HILBERT POLYNOMIALS OF j-TRANSFORMS

SHIRO GOTO

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper aims to explore the structure of certain graded objects associated to finitely generated modules over Noetherian local rings and ideals generated by partial systems of parameters. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and $I \ (\neq R)$ an ideal of R. Then for each finitely generated R-module M, we can associated two graded objects, which is the composition of the two functors

$$M \mapsto \operatorname{gr}_{I}(M) = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} I^{n} M / I^{n+1} M \mapsto \operatorname{H}(M) = \operatorname{H}^{0}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\operatorname{gr}_{I}(M)).$$

The study of H = H(M) was initiated by Achilles and Manaresi [1] who made use of the fact that $H = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H_n$ has an associated numerical function $n \mapsto \psi_M(\mathbf{x}; n) = \sum_{k\leq n} \lambda(H_k)$ that is a broad generalization of the classical Hilbert function – the case where I is an **m**-primary ideal. Its Hilbert polynomial

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r} (-1)^{i} j_{i}(I;M) \binom{n+r-i}{r-i}$$

will be referred to as the **j**-polynomial of M relative to I. In general it is very difficult to predict properties of $H(M) = H^0_m(\operatorname{gr}_I(M))$, beginning with their Krull dimensions or the coefficients $j_i(I; M)$. Nevertheless several authors have succeeded in applying the construction to extend the full array of classical integrality criteria for Rees algebras and modules ([1], [2], [3], [11] and [13]).

Our goal here is to study a different facet of these polynomials. The specific aim is to derive explicit formulas for $j_i(I; M)$ in terms of properties of M known a priori and explore the significance of their vanishing. For that we limit ourselves to ideals generated by partial systems of parameters of M or even special classes of modules. Thus we let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r\} \subset \mathbf{m}$ be a partial system of parameters of M, that is dim M = $r + \dim M/(\mathbf{x})M$, and set $I = (\mathbf{x})$ and $j_i(\mathbf{x}; M) = j_i(I; M)$. A general issue is what the values of $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$ say about M itself. In [4], [5] and [14] the authors, and colleagues, studied the values of a special class of these coefficients. For a Noetherian local ring Rand a finitely generated R-module M, we considered the Hilbert coefficients $\mathbf{e}_i(\mathbf{x}; M)$ associated to filtrations defined by a system \mathbf{x} of parameters of M, more precisely to the Hilbert functions

$$n \mapsto \lambda(M/(\mathbf{x})^{n+1}M)$$

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13B10; Secondary 13D02, 13H10, 13H15, 14E05.

This paper is based on a work jointly with Jooyoun Hong and Wolmer V. Vasconcelos. This is an announcement of our results and the detailed version will be submitted to somewhere.

and made use of the values of $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$ as the means to detect various properties of M (e.g., Cohen-Macaulay, Buchsbaum, finite cohomology, etc.). Here we seek to extend these probes to cases when $r < \dim M$. The significant distinction between $\operatorname{gr}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M)$ and $\operatorname{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\operatorname{gr}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M))$ is that when $r < \dim M$, the latter may not be homogeneous and therefore the vanishing of some of its Hilbert coefficients does not place them entirely in the context of [4], [5] and [14].

We illustrate one of these issues with a series of questions. Let R be a Noetherian local ring and let $I = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r)$, $r \leq \dim R$, be an ideal generated by a partial system $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_r\}$ of parameters of R. Let G be the associated graded ring of I, $G = \operatorname{gr}_I(R)$. The module $H = H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(G)$ has dimension $\leq r$. We list some questions similar to those raised in [14] for a full system of parameters:

- (i) What are the possible values of dim H? Note that H = (0) may happen or $H \neq (0)$ but of dimension zero.
- (ii) What is the signature of $j_1(\mathbf{x}; R)$? If $r = \dim H$, is $j_1(\mathbf{x}; R) \leq 0$? The answer is affirmative if H is generated in degree 0, because $j_1(\mathbf{x}; R) = e_1(\mathbf{x}^*, H)$ and these coefficients are always non-positive according to [10], where $\mathbf{x}^* = \{x_1^*, x_2^*, \ldots, x_r^*\}$ denotes the initial forms of x_i 's relative to I.
- (iii) If R is unmixed, $r = \dim H$, and $j_1(\mathbf{x}; R) = 0$, then is (\mathbf{x}) a complete intersection? The answer is obviously no. What additional restriction is required?

