大阪市大NITEP レーザー量子・原子核合同MONTHLY MEETING:第2回

冷却フェルミ原子系で実現される少数系と多体系の概観

話題提供者: 堀越 宗一

2019/07/16

<u>Background</u> : Understanding fundamental physics underlying various quantum systems



<u>Background</u> : Understanding fundamental physics underlying various quantum systems

Cluster science : Evolution from Few-body to Many-body



Van der Waals force Bound state of cold atoms





[Particles and Nuclei]

[J. Phys. Chem. A, 114, 11725 (2010)]

Clusters in the phase diagram



Helium3 atom (fermion)

Temperature [a.u.]

Hadron



No solid phase?

<u>Background</u> : Understanding fundamental physics underlying various quantum systems

Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC)

Superconductor



Liquid Helium



Neutron star







Ultracold atomic gas

Phase diagram

Quark system



[Carlos A. R. Sá de Melo; Physics Today 2008, 61, 45-51]



Electron-Hole system



M. Omachi, From Neutron Star winter school 2016

Cold atom system



 T/T_F

Impurities in a condensate spin-1/2 Fermi system



閾値近傍の普遍的物理

PRL 118, 202501 (2017)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

Nuclear Physics Around the Unitarity Limit

Sebastian König,^{1,2,3,*} Harald W. Grießhammer,^{4,†} H.-W. Hammer,^{2,3,‡} and U. van Kolck^{5,6,§}
 ¹Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA
 ²Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany
 ³ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany
 ⁴Institute for Nuclear Studies, Department of Physics, George Washington University, Washington, D.C. 20052, USA
 ⁵Institut de Physique Nucléaire, CNRS-IN2P3, Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, 91406 Orsay, France
 ⁶Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA
 (Received 18 July 2016; revised manuscript received 10 February 2017; published 15 May 2017)

We argue that many features of the structure of nuclei emerge from a strictly perturbative expansion around the unitarity limit, where the two-nucleon *S* waves have bound states at zero energy. In this limit, the gross features of states in the nuclear chart are correlated to only one dimensionful parameter, which is related to the breaking of scale invariance to a discrete scaling symmetry and set by the triton binding energy. Observables are moved to their physical values by small *perturbative* corrections, much like in descriptions of the fine structure of atomic spectra. We provide evidence in favor of the conjecture that light, and possibly heavier, nuclei are bound weakly enough to be insensitive to the details of the interactions but strongly enough to be insensitive to the exact size of the two-nucleon system.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.202501



<u>Background</u> : Low energy scattering and loosely-bound state around the zero energy



Background : Low energy scattering and loosely-bound



Histrical success examples

• In 1953, a nuclear theorist, <u>H. Feshbach</u>, studied control of scattering length

→ In 1998, the **Feshbach resonance** was demonstrated in **cold atom experiment**

- In 1970, a nuclear theorist, <u>V. Efimov</u>, predicted 3-body bound state in the condition of a < 0
 - In 2006, the <u>Efimov trimer</u> was confirmed in cold atom experiment
- In 1999, a nuclear theorist, <u>G. F. Bertsch</u>, posed the problem "How does a system of Fermi particles with infinite s-wave scattering length but vanishing interaction range behave?"
 - Since 2004, the <u>unitary regime</u> has been one of the hot topics in cold atom experiment

Background : Quantum systems at ultralow temperature



Cold atoms



<u>6Li atom</u>

Internal degree of freedom of the atom

Lifetime of the states \gg Experimental time (~30s)



Length scales of our cold atomic system

• Van der Waals length :
$$R_{vdw} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\mu C_6}{\hbar^2} \right)^{1/4} = 1.7 \text{nm}$$

• Thermal length :
$$\Lambda_{\rm T} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2\pi m k_{\rm B} T}} \sim 100 \, {\rm nm} @1 \mu {\rm K}$$



• Mean distance :
$$n^{-1/3} \sim k_F^{-1} \sim 100$$
 nm
• Potential size : $L \sim 10 \mu$ m



<u>フェッシュバッハ共鳴</u>





[G. Zürn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 135301 (2013)]

[P. Naidon,, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 023201 (2010)]

位相シフトと散乱長(簡単に復習)

