A COMPUTATION OF BUCHSBAUM-RIM MULTIPLICITIES IN A SPECIAL CASE*

FUTOSHI HAYASAKA[†]

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of dimension d > 0 and let C be a nonzero R-module of finite length. Let $\varphi : R^n \to R^r$ be an R-linear map of free modules with $C = \operatorname{Coker} \varphi$ as the cokernel of φ , and put $M := \operatorname{Im} \varphi \subset F := R^r$. Then one can consider the function

$$\lambda(p) := \ell_R([\operatorname{Coker}\operatorname{Sym}_R(\varphi)]_{p+1}) = \ell_R(S_{p+1}/M^{p+1}),$$

where S_p (resp. M^p) is a homogeneous component of degree p of $S = \text{Sym}_R(F)$ (resp. $R[M] = \text{Im Sym}_R(\varphi)$). Buchsbaum-Rim [2] first introduced and studied the function of this type and proved that $\lambda(p)$ is eventually a polynomial of degree d + r - 1, which we call the *Buchsbaum-Rim polynomial*. Then they defined a multiplicity of C as

e(C) :=(The coefficient of p^{d+r-1} in the polynomial) $\times (d+r-1)!$,

which we now call the *Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity* of C. They also proved that the multiplicity is independent of the choice of φ . The multiplicity e(C) coincides with the ordinary Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity when C is a cyclic module R/I.

Buchsbaum and Rim also introduced the notion of a parameter matrix, which generalizes the notion of a system of parameters. A matrix (a linear map of free modules) φ over R of size $r \times n$ is said to be a parameter matrix for R, if the following three conditions are satisfied: (i) Coker φ has finite length, (ii) d = n - r + 1, (iii) Im $\varphi \subset \mathfrak{m} \mathbb{R}^r$. Then it is known ([2, 4]) that there exists a formula

$$e(C) = \ell_R(C) = \ell_R(R/\operatorname{Fitt}_0(C))$$

for the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity, if R is Cohen-Macaulay and φ is a parameter matrix. Brennan, Ulrich and Vasconcelos observed in [1] that if R is Cohen-Macaulay and φ is a parameter matrix, then in fact

$$\lambda(p) = e(C) \binom{p+d+r-1}{d+r-1}$$

for all $p \ge 0$. In general, for any $p \ge 0$ the inequality

$$\lambda(p) \ge e(C) \binom{p+d+r-1}{d+r-1}$$

always holds true even if R is not Cohen-Macaulay, and moreover the equality for some $p \ge 0$ characterizes the Cohen-Macaulay property of the ring R ([3]).

^{*}This paper is an announcement of our results and the detailed version will be submitted to somewhere.

[†]The author was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 24740032.

Kleiman-Thorup [7, 8] and Kirby-Rees [5, 6] introduced another kind of multiplicities associated to C, which is related to the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity. They consider the function of two variables

$$\Lambda(p,q) := \ell_R(S_{p+q}/M^{p+1}S_{q-1}),$$

and proved that $\Lambda(p,q)$ is eventually a polynomial of total degree d+r-1. Then they defined a sequence of multiplicities, for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, d + r - 1$,

 $e^{j}(C) := (\text{The coefficient of } p^{d+r-1-j}q^{j} \text{ in the polynomial}) \times (d+r-1-j)!j!$

and proved that $e^{j}(C)$ is independent of the choice of φ . Moreover they proved that

$$e(C) = e^0(C) \ge e^1(C) \ge \dots \ge e^{r-1}(C) > e^r(C) = \dots = e^{d+r-1}(C) = 0,$$

where $r = \mu_R(C)$. Thus we call $e^j(C)$ *j*-th Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity of C. Then it is natural to ask the following.

Problem 1.1. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^r$ be a parameter matrix with $C = \operatorname{Coker} \varphi$. Suppose that R is Cohen-Macaulay. Then

- (1) does there exist a simple formula for the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicities $e^{j}(C)$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r - 1$?
- (2) Does the function $\Lambda(p,q)$ coincide with a polynomial function for all $p \ge 0$ and all q > 0?