The questions (ii) and (iii) were dealt with in [4], [5] and [14] for $r = \dim R$, but we do not know much in the other cases.

We shall focus on a special kind of partial systems of parameters which are shown to be ubiquitous. For a finitely generated *R*-module *M*, we call a partial system $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r\}$ of parameters of *M* amenable if the Koszul homology module $H_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$ has finite support (and hence $H_i(\mathbf{x}; M)$ have finite support for all $i \ge 1$).

Let us state with one of our main questions.

Conjecture 1.1. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated unmixed R-module. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$ be a partial system of parameters of M. Suppose that \mathbf{x} is an amenable d-sequence relative to M, that dim $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M)) = r$, and that $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$. Then \mathbf{x} is a regular sequence on M.

2. Formulas for j-coefficients

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and let M be a finitely generated R-module of dimension d > 0. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d be a system of parameters of M. We fix an integer $0 \le r \le d$ and set $I = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$. We assume the partial system $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$ of parameters of M is an amenable d-sequence relative to M. Notice that when r > 0, the sequence x_2, \dots, x_r is naturally an amenable partial system of parameters of M/x_1M that is a d-sequence relative to M/x_1M .

Let $G = gr_I(R)$. For each *R*-module *C* we set $G(C) = gr_I(C)$ and let $H(C) = H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(G(C))$ denote the **j**-transform of *C* relative to *I*. We then have the following.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that r > 0. We set $H_i = H_i(\mathbf{x}; M)$ for $i \ge 0$ and let S = $R[T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r]$ be the polynomial ring. Then there exists an exact sequence

 $0 \to \mathrm{H}_r \otimes_R S[-r] \to \cdots \to \mathrm{H}_1 \otimes_R S[-1] \to \mathrm{H}_0 \otimes_R S \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_I(M)) \to 0$

of graded S-modules.

Proof. Firstly we assume only that $\mathbf{x} = x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r$ is a *d*-sequence relative to M and refer to [7] for details about the approximation complexes $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}; M)$ used here. The complex is an acyclic complex of graded S-modules (unadorned tensor products are over R)

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_r(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes S[-r] \to \cdots \to \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes S[-1] \to \mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes S \to \mathrm{gr}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M) \to 0.$$

Our complex arises from applying the functor $\mathrm{H}^{0}_{\mathfrak{m}}(*)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}; M)$. We now assume \mathbf{x} is amenable for M, so that $H_i(\mathbf{x}; M) = H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(H_i(\mathbf{x}; M)) = H_i$ for all $i \geq 1$. We notice that the image L of $H_1 \otimes S[-1]$ in $H_0(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes S$ has support in $\{\mathfrak{m}\}$, and therefore $H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(L) = 0$. Since all the $H_i \otimes_R S[-i]$ $(i \ge 1)$ are supported in $\{\mathfrak{m}\}$, we obtain the exact complex $\mathrm{H}^{0}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}; M))$

$$0 \to \mathcal{H}_r \otimes S[-r] \to \cdots \to \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes S[-1] \to \mathcal{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M)) \otimes S \to \mathcal{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M)) \to 0$$

as asserted.

We particularly notice that Theorem 2.1 shows $H(M) = G \cdot [H(M)]_0$. Let us note below an elementary proof of this fact, which confirms where and how we make use of the assumption that \mathbf{x} is amenable and a *d*-sequence relative to *M*. For an *R*-submodule N of M we put

$$N:_M \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle = \bigcup_{\ell > 0} [N:_M \mathfrak{m}^\ell].$$

Hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/N) = [N:_{M} \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle]/N.$

Theorem 2.2. $I^n M \cap [I^{n+1}M:_M \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle] = I^n \cdot [IM:_M \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle], \text{ whence } \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I^n M / I^{n+1}M) =$ $\{I^n \cdot [IM:_M \mathfrak{m}]\}/I^{n+1}M$ for all $n \ge 0$. Therefore $H(M) = G \cdot [H(M)]_0$.