2粒子の相対運動のS.E.:
$$\left[\frac{1}{m}\left(p_r^2 + \frac{L^2}{r^2}\right) + V(r)\right]\Psi(r,\theta,\phi) = E\Psi(r,\theta,\phi)$$

角度方向と半径方向に変数分離: $\Psi(r,\theta,\phi) = \psi_l(r)Y_l^m(\theta,\phi)$
半径方向のS.E.: $\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{m}\left(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right) + V(r)\right]\psi_l(r) = E\psi_l(r)$

ポテンシャルサイズより遠方での一般解: $\psi_l(r) \cong \frac{c}{kr}\sin\left(kr + \eta_l - \frac{1}{2}l\pi\right)$, for $r \gg r_0$

ポテンシャルサイズより遠方でのs波散乱: $\psi(r) \cong \frac{c}{kr}\sin(kr + \eta_0)$, for $r \gg r_0$

家波の散乱長、有効長と位相シフトとの関係: $\cot \eta_0 = -\frac{1}{ak} + \frac{1}{2}r_ek$

s波散乱長の変化 : Shape resonance

井戸型ポテンシャル

3次元井戸型ポテンシャルの幅(R)と深さ(V_0)に対する散乱長の変化

$$\frac{a}{R} = 1 - \frac{\tan(\sqrt{V_0}R)}{\sqrt{V_0}R}$$



位相シフト: δ_s

s波散乱長の変化 : Shape resonance

入射エネルギーと束縛状態の共鳴散乱



そうは言っても粒子間ポテンシャルの形は容易には変えられない

<u>調和ポテンシャル中の二粒子の相互作用に依存した固有値</u>



[Yvan Castin, arXiv:1103.2851v2 (2011)]

Two-body bound state determined by S-wave scattering length



Two particles can realize loosely bound state in vacuum for a > 0

Wave function of the bound state: $\psi_b(r = |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi a}} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{r}{a}\right)}{r}$

Radius:
$$\langle r \rangle = \int_0^\infty 4\pi r^2 r |\psi_b(r)|^2 dr = \frac{a}{2}$$

Binding energy:
$$E_b(a) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r a^2}$$

Reduced mass:
$$m_r = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$$

Measurment of binding energy



重ね合わせ状態の波動関数の測定



[G. B. Partridge, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 020404 (2005)]



<u>クラスター間相互作用(弾性散乱)</u>

パウリ効果が強く働く





 $a_{df} \approx 1.18a$ neutron-deuteron scatteringと類似



a_{dd} ≈ 0.60*a* クラスター間は斥力相互作用 よって分子BEC状態は安定 クラスター同士の束縛状態はない

[D. S. Petrov, PRL **93**, 090404 (2004)]

[J. Levinsen, PRA 73, 053607 (2006)]



相互作用ポテンシャルと散乱長

• Grand canonical Hamiltonian :

Many-body Hamiltonian and thermodynamics

• Grand canonical Hamiltonian :

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}} - \mu \widehat{\mathcal{N}} = \sum_{\sigma} \int \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla \widehat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \widehat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) - \mu \right) d\mathbf{r} - \frac{\hbar^2}{m} g(\mathbf{a}) \int \widehat{\Psi}_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \widehat{\Psi}_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}) \widehat{\Psi}_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}) \widehat{\Psi}_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$$