In this note, we will try to calculate the function $\Lambda(p,q)$ and multiplicities $e^{j}(C)$ in a special case where C is a direct sum of cyclic modules R/Q_i where Q_i is a parameter ideal in a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring R. Especially, in the case $C = R/Q_1 \oplus R/Q_2$, we will determine when $\Lambda(p,q)$ is polynomial for all $p \ge 0$ and q > 0. As a consequence, we have that there exists the case where the function $\Lambda(p,q)$ does not coincide with the polynomial function. This should be contrasted with a result of Brennan-Ulrich-Vasconcelos [1] as stated above: the ordinary Buchsbaum-Rim function $\lambda(p) = \Lambda(p, 1)$ coincides with the Buchsbaum-Rim polynomial for all $p \ge 0$ in the case where R is Cohen-Macaulay and φ is a parameter matrix.

2. A computation in a special case

In what follows, let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Let r > 0 be a fixed positive integer and let Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_r be parameter ideals in R with $Q_i = (x_i)$ for i = 1, 2, ..., r. We put $a_i = \ell_R(R/Q_i) = e(R/Q_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^r \to \mathbb{R}^r$ be an R-linear map represented by a parameter matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{array}\right)$$

Then we consider the module $C = \operatorname{Coker} \varphi = R/Q_1 \oplus R/Q_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/Q_r$ and compute the following:

- the multiplicities $e^j(C)$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1$
- the polynomial $\Lambda(p,q) = \ell_R(S_{p+q}/N^{p+1}S_{q-1})$ for $p,q \gg 0$ the function $\Lambda(p,q) = \ell_R(S_{p+q}/N^{p+1}S_{q-1})$ for $p \ge 0, q > 0$

where $S = \text{Sym}_R(R^r)$ and $N = \text{Im}\,\varphi = Q_1 \oplus Q_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_r$. If we fix a free basis $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_r\}$ for R^r , then $S = R[t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_r]$ is a polynomial ring and $N = Q_1t_1 + Q_2t_2 + \cdots + Q_rt_r \subset S_1 = Rt_1 + Rt_2 + \cdots + Rt_r$. Then for any $p \ge 0, q > 0$,

$$N^{p+1}S_{q-1} = \left(\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{j}|=p+1\\\boldsymbol{j}\geq\boldsymbol{0}}} \boldsymbol{Q}^{\boldsymbol{j}}\boldsymbol{t}^{\boldsymbol{j}}\right) \left(\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{k}|=q-1\\\boldsymbol{k}\geq\boldsymbol{0}}} R\boldsymbol{t}^{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\ell}|=p+q\\\boldsymbol{\ell}\geq\boldsymbol{0}}} \left(\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{k}|=q-1\\\boldsymbol{0}\leq\boldsymbol{k}\leq\boldsymbol{\ell}}} \boldsymbol{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}-\boldsymbol{k}}\right) \boldsymbol{t}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$$
$$\subset S_{p+q} = \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\ell}|=p+q\\\boldsymbol{\ell}\geq\boldsymbol{0}}} R\boldsymbol{t}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}.$$

Here we use the multi-index notation: for a vector $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$, we denote $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{i}} = Q_1^{i_1} \cdots Q_r^{i_r}, \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{i}} = t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_r^{i_r}$ and $|\mathbf{i}| = i_1 + \cdots + i_r$. For any vector $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$ such that $|\boldsymbol{\ell}| = p + q$, we define the ideal in R as follows:

$$J_{p,q}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) := \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{k}|=q-1 \ \boldsymbol{0} \leq \boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{\ell}}} \boldsymbol{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}-\boldsymbol{k}}.$$

Then for any $p \ge 0, q > 0$,

$$\Lambda(p,q) = \ell_A(S_{p+q}/N^{p+1}S_{q-1}) = \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\ell}| = p+q \\ \boldsymbol{\ell} > \mathbf{0}}} \ell_B(R/J_{p,q}(\boldsymbol{\ell})).$$

To compute the function $\Lambda(p,q)$, it is enough to compute the colength $\ell_R(R/J_{p,q}(\ell))$ of the ideal $J_{p,q}(\ell)$. In the special case where the ideals Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_r becomes ascending chain, we can easily compute it as follows.