Proof. We have only to show $I^n M \cap [I^{n+1}M :_M \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle] \subseteq I^n \cdot [IM :_M \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle]$. By induction, we may assume that n, r > 0 and the assertion holds true for n - 1 and r - 1. We set $\overline{M} = M/x_1M$ and consider the partial system x_2, \cdots, x_r of parameters of \overline{M} . Let $f \in$ $I^{n+1}M :_M \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle$ and let \overline{f} denote the image of f in \overline{M} . Then $\overline{f} \in I^n \overline{M} \cap [I^{n+1}\overline{M} :_{\overline{M}} \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle]$ and hence $f \in I^n \cdot [IM:_M \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle] + x_1 M$ by the hypothesis on r. Therefore without loss of generality, we may assume that $f \in x_1 M \cap I^n M$. Then, because $x_1 M \cap I^n M = x_1 I^{n-1} M$ (as **x** is a *d*-sequence relative to *M*; see [8, Proposition 2.2]), we get $f = x_1 g$ for some $g \in I^{n-1}M$, so that for $\ell \gg 0$, $x_1(\mathfrak{m}^\ell g) \subseteq I^{n+1}M \cap x_1M = x_1I^nM$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{m}^\ell$ and write $x_1(ag) = x_1h$ with $h \in I^n M$. Then $ag - h \in [(0) :_M x_1] \cap I^{n-1} M$. If n > 1, then ag = h because $[(0) :_M x_1] \cap IM = (0)$ ([8, Proposition 2.1]), and hence $g \in [I^n M :_M M$ $\langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle] \cap I^{n-1}M = I^{n-1} \cdot [IM:_M \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle]$ by the hypothesis on n. Thus $f \in x_1 I^{n-1} \cdot [IM:_M \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle]$ as asserted. Suppose n = 1. Then $h \in IM$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}^q \cdot [(0) :_M x_1] = (0)$ for some $q \gg 0$, because (0) :_M $x_1 = (0) :_M I = H_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r; M)$ that has finite length (remember that **x** is amenable and a *d*-sequence relative to *M*). Therefore $\mathfrak{m}^q \cdot [ag - h] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^q \cdot [(0) :_M x_1] = (0)$, so that $\mathfrak{m}^{q+\ell}g \subseteq IM$. Thus $g \in IM :_M \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle$, whence $f \in x_1 \cdot [IM :_M \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle]$. \Box

Let $W = H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$. Remember that $W = (0) :_M x_1 = (0) :_M I$, when r > 0. Let $G(M/W) = \operatorname{gr}_I(M/W)$ and let $\psi : G(M) \to G(M/W)$ be the canonical epimorphism of graded *G*-modules. We set $W^* = \operatorname{Ker} \psi$. Then because $W \cap IM = (0)$, we have $W^* = [W^*]_0 \cong W$ as an *R*-module.

Lemma 2.3. There is an exact sequence

 $0 \to W^* \stackrel{\iota}{\to} \mathrm{H}(M) \stackrel{\varphi}{\to} \mathrm{H}(M/W) \to 0$

of graded G-modules, where φ denotes the homomorphism induced from the canonical epimorphism $\psi : G(M) \to G(M/W)$.

For each $1 \leq i \leq r$ let $f_i = x_i + I^2$ denote the image of x_i in $G_1 = I/I^2$

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that r > 0 and let $\overline{M} = M/x_1M$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to W^*(-1) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{H}(M)(-1) \xrightarrow{J_1} \mathrm{H}(M) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathrm{H}(\overline{M}) \to 0$$

of graded G-modules, where φ denotes the homomorphism induced from the canonical epimorphism $\psi : G(M) \to G(\overline{M})$.

As a consequence of Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 we get the following.

