

Equivariant ordinary Chern numbers and Equivariant K -theory Chern numbers

(Joint work with Zhi LÜ)

Wei Wang

Shanghai Ocean University

1-24-2014

Main Contents

- 1 Unitary Bordism and Chern numbers
- 2 Equivariant unitary bordism
- 3 Equivariant Chern numbers
- 4 Equivariant Riemann-Roch relation

Unitary Bordism and Chern numbers

Unitary bordism

Let M be a smooth manifold. We say M is a **unitary** manifold if the stable tangent bundle

$$TM \oplus \mathbb{R}^k$$

of M admits a fixed complex structure for some k .

Let M_1 and M_2 be two closed unitary manifolds, we say M_1 is **unitary (or complex) bordant** to M_2 if there is a compact unitary manifold W with boundary

$$\partial W = M_1 \amalg (-M_2),$$

such that the induced unitary structure of ∂W is isomorphic to the unitary structure of $M_1 \amalg (-M_2)$.

In particular, we say M is **null-bordant** if M is just the boundary of W

$$\partial W = M,$$

with the induced unitary structure of W .

Ordinary Chern classes of unitary manifolds

Let M^{2n} be a closed unitary manifold with stable tangent bundle:

$$\theta := TM \oplus \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Since θ is a complex vector bundle, the total Chern class of M is well-defined:

$$c(M) = c(\theta) = 1 + c_1 + c_2 + \cdots \in H^*(M, \mathbb{Z}).$$

For each partition $\omega = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$, the ordinary Chern number $c_\omega(M)$ is defined to be the Poincaré pair:

$$c_\omega(M) = \langle c_1^{i_1} c_2^{i_2} \cdots c_n^{i_n}, [M] \rangle$$

Unitary bordism and ordinary Chern numbers

Milnor and Novikov's work on unitary (complex) bordism ring shows that:

Theorem

Let M be a closed unitary manifold, M is null-bordant if and only if all ordinary Chern numbers $c_\omega(M) = 0$.

Furthermore, one can use (complex) K -theory Chern numbers to obtain a similar theorem:

Theorem

Let M be a closed unitary manifold, M is null-bordant if and only if all (complex) K -theory Chern numbers vanish.

K -theory Chern numbers

In K theory, recall the operation of exterior product, for a complex vector bundle E over M :

$$\Lambda_t(E) = 1 + \Lambda^1(E)t + \Lambda^2(E)t^2 + \dots$$

γ operation

The operation $\gamma_t(E) = \Lambda_{\frac{t}{1-t}}(E)$:

$$\gamma_t(E) = 1 + \gamma^1(E)t + \gamma^2(E)t^2 + \cdots + \gamma^m(E)t^m + \cdots$$

In particular, if E is a line bundle, then $\gamma^1(E) = E - 1 \in K(M)$.

K -theory Chern classes

Let M be a closed unitary manifold with stable tangent bundle θ .

We define the total K -theory Chern class of M by

$$c^K(M) = 1 + \gamma^1(\theta) + \gamma^2(\theta) + \gamma^3(\theta) + \cdots$$

Let $p : M \rightarrow pt$ be the constant map. In K theory, the Gysin map

$$p_!^K : K(M) \rightarrow K(pt)$$

is well-defined.

The K -theory Chern numbers are defined to be:

$$c_\omega^K(M) := p_!^K(\gamma^{i_1}(\theta)\gamma^{i_2}(\theta)\cdots\gamma^{i_m}(\theta)) \in K(pt),$$

where $\omega = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m)$.

Riemann-Roch relation

M is a closed unitary manifold with stable tangent bundle θ , we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(M) & \xrightarrow{ch} & H^*(M, \mathbb{Q}) \\ p_!^K \downarrow & & p_! \downarrow \\ K(pt) & \xrightarrow{ch} & H^*(pt, \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

Although this diagram is NOT commutative, we have Riemann-Roch relation: for $x \in K(M)$

$$ch(p_!^K(x)) = p_!(ch(x) \cdot Td(M))$$

where $Td(M)$ is the total Todd class of M .