- Grand partition function : $Z_G = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\langle K_i \rangle}{k_B T}\right)$ Excitation
- Thermodynamic potential : $\Omega(V, T, \mu, a^{-1}) = -k_B T \ln Z_G$
- Thermodynamic relation : $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial a^{-1}} \end{pmatrix}_{V,T,\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i} \frac{\partial K_{i}(a^{-1})}{\partial a^{-1}} \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)}{\sum_{i} \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)} = \frac{\sum_{i} \left| \Psi_{i} \right| \frac{\partial(\hat{\mathcal{H}} - \mu \hat{\mathcal{N}})}{\partial a^{-1}} \left| \Psi_{i} \right| \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)}{\sum_{n} \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)} = \frac{\sum_{i} \left| \Psi_{i} \right| \frac{\partial(\hat{\mathcal{H}} - \mu \hat{\mathcal{N}})}{\partial a^{-1}} \left| \Psi_{i} \right| \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)}{\sum_{n} \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)} = \frac{\sum_{i} \left| \Psi_{i} \right| \frac{\partial(\hat{\mathcal{H}} - \mu \hat{\mathcal{N}})}{\partial a^{-1}} \left| \Psi_{i} \right| \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)}{\sum_{n} \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)} = \frac{\sum_{i} \left| \Psi_{i} \right| \frac{\partial(\hat{\mathcal{H}} - \mu \hat{\mathcal{N}})}{\partial a^{-1}} \left| \Psi_{i} \right| \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)}{\sum_{n} \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)} = \frac{\sum_{i} \left| \Psi_{i} \right| \frac{\partial(\hat{\mathcal{H}} - \mu \hat{\mathcal{N}})}{\partial a^{-1}} \left| \Psi_{i} \right| \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)}{\sum_{n} \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)} = \frac{\sum_{i} \left| \Psi_{i} \right| \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)}{\sum_{n} \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)} = \frac{\sum_{i} \left| \Psi_{i} \right| \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)}{\sum_{i} \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)} = \frac{\sum_{i} \left| \Psi_{i} \right|}{\sum_{i} \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)} \exp\left(-\frac{K_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right)}$

Few-body physics and Virial coefficients

• Grand partition function: $Z_G(V, T, \mu, a^{-1}) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} Z_N(V, T, N, a^{-1}) e^{N \frac{\mu}{k_B T}}$

Partition function

$$Z_{N} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{N_{i}}}{k_{B}T}\right) \xrightarrow{\text{Excitation}} \text{High temperature region} : z \equiv e^{\frac{\mu}{k_{B}T}} \ll 1$$

- Thermodynamic potential: $\Omega(V, T, \mu, a^{-1}) \rightarrow -k_B T Z_1(z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \cdots + B_n z^n)$
- Virial coefficients : $B_2 = (Z_2 Z_1^2/2)/Z_1$

$$B_3 = (Z_3 - Z_1 Z_2 - Z_1^3 / 3) / Z_1$$

:

• Universal equation of state : $\frac{P}{P_{\text{ideal}}(\mu)} = f_P\left(\frac{\mu}{k_BT}, \frac{\Lambda_{\text{T}}(\text{T})}{a}\right)$ $\rightarrow e^{\frac{\mu}{k_BT}} + B_2\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{T}}(\text{T})}{a}\right)e^{2\frac{\mu}{k_BT}} + B_3\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{T}}(\text{T})}{a}\right)e^{3\frac{\mu}{k_BT}} + \cdots$

Few-body physics and higher Virial coefficients

• Universal equation of state at high temperature :

$$\frac{P}{P_{\text{ideal}}(\mu)} = e^{\frac{\mu}{k_BT}} + B_2 \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{T}}(T)}{a}\right) e^{2\frac{\mu}{k_BT}} + B_3 \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{T}}(T)}{a}\right) e^{3\frac{\mu}{k_BT}} + B_4 \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{T}}(T)}{a}\right) e^{4\frac{\mu}{k_BT}} + \cdots$$

$$1 \text{-particle} \qquad 2 \text{-particle} \qquad 3 \text{-particle} \qquad 4 \text{-particle}$$

2nd and 3rd Virial coefficients



N. Sakumichi, Phys. Rev. A 89, 033622 (2014)

X. Leyronas, Phys. Rev. A 84, 053633 (2011) 「Virial expansion with Feynman diagrams」

Many-body Hamiltonian and thermodynamics

• Thermodynamic relation :
$$\left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial a^{-1}}\right)_{V,T,\mu} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4\pi m}\int C(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r}$$

• Contact density: $C(\mathbf{r}) = \left\langle \left(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a}) \widehat{\Psi}_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \widehat{\Psi}_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \left(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a}) \widehat{\Psi}_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}) \widehat{\Psi}_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \right\rangle$

For homogeneous system

$$\left(\int C(\boldsymbol{r})d\boldsymbol{r}\to CV\right)$$

• Total differential of free energy density : $d\mathcal{F} = -sdT + nd\mu - \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{4\pi m}C\right)da^{-1}$

 $\therefore \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(T, n, a^{-1})$

Phase diagram for Spin-1/2 fermions