Proposition 2.1. Suppose that $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Q_r$. Then

$$\Lambda(p,q) = (a_1 + \dots + a_r) \binom{p+r}{r} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (a_{i+1} + \dots + a_r) \binom{p+r-i}{r-i} \binom{q-2+i}{i}$$

for all $p \ge 0$ and all q > 0, where $\binom{m}{n} = 0$ if m < n. In particular, the function $\Lambda(p,q)$ coincides with a polynomial function and

$$e^{j}(C) = \begin{cases} a_{j+1} + \dots + a_{r} & (j = 0, 1, \dots, r-1) \\ 0 & (j = r) \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us fix any $p \ge 0$ and q > 0. We may assume that $r \ge 2$ and $q \ge 2$. Suppose $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Q_r$. Then the ideal $J_{p,q}(\ell)$ coincides with the ideal of the product of last (p+1)-ideals of a sequence of ideals

$$\underbrace{\overline{Q_1,\ldots,Q_1}}_{p+q}, \underbrace{\overline{Q_2,\ldots,Q_2}}_{p+q}, \ldots, \underbrace{\overline{Q_r,\ldots,Q_r}}_{q_r,\ldots,q_r}$$

Hence its colength $\ell_R(R/J_{p,q}(\ell))$ is the sum of last (p+1)-integers of a sequence of integers

(1)
$$\underbrace{\underbrace{a_1,\ldots,a_1}_{p+q},\underbrace{a_2,\ldots,a_2}_{p+q},\ldots,\underbrace{a_r,\ldots,a_r}_{p+q}}_{p+q}.$$

To compute the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\ell}|=p+q\\\boldsymbol{\ell}\geq \mathbf{0}}} \ell_R(R/J_{p,q}(\boldsymbol{\ell})),$$

we divide the sequence (1) at the (p+2)th integer from the end. If the (p+2)th integer from the end is a_i , then the sum of all last (p+1)-integers of such sequences can be counted by

$$\binom{i+(q-2)-1}{i-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{u_1+\dots+u_r=p+1\\u_1,\dots,u_r\geq 0}} (u_i a_i + u_{i+1} a_{i+1} + \dots + u_r a_r) \right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(p,q) &= \sum_{\substack{|\ell| = p+q \\ \ell \ge 0}} \ell_R(R/J_{p,q}(\ell)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \binom{i+(q-2)-1}{i-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{u_1 + \dots + u_r = p+1 \\ u_1,\dots,u_r \ge 0}} (u_i a_i + u_{i+1} a_{i+1} + \dots + u_r a_r) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \binom{i+(q-2)-1}{i-1} (a_i + \dots + a_r) \binom{(r-i+1)+(p+1)-1}{r-i} \frac{p+1}{r-i+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i + \dots + a_r) \binom{i+q-3}{i-1} \binom{r-i+p+1}{r-i+1} \frac{p+1}{r-i+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i + \dots + a_r) \binom{r-i+p+1}{r-i+1} \binom{i+q-3}{i-1} \\ &= (a_1 + \dots + a_r) \binom{p+r}{r} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (a_{i+1} + \dots + a_r) \binom{p+r-i}{r-i} \binom{q-2+i}{i}. \end{split}$$

Corollary 2.2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a DVR and let C be a module of finite length. Then the function $\Lambda(p,q)$ associated to the module C coincides with a polynomial function. Moreover we have the formula

$$e^{j}(C) = \ell_{R}(R/\operatorname{Fitt}_{j}(C)) = e(R/\operatorname{Fitt}_{j}(C))$$

for any $j = 0, 1, \ldots, r - 1$.