When $x = \gamma^{i_1}(\theta)\gamma^{i_2}(\theta) \cdots \gamma^{i_m}(\theta)$,

$$ch(p_i^K(x)) = p_i(ch(x) \cdot Td(M))$$

is just a combination of ordinary Chern numbers.

So, we see when ordinary Chern numbers vanish:

$$p_i(ch(x) \cdot Td(M)) = ch(p_i^K(x)) = 0.$$

Finally, we see all the K -theory Chern numbers

$$p_i^K(x) = 0,$$

since $ch : K(pt) \hookrightarrow H^*(pt, \mathbb{Q})$ is injective.

By this relation, one can deduce that

All **ordinary Chern numbers** of M vanish if and only if all **K -theory Chern numbers** of M vanish.

Therefore, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1). M is **unitary null-bordant**.
- (2). All **ordinary Chern numbers vanish**.
- (3). All **K -theory Chern numbers vanish**.

We try to consider the equivariant case. Naturally, we will ask:

Question

When $G = T^n$, is it true that a closed unitary G manifold is 'equivariant null-bordant' if and only if all 'equivariant Chern numbers' vanish.

Unitary G -manifold

Let M be a closed smooth G -manifold, we say M is a unitary G -manifold if M admits a complex G structure on

$$\theta = TM \oplus \mathbb{R}^k$$

for some k .

Geometric unitary G bordism

One can copy the definition of (non-equivariant) unitary bordism to define geometric unitary G bordism.

Let M_1 and M_2 be two unitary G -manifolds. M_1 is geometric unitary G bordant to M_2 if there exists a unitary G -manifold W with

$$\partial W = M_1 \amalg (-M_2).$$

M is geometric null-bordant if $\partial W = M$.

Geometric unitary bordism ring

We denote the geometric unitary G -bordism ring by:

$$\Omega_*^{U,G} = \{\text{closed unitary } G \text{ manifold}\} / \text{geometric bordism}$$

with the operations \amalg and \times .

Homotopy theoretic unitary G -bordism

tom Dieck introduced the theory of homotopy theoretic unitary G -bordism. In modern point of view, it is an important example of equivariant stable homotopy theory.

In non-equivariant case, we see

$$\Omega_*^U \cong \varinjlim [S^{n+m}, MU(m)].$$

The homotopy theoretic unitary G -bordism ring is defined to be:

$$MU_*^G = \varinjlim_W [S^W, T(\xi_{|W|-n}^G)]^G$$

where $[-.]^G$ is the group of homotopy classes of pointed G equivariant maps and the direct limit is with respect to a direct set of unitary G representations, i.e. $W < U$ if $U = W \oplus W^\perp$.

Pontrjagin-Thom map

In non-equivariant bordism theory, one has the Pontrjagin-Thom map:

$$\Phi : \Omega_*^U \longrightarrow \pi_*(MU).$$

In equivariant case, one also has the **Pontrjagin-Thom map** from **geometric** to **homotopy theoretic** unitary G bordism ring:

$$\Phi : \Omega_*^{U,G} \longrightarrow MU_*^G.$$

When G is a compact abelian Lie group, one has

Theorem

The Pontrjagin-Thom map is injective

$$\Phi : \Omega_*^{U,G} \longrightarrow MU_*^{U,G}.$$

More precisely, it is a split monomorphism of MU_ module.*

Boardman map and Characteristic number map

tom Dieck constructed a natural transformation

$$MU_*^G \longrightarrow K_G^*[[a_1, a_2, \dots]]$$

Composed with the Pontrjagin-Thom map, one obtains the characteristic number map:

$$\Psi : \Omega_*^{U,G} \longrightarrow K_G^*[[a_1, a_2, \dots]].$$

tom Dieck proved that

Theorem (tom Dieck)

When G is compact abelian, the characteristic number map

$$\Psi : \Omega_*^{U,G} \longrightarrow K_G^*[[a_1, a_2, \dots]]$$

is injective.

Let M be a unitary G -manifold, the image $\Psi(M)$ is all kinds of combinations of equivariant K -theory Chern numbers. Hence, one has

Corollary

M is geometric unitary G null-bordant if and only if all equivariant K -theory Chern numbers vanish.

