain a hyperbolic link  $L^*$  given by an AID imitation  $q : (S^3, L^*) \rightarrow (S^3, L)$  such that  $L$  is obtained from  $L^*$  by a crossing change. By properties of an AID imitation, we have  $A^T(L^*; t) = A^T(L; t)$  which is a semi-classical alternating Laurent polynomial, so that  $\text{alt}_a(L^*) = 0$ . Thus, in the statement of the  $\text{alt}_a$  or  $\text{alt}_a^\tau$  version of Theorem 3.3, we can take  $n = 0$ . We note that, since  $\text{alt}(L^*) \leq 1$ ,  $[L^*] = [L]$  and  $L^*$  is non-split, we have  $\text{alt}(L^*) = \text{alt}^\tau(L^*) = 1$ .

From our viewpoint it is an important problem to calculate the value  $\text{alt}[L]$  of a link  $L$ . For this purpose, it would be an interesting question to ask *which link  $L$  has  $\text{alt}(L) = \text{alt}[L]$* . For example, *does any torus link  $T(p, q)$  have  $\text{alt}(T(p, q)) = \text{alt}[T(p, q)]$*  ? The following remark concerns a recent development of this question.

**Remark 3.5.** Let  $\sigma(K)$  be the  $(-1)$ -multiple of the signature of a knot  $K$  so that the positive trefoil knot takes  $+2$ , and  $s(K)$  the Rasmussen invariant or the twice of the Ozsváth-Szabó invariant which is an additive concordance knot invariant (cf. [19,20]). By using C. Livingston’s observation in [15], T. Abe observed in [1] the inequality  $\text{alt}(K) \geq |\sigma(K) - s(K)|/2$ , by which T. Abe proved that every torus knot  $K = T(p, q)$  ( $p > 2, q > 3$ ) except  $(p, q) = (3, 4), (3, 5)$  has  $\text{alt}[K] > 1$ , meaning that the almost alternating torus knots are just  $T(3, 4)$  and  $T(3, 5)$ , confirming a conjecture by C. Adams in [2]. For further calculations on the alternation numbers of torus knots, see T. Kanenobu [6]. Since  $|\sigma(K) - s(K)|$  is a concordance invariant, Abe’s inequality actually implies the inequality

$$\text{alt}[K] \geq |\sigma(K) - s(K)|/2,$$

which is useful to know the value  $\text{alt}[K]$ , although Abe’s inequality does not detect the assertions of Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 because of its concordance invariance. For example, let  $T^m$  be the  $m$ -fold connected sum of  $T(4, 5)$ . Then we have  $\text{alt}(T^m) = \text{alt}[T^m] = 2m$  by Kanenobu’s calculation. Thus, if a knot  $K$  is concordant to  $T^m$ , then we have  $\text{alt}(K) \geq 2m$ , and conversely for every integer  $n \geq 2m$ , there is a hyperbolic knot  $K$  which is concordant to  $T^m$  such that  $\text{alt}(K) = n$  by Theorem 3.3.

## References

- [1] T. Abe, An estimation of the alternation number of a torus knot, J. Knot Theory Ramifications, 18(2009), 363-379.
- [2] C. Adams, The knot book, Freeman and Co. (1994).

- [3] R. H. Crowell, Genus of alternating link types, *Ann. of Math.*, 69(1959), pp. 258-275.
- [4] R. H. Fox, A quick trip through knot theory, in: *Topology of 3-manifolds and related topics*, Georgia, 1961, Prentice-Hall, 1962, 120-167.
- [5] I. Jong, Alexander polynomials of alternating knots of genus two, *Osaka J. Math.*, to appear.
- [6] T. Kanenobu, Upper bound for the alternation number of a torus knot, *Topology Appl.*, to appear.
- [7] T. Kanenobu and H. Murakami, Two-bridge knots with unknotting number one, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 98(1986), 499-502.
- [8] A. Kawauchi, On quadratic forms of 3-manifolds, *Invent. Math.* 43(1977),177-198.
- [9] A. Kawauchi, On the Alexander polynomials of cobordant links, *Osaka J. Math.* 15(1978),151-159.
- [10] A. Kawauchi, On the integral homology of infinite cyclic coverings of links, *Kobe J. Math.* 4(1987), 31-41.
- [11] A. Kawauchi, Almost identical link imitations and the skein polynomial, in *Knots 90*, (1992), 465-476, Walter de Gruyter.
- [12] A. Kawauchi, Distance between links by zero-linking twists, *Kobe J. Math.* 13(1996),183-190.
- [13] A. Kawauchi, The quadratic form of a link, *Contemporary Math.*, 233(1999), 97-116.
- [14] A. Kawauchi, A survey of knot theory, Birkhäuser (1996); A table correction is on the web: <http://www.sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp/kawauchi/index.html>.
- [15] C. Livingston, Computations of the Ozsváth-Szabó knot concordance invariant, *Geometry and Topology*, 8(2004), 735-742.
- [16] W. W. Menasco and M. B. Thistlethwaite, The classification of alternating links, *Ann. of Math.*, 138(1993),113-171.
- [17] K. Murasugi, On the Alexander polynomial of the alternating knot, *Osaka Math. J.*, 10(1958), 181-189.
- [18] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, Heegaard Floer homologies and alternating knots, *Geometry and Topology*, 7(2003),225-254.
- [19] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, Knot Floer homology and the four-ball genus, *Geometry and Topology*, 7(2003), 615-639.
- [20] J. Rasmussen, Khovanov homology and the slice genus, *math. GT/0402131*.

- [21] Y. Yokota, The Kauffman polynomial of alternating links, *Topology Appl.* 65(1995), 229-236.