Remark 2.3. In [5], Kirby and Rees computed the multiplicities $e^{j}(C)$ in the case where C is a module of finite length and R is a DVR. Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 gives more detailed information about the function $\Lambda(p,q)$.

The case where the ideals Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_r does not become an ascending chain is more complicated. However the case where r = 2 can be computed as follows.

Theorem 2.4. Assume r = 2 and put $I := Q_1 + Q_2$. Then

(1) The Buchsbaum-Rim polynomial is

$$\Lambda(p,q) = (a_1 + a_2) \binom{p+2}{2} + e(R/I) \binom{p+1}{1} \binom{q-1}{1} - e_1(I)(p+q) + c$$

for all $p,q \gg 0$, where $e_1(I)$ denotes the 1st Hilbert coefficient of I and c is a constant. In particular, we have that

$$\begin{cases} e^{0}(C) = \ell_{R}(R/\operatorname{Fitt}_{0}(C)) = \ell_{R}(R/Q_{1}Q_{2}) \\ e^{1}(C) = e(R/\operatorname{Fitt}_{1}(C)) = e(R/I) \\ e^{2}(C) = 0. \end{cases}$$

(2) The function $\Lambda(p,q)$ coincides with a polynomial function if and only if the equality $\ell_R(R/I) = e(R/I) - e_1(I)$ holds true. When this is the case,

$$\Lambda(p,q) = (a_1 + a_2) \binom{p+2}{2} + e(R/I) \binom{p+1}{1} \binom{q-1}{1} - e_1(I)(p+q) + e_1(I)$$

for all $p \ge 0$ and all $q > 0$.

(3) The function $\Lambda(p,q)$ coincides with the following simple polynomial function

$$\Lambda(p,q) = (a_1 + a_2) \binom{p+2}{2} + e(R/I) \binom{p+1}{1} \binom{q-1}{1}$$

if and only if there exits an inclusion between Q_1 and Q_2 .

Proof. Let $p \ge 0$, q > 0 and let $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^2$ such that $|\boldsymbol{\ell}| = p + q$. Let $\delta = \delta(\boldsymbol{\ell})$ be the number of elements of the set $\Delta = \Delta(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = \{\ell_i \mid \ell_i > q - 1\}$. Then the ideal $J_{p,q}(\boldsymbol{\ell})$ can be computed as follows directly.

Claim 1

$$J_{p,q}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = \begin{cases} I^{p+1} & \text{if } \delta = 0\\ Q_i^{\ell_i - q + 1} I^{\ell_j} & (i \neq j) & \text{if } \delta = 1 \text{ and } \Delta = \{\ell_i\}\\ Q_1^{\ell_1 - q + 1} Q_2^{\ell_2 - q + 1} I^{q-1} & \text{if } \delta = 2 \end{cases}$$

Let $h_n = \ell_R(R/I^n)$ be the Hilbert-Samuel function of the ideal *I*. Then, by Claim 1, the function $\Lambda(p,q)$ can be computed as follows.

Claim 2

$$\Lambda(p,q) = \begin{cases} (a_1 + a_2)\binom{p+2}{2} + 2(h_1 + \dots + h_p) + (q-p-1)h_{p+1} & \text{if } p+1 \le q-1\\ (a_1 + a_2)\binom{p+2}{2} + 2(h_1 + \dots + h_{q-2}) + (p-q+3)h_{q-1} & \text{if } p+1 > q-1 \end{cases}$$

Let p_0 be the postulation number of I, that is, $h_p = e(R/I)p - e_1(I)$ for all $p \ge p_0$. To compute the Buchsbaum-Rim polynomial, we may assume that $p \ge p_0$ and $q-1 \ge p+1$. Then, by Claim 2, we can compute the function $\Lambda(p,q)$ explicitly as follows.

$$\Lambda(p,q) = (a_1 + a_2) \binom{p+2}{2} + e(R/I) \binom{p+1}{1} \binom{q-1}{1} - e_1(I)(p+q) + c$$

= 2(h_1 + ... + h_{--1}) - e(R/I)n_2(n_2 - 1) + e_1(I)(2n_2 - 1) is a constant. This

where $c = 2(h_1 + \dots + h_{p_0-1}) - e(R/I)p_0(p_0-1) + e_1(I)(2p_0-1)$ is a constant. This proves the assertion (1).