Proposition 2.5. The sequence f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r acts on G(M)/H(M) as a regular sequence.

We set H = H(M). Then by Lemma 2.4 the induction on r readily gives the following description of the Hilbert series $[\![H]\!]$ of H.

Theorem 2.6.
$$\llbracket H \rrbracket = \frac{h^0(M/IM) + \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i \cdot \left[\sum_{j=i}^r h^0(M/I_{r-j}M) \cdot {j-1 \choose i-1}\right] \mathbf{t}^i}{(1-\mathbf{t})^{r+1}}$$

We put $\varphi_M(n) = \lambda(\operatorname{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I^n M/I^{n+1}M))$ and $\psi_M(n) = \sum_{\ell=0}^n \varphi_M(\ell)$ for $n \ge 0$. Let $I_i = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i) \ (0 \le i \le r)$. We set

$$k_i(M) = \begin{cases} h^0 \left(M / \left[(I_{r-i-1}M :_M x_{r-i}) + x_{r-i}M \right] \right) & \text{if } 0 \le i \le r-1 \\ h^0(M) & \text{if } i = r. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.7. $\psi_M(n) = \sum_{i=0}^r k_i(M) \cdot \binom{n+r-i}{r-i}$ for all $n \ge 0$.

We summarize partial answers to our main questions.

Corollary 2.8. Suppose r > 0. Then $-j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = \begin{cases} h^0(M) & \text{if } r = 1 \\ k_1(M) = h^0(M/I_{r-1}M) - h^0(M/I_{r-2}M) \ge 0 & \text{if } r > 1, \end{cases}$ whence $j_1(\mathbf{x}, M) \le 0$.

4

Proposition 2.9. The following assertions hold true.

- (1) Suppose r = 1. Then $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$ if and only if depthM > 0.
- (2) Suppose r > 1. Then $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$ if and only if

 $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{0}\left(M/[(I_{r-2}M:_{M}x_{r-1})+x_{r-1}M]\right)=(0).$

(3) Suppose r > 0. Then $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$, if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r is an *M*-regular sequence. The converse is also true, when $r \ge 2$ and depth $M \ge r - 1$, or r = 3 and *M* is unmixed, or *M* has *FLC* and depthM > 0.

Suppose r > 0 and set

$$\chi_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k; M) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \cdot \lambda(\mathrm{H}_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k; M))$$

for each $1 \le k \le r$. The following result extends [6, Corollary 3.6] to partial amenable systems of parameters.

Theorem 2.10. Suppose $r \geq 2$. Then

$$\chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M) \ge -j_1(\mathbf{x}; M),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{r-1}$ is an M-regular sequence.

3. Boundedness of j-coefficients

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and let M be a finitely generated R-module with $d = \dim_R M \ge 2$. Let 0 < r < d be an integer.

Let $\Lambda(M)$ the set of non-negative integers

$$k_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r; M) = (-1)^i \cdot j_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r; M)$$

is finite, where $0 \le i \le r-1$ and x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r is a partial amenable system of parameters of M which is a *d*-sequence relative to M. We then have the following.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that there exists a system of parameters of M which is a strong d-sequence relative to M. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) The set $\Lambda(M)$ is finite.
- (2) $\mathrm{H}^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is a finitely generated *R*-module for every $1 \leq i \leq r$.

When this is the case, one has $\mathfrak{m}^{\ell} \cdot \mathrm{H}^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = (0)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, where $\ell = \max \Lambda(M)$.