Questions

In many situations, equivariant ordinary cohomology ($H_G^*(X) = H^*(EG \times_G X)$) seems easier to compute.

Therefore, we want to know is it true that the following statements are equivalent:

- (1). M is **geometric unitary G null-bordant**.
- (2). All **equivariant ordinary Chern numbers** vanish.
- (3). All **equivariant K -theory Chern numbers** vanish.

This is the motivation that we consider the relation between equivariant ordinary Chern numbers and K -theory Chern numbers.

Equivariant Chern numbers

Equivariant Gysin map

In order to introduce (different) equivariant Chern numbers, we need to introduce equivariant Gysin map first.

Let G be a compact Lie group and $h_G()$ be an equivariant multiplication cohomology theory. For example: $H_G^*(\cdot)$, $K_G^*(\cdot)$.

Let M and N be two closed unitary G manifolds and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a G map, we can define **equivariant Gysin map**:

$$f_! : h_G(M) \longrightarrow h_G(N).$$

3 steps

We need 3 steps:

Step 1. We embed M equivariantly into a G vector space V
 $e : M \hookrightarrow V$.

Step 2. Consider the embedding $f \times e : M \rightarrow N \times V$ with normal bundle η and we obtain three homomorphisms.

$$\phi_1 : h_G(M) \rightarrow \widetilde{h}_G(D(\eta)/S(\eta)), \text{ (Thom isomorphism)}$$

$$\phi_2 : \widetilde{h}_G(D(\eta)/S(\eta)) \rightarrow \widetilde{h}_G(N \times D(V)/N \times S(V)), \text{ (collapsing map)}$$

$$\phi_3 : \widetilde{h}_G(N \times D(V)/N \times S(V)) \rightarrow h_G(N), \text{ (Thom isomorphism)}$$

Step 3: The equivariant Gysin map is:

$$f_! := \phi_3 \circ \phi_2 \circ \phi_1 : h_G(M) \longrightarrow h_G(N).$$

In particular, when N is a point, we obtain the equivariant Gysin homomorphism:

$$p_! : h_G(M) \longrightarrow h_G(pt).$$

Equivariant ordinary Chern classes

Let M be a unitary G manifold with stable tangent bundle θ and one obtains a unitary G vector bundle $\theta \times_G EG$ over $EG \times_G M$ such that:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \theta \times EG & \longrightarrow & \theta \times_G EG \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M \times EG & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M \times_G EG
 \end{array}$$

The total equivariant ordinary Chern class is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}c_G(M) &= c(\theta \times_G EG) \\ &= 1 + c_1(\theta \times_G EG) + c_2(\theta \times_G EG) + \cdots \\ &\in H^{**}(M \times_G EG) = H_G^{**}(M)\end{aligned}$$

where $H^{**}(M \times_G EG) := \prod H^i(M \times_G EG)$.

For example, $H^*(BS^1) = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $H^{**}(BS^1) = \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.

Equivariant ordinary Chern numbers

We denote

$$c_G(M) := 1 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + \cdots.$$

Then, for $\omega = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m)$, the corresponding equivariant ordinary Chern number is defined to be

$$c_G^\omega = p_!(c_1^{i_1} c_2^{i_2} \cdots c_m^{i_m}) \in H_G^*(pt).$$

Equivariant K -theory Chern numbers

M is still a unitary G manifold with stable tangent bundle θ . In $K_G(M)$, the operation $\gamma_t(\theta) = \Lambda_{\frac{t}{1-t}}(\theta)$:

$$\gamma_t(\theta) = 1 + \gamma^1(\theta)t + \gamma^2(\theta)t^2 + \cdots + \gamma^m(\theta)t^m + \cdots$$

is still well-defined.

We define the total equivariant K -theory Chern class of M by

$$c_G^K(M) = 1 + \gamma^1(\theta) + \gamma^2(\theta) + \gamma^3(\theta) + \cdots$$

and the equivariant K -theory Chern number is defined by:

$$c_\omega^K(M) := p_!^K(\gamma^{i_1}(\theta)\gamma^{i_2}(\theta) \cdots \gamma^{i_m}(\theta)) \in K_G(pt),$$

where $\omega = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m)$ and $p_!^K$ is the equivariant K theory Gysin map.