Suppose that the function $\Lambda(p,q)$ coincides with the polynomial function. Then, by substituting p = 0 in the polynomial, $\Lambda(0,q) = (e(R/I) - e_1(I))q + (a_1 + a_2 - e(R/I) + c)$ for any q > 0. On the other hand, by Claim 2, $\Lambda(0,q) = h_1q + (a_1 + a_2 - h_1)$. By

comparing the coefficient of q, we have $h_1 = e(R/I) - e_1(I)$. Conversely, suppose that $h_1 = e(R/I) - e_1(I)$. Then it is known that the Hilbert-Samuel function h_n coincides with the polynomial function for all n > 0 ([9]). Hence the function $\Lambda(p,q)$ also coincides with the polynomial function with the following form

$$\Lambda(p,q) = (a_1 + a_2) \binom{p+2}{2} + e(R/I) \binom{p+1}{1} \binom{q-1}{1} - e_1(I)(p+q) + e_1(I)$$

by Claim 2. Thus we have the assertion (2).

For the assertion (3), if the function $\Lambda(p,q)$ coincides with the following simple polynomial function

$$\Lambda(p,q) = (a_1 + a_2) \binom{p+2}{2} + e(R/I) \binom{p+1}{1} \binom{q-1}{1},$$

then $e_1(I) = 0$ and $h_1 = e(R/I)$. This implies that I is a parameter ideal for R and hence $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ or $Q_2 \subseteq Q_1$. The other implication follows from Proposition 2.1.

Consequently, there exists the case where the Buchsbaum-Rim function $\Lambda(p,q)$ does not coincides with a polynomial function even if the ring R is Cohen-Macaulay and the module has a parameter matrix. This should be contrasted with a result on the classical Buchsbaum-Rim function of a parameter module due to Brennan-Ulrich-Vasconcelos [1].

References

- J. Brennan, B. Ulrich and W. V. Vasconcelos, The Buchsbaum-Rim polynomial of a module, J. Algebra 241 (2001), 379–392
- [2] D. A. Buchsbaum and D. S. Rim, A generalized Koszul complex. II. Depth and multiplicity, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1964), 197–224
- [3] F. Hayasaka and E. Hyry, On the Buchsbaum-Rim function of a parameter module, J. Algebra 327 (2011), 307–315
- [4] D. Kirby, On the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity associated with a matrix, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 32 (1985), 57–61
- [5] D. Kirby and D. Rees, Multiplicities in graded rings. I. The general theory. Commutative algebra: syzygies, multiplicities, and birational algebra (South Hadley, MA, 1992), 209 – 267, Contemp. Math., 159, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994
- [6] D. Kirby and D. Rees, Multiplicities in graded rings. II. Integral equivalence and the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 119 (1996), no. 3, 425 – 445
- [7] S. Kleiman and A. Thorup, A geometric theory of the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity, J. Algebra 167 (1994), no. 1, 168–231
- [8] S. Kleiman and A. Thorup, Mixed Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicities, Amer. J. Math. 118 (1996), no. 3, 529–569
- [9] J. Lipman, Stable ideals and Arf rings, Amer. J. Math. 93 (1971), 649–685

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ASAHIKAWA CAMPUS, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, HOKUMON-CHO 9-CHOME, ASAHIKAWA-SHI, HOKKAIDO, 070-8621, JAPAN

E-mail address: hayasaka.futoshi@a.hokkyodai.ac.jp