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$ be an amenable partial system of parameters of M that is a d-sequence relative to M. We set

$$k_i(M) = k_i(\mathbf{x}; M) = (-1)^i \cdot j_i(\mathbf{x}; M)$$

for each $0 \le i \le r - 1$. Remember that

$$k_i(M) = h^0(M/I_{r-i}M) - h^0(M/I_{r-i-1}M),$$

where $I_j = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_j)$ for $0 \le j \le r$. We will show that

$$k_i(M) \le \sum_{i=1}^{r-i} h^i(M) \cdot \binom{r-i-1}{i-1}.$$

If r = 1, then by the exact sequence

$$(\sharp_0) \quad 0 \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/x_1M) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$$

we have $k_0(M) = \lambda((0) :_{\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} x_1) \leq h^1(M)$. Suppose that r > 1 and that our assertion holds true for r - 1. We consider $\overline{M} = M/x_1M$. Then thanks to the exact sequence

$$(\sharp_i) \quad \mathrm{H}^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathrm{H}^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \to \mathrm{H}^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\overline{M}) \to \mathrm{H}^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathrm{H}^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M),$$

 $\mathrm{H}^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\overline{M})$ is finitely generated and $h^{i}(\overline{M}) \leq h^{i}(M) + h^{i+1}(M)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Therefore, since $k_{i}(M) = k_{i}(\overline{M})$ (here $k_{i}(\overline{M}) = k_{i}(x_{2}, \cdots, x_{r}; \overline{M})$) for all $0 \leq i \leq r-2$, we get

$$k_i(M) = k_i(\overline{M}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{r-i-1} h^j(\overline{M}) \cdot \binom{r-i-2}{j-1}$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{r-i-1} \left[h^j(M) + h^{j+1}(M) \right] \cdot \binom{r-i-2}{j-1}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{r-i} h^j(M) \cdot \binom{r-i-1}{j-1},$$

while we have

$$k_{r-1}(M) = h^0(M/x_1M) - h^0(M) \le h^1(M)$$

by exact sequence (\sharp_0) above.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) We choose a system a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d of parameters of M which is a strong d-sequence relative to M. Let $\Lambda_0(M)$ denote the set of $k_i(a_1^{n_1}, a_2^{n_2}, \dots, a_r^{n_r}; M)'s$, where $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ and $n'_i s$ are positive integers. Then $\Lambda_0(M) \subseteq \Lambda(M)$ and hence $\Lambda_0(M)$ is finite. We will show by induction on r that $\mathfrak{m}^{\ell} \cdot \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = (0)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, where $\ell = \max \Lambda_0(M)$.

Let $n_1 > 0$ and $x_1 = a_1^{n_1}$. We set $\overline{M} = M/x_1M$ and consider exact sequence (\sharp_0) above. We then have

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\ell} \cdot \left[(0) :_{\mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} a_{1}^{n_{1}} \right] = (0),$$

because

$$\lambda(\left[(0):_{\mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathrm{m}}(M)}a_{1}^{n_{1}}\right]) = h^{0}(M/a_{1}^{n_{1}}M) - h^{0}(M) = k_{0}(a_{1}^{n_{1}};M) \in \Lambda_{0}(M)$$

so that $\lambda(\left[(0) :_{\mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} a_{1}^{n_{1}}\right]) \leq \ell$. Hence

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\ell} \cdot \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = (0)$$

as $n_1 > 0$ is arbitrary, which proves the assertion when r = 1. Assume that r > 1 and that our assertion holds true for r - 1. Then the set of

$$k_i(a_2^{n_2},\ldots,a_r^{n_r};M) = k_i(a_1^{n_1},a_2^{n_2},\ldots,a_r^{n_r};M)$$

where $0 \le i \le r-2$ and $n'_i s$ are positive integers is a subset of Λ_0 , whence the hypothesis of induction shows

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\ell} \cdot \mathrm{H}^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\overline{M}) = (0)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Therefore by exact sequence (\sharp_i) above we get

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\ell} \cdot \left[(0)_{\mathrm{H}^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} a_1^{n_1} \right] = (0) \text{ for all } n_1 > 0,$$

whence $\mathfrak{m}^{\ell} \cdot \mathrm{H}^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = (0)$ if $2 \leq i \leq r$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}^{\ell} \cdot \mathrm{H}^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = (0)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, which completes the proof.

Let us describe a broad class of modules for which the existence of strong d-sequences is guaranteed. It is based on a result of T. Kawasaki [9, Theorem 4.2. (1)].

Proposition 3.2. Let R be a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein local ring and let $M \ (\neq (0))$ be a finitely generated R-module. Then there is a system of parameters of M which is a strong d-sequence relative to M.