Recall that we want to know the question:

Does equivariant **ordinary Chern numbers** vanish \implies equivariant **K -theory Chern numbers** vanish $\implies M$ is **unitary null-bordant**?

Special case: isolated fixed points

Let M be a unitary G -manifolds with isolated fixed points.
Guillemin and etc proved that:

Theorem

M is geometric unitary G null-bordant if and only if all equivariant ordinary Chern numbers vanish.

The main tool is the localization theorem:

$$S^{-1}H_G^{**}(M) \cong S^{-1}H_G^{**}(M^G) = \bigoplus_{u_i} S^{-1}H_G^{**}(u_i)$$

$$p_!(x) \mapsto \sum_{u_i} \frac{i_{u_i}^*(x)}{e_x}$$

For general case, we want to get rid of the discussion of fixed points. Hence, we try to find some global formula.

We need two keys:

Equivariant Chern character

One is equivariant Chern character, which has been defined by many people,

$$ch_G : K_G(M) \rightarrow K(EG \times_G M) \rightarrow H^{**}(EG \times_G M, \mathbb{Q}) = H_G^{**}(M, \mathbb{Q})$$

$$ch_G : K_G(pt) \rightarrow K(BG) \rightarrow H^{**}(BG, \mathbb{Q}) = H_G^{**}(pt, \mathbb{Q})$$

Equivariant Riemann-Roch relation

The second key is the equivariant Riemann-Roch relation. Recall the classical Riemann-Roch relation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K(M) & \xrightarrow{ch} & H^*(M, \mathbb{Q}) \\
 p_!^K \downarrow & & p_! \downarrow \\
 K(pt) & \xrightarrow{ch} & H^*(pt, \mathbb{Q})
 \end{array}$$

For $x \in K(M)$, one has

$$ch(p_!^K(x)) = p_!(ch(x) \cdot Td(M))$$

Equivariant case 1

One has a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_G(M) & \longrightarrow & K^*(M \times_G EG) \\ p_!^K \downarrow & & p_!^K \downarrow \\ K_G(pt) & \longrightarrow & K^*(BG) \end{array}$$

Equivariant case 2

Then, we consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K(M \times_G EG) & \xrightarrow{ch} & H^{**}(M \times_G EG, \mathbb{Q}) \\
 p_!^K \downarrow & & p_! \downarrow \\
 K(BG) & \xrightarrow{ch} & H^{**}(BG)
 \end{array}$$

Since the Borel construction has a filtration:

$$M \times_G EG(n) \subset M \times_G EG(n+1) \subset \cdots \subset M \times_G EG$$

One can obtain the relation

$$ch_G(p_!(x)) = p_!(ch_G(x) \cdot Td_G(M)),$$

by taking inverse limit, where $Td_G(M) = Td(\theta \times_G EG)$ denotes the total equivariant Todd class.

Therefore,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_G(M) & \xrightarrow{ch_G} & H^{**}(M \times_G EG, \mathbb{Q}) \\
 p_!^K \downarrow & & p_! \downarrow \\
 K_G(pt) & \xrightarrow{ch_G} & H^{**}(BG).
 \end{array}$$

Let $x = c_1^{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot c_N^{i_N} \in K_G(M)$,

$ch_G(p_!(x)) = p_!(ch_G(x) \cdot Td_G(M)) =$ combinations of equivariant ordinary Chern numbers.

Hence, equivariant ordinary Chern numbers vanish \implies
 $ch_G(p_!(x)) = 0$.

When $G = T^n$, the equivariant Chern character

$$\begin{aligned} ch_G : K_G(pt) = \mathbb{Z}[x_i, \frac{1}{x_i}] &\longrightarrow H^{**}(BG, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[[t_i]] \\ x_i &\mapsto e^{t_i} \end{aligned}$$

is injective. It follows that $p_!(x) = 0$.

Thus, when G is a torus, equivariant ordinary Chern numbers vanish \implies equivariant K -theory Chern numbers vanish $\implies M$ is geometric unitary G null-bordant.

Thank you for your attention!