We now consider the problem of when the set $\Gamma(M)$ of

$$\chi_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r; M) = \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{i-1} \lambda(\mathrm{H}_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r; M))$$
$$= h^0(M/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1})M)$$

is finite, where x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r is a partial amenable system of parameters of M which is a *d*-sequence relative to *M*. Let $h^i(M) = \ell_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that there exists a system of parameters of M which is a strong d-sequence relative to M. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) The set $\Gamma(M)$ is finite.
- (2) $\operatorname{H}^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is finitely generated for every $0 \leq i \leq r-1$.

When this is the case,

$$\sup_{n_1,\dots,n_r>0} \chi_1(a_1^{n_1}, a_2^{n_2}, \dots, a_r^{n_r}; M) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{i} \cdot h^i(M)$$

and therefore $\max \Gamma(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{i} \cdot h^i(M).$

4. The structure of some *j*-transforms

The general outline of the **j**-transform $H = H^0_m(\operatorname{gr}_I(M))$ is still unclear. In two cases however – Buchsbaum and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules – one has a satisfying vista.

Buchsbaum modules. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated *R*-module of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d be a system of parameters of *M*. We fix an integer 0 < r < d and put $I = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$, $G = gr_I(R)$, $G(M) = gr_I(M)$, and

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{G} = k[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_r],$$

where T_i denotes the image of $f_i = x_i + I^2$ in $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$.

Let us consider the **j**-transform $H = H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{G}(M))$. We then have the following.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that M is a Buchsbaum R-module. Then the following assertions hold true.

- (1) H = (0) if and only if depth M > r.
- (2) Suppose that $H \neq (0)$. Then

$$\dim \mathbf{H} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } h^1(M) = h^2(M) = \dots = h^r(M) = 0 \\ r & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(3) $\operatorname{H} \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} [Z_i(i)]^{\oplus h^i(M)}$ as a graded G-module.

Here $Z_i = \operatorname{Syz}_{\overline{G}}^i(k)$ denotes the *i*-th syzygy module of the residue class field $k = \overline{G}/[\overline{G}]_+$.

Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated R-module with $d = \dim_R M > 0$. Let

 $\mathcal{S} = \{ \dim_R N \mid (0) \neq N \subseteq M, \text{an } R\text{-submodule of } M \}.$

We set $\ell = \sharp S$ and write $S = \{d_1 < d_2 < \cdots < d_\ell = d\}$. Let $d_0 = 0$. We then have the dimension filtration

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = (0) \subsetneq \mathcal{D}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{D}_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{D}_\ell = M$$

of M, where each \mathcal{D}_i $(1 \leq i \leq \ell)$ is the largest R-submodule of M with dim $\mathcal{D}_i = d_i$. We put $\mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{D}_i/\mathcal{D}_{i-1}$ $(1 \leq i \leq \ell)$ and assume that \mathcal{C}_i is a Cohen-Macaulay R-module, necessarily of dimension d_i , for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Hence M is a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay R-module.

We choose a system x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d of parameters of M so that

$$(x_j \mid d_i < j \le d) M \cap \mathcal{D}_i = (0)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Such a system of parameters exists and called a *good* system of parameters of M. Here we notice that the condition $(x_j \mid d_i < j \leq d)M \cap \mathcal{D}_i = (0)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ is equivalent to saying that

$$(x_j \mid d_i < j \le d)\mathcal{D}_i = (0)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, because M is a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay R-module.

Let $0 \le r \le d$ and $I = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r)$. We are trying to find what the **j**-transform H(M) of M relative to I is. The goal is the following.

Theorem 4.2. Let $q = \max\{0 \le i \le \ell \mid d_i \le r\}$. Then

$$\mathrm{H}(M) \cong \mathrm{gr}_I(\mathcal{D}_q)$$

as a graded G-module, where $G = gr_I(R)$. If q > 0, that is if $d_1 \leq r$, then $H(M) \neq (0)$ and is a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay G-module with dimension filtration $\{gr_I(\mathcal{D}_i)\}_{0 \leq i \leq q}$; hence dim $H(M) = d_q \leq r$ and the Hilbert function of H(M) is given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda([\mathrm{H}(M)]_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda(\mathcal{C}_i/\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{C}_i) \cdot \binom{n+d_i}{d_i}$$

for all $n \geq 0$, where $\mathbf{q} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We apply the functor $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(*)$ to the exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}_{i-1}) \to \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}_i) \to \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}_i) \to 0$$

 $(1 \le i \le \ell)$ and get that

 $\mathrm{H}(M) \cong \mathrm{G}(\mathcal{D}_q),$

since $\mathrm{H}^{0}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{G}(\mathcal{C}_{i})) = (0)$ if q < i. Suppose q > 0, that is $d_{1} \leq r$. Then $\{\mathrm{G}(\mathcal{D}_{i})\}_{0 \leq i \leq q}$ gives rise to the dimension filtration of $\mathrm{G}(\mathcal{D}_{i})$, since the graded module $\mathrm{G}(\mathcal{C}_{i})$ $(1 \leq i \leq q)$ is Cohen-Macaulay and $\dim \mathrm{G}(\mathcal{C}_{i}) = d_{i}$. Hence $\dim \mathrm{H}(M) = d_{q} \leq r$, whose Hilbert function is given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda([\mathrm{H}(M)]_{n}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda([\mathrm{G}(\mathcal{C}_{i})]_{n}) \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda(\mathcal{C}_{i}/\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{C}_{i}) \cdot \binom{n+d_{i}}{d_{i}}$$

for all $n \ge 0$.

References

- R. Achilles and M. Manaresi, Multiplicity for ideals of maximal analytic spread and intersection theory, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 33 (1993), 1029–1046.
- [2] C. Ciuperča, A numerical characterization of the S₂-ification of a Rees algebra, J. Pure & Applied Algebra 173 (2003), 25–48.
- [3] H. Flenner and M. Manaresi, A numerical characterization of reduction ideals, *Math. Zeit.* 238 (2001), 205-214.
- [4] L. Ghezzi, S. Goto, J. Hong, K. Ozeki, T.T. Phuong and W. V. Vasconcelos, Cohen-Macaulayness versus the vanishing of the first Hilbert coefficient of parameter ideals, *J. London Math. Soc.* 81 (2010), 679–695.
- [5] L. Ghezzi, J. Hong and W. V. Vasconcelos, The signature of the Chern coefficients of local rings, Math. Research Letters 16 (2009), 279–289.
- [6] S. Goto, J. Hong and W. V. Vasconcelos, The homology of parameter ideals, J. Algebra 368 (2012), 271–299.
- [7] J. Herzog, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, Approximation complexes of blowing-up rings.II, J. Algebra 82 (1983), 53–83.
- [8] C. Huneke, The theory of d-sequences and powers of ideals, Adv. in Math. 46 (1982), 249–279.
- [9] T. Kawasaki, On Cohen-Macaulayfication of certain quasi-projective schemes, J. Math. Soc. Japan 50 (1998), 969–991.
- [10] M. Mandal and J. K. Verma, On the Chern number of an ideal, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), 1995–1999.
- [11] C. Polini and Y. Xie, Generalized Hilbert functions, arXiv: math.AC/1202.4106v1.
- [12] N. Suzuki, On the Koszul complex generated by a system of parameters for a Buchsbaum module, Bull. Dept. Gen. Ed. Shizuoka College Pharmacy 8 (1979), 27–35.
- [13] B. Ulrich and J. Validashti, A criterion for integral dependence of modules, Math. Research Letters 15 (2008), 149–162.
- [14] W. V. Vasconcelos, The Chern coefficients of local rings, Michigan Math. J. 57 (2008), 725–744.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MEIJI UNIVERSITY, 1-1-1 HIGASHI-MITA, TAMA-KU, KAWASAKI 214-8571, JAPAN

E-mail address: goto@math.meiji.ac.jp