

# $F$ -finiteness of homomorphisms and its descent

MITSUYASU HASHIMOTO

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University  
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, JAPAN\*

## Abstract

Let  $p$  be a prime number. We define the notion of  $F$ -finiteness of homomorphisms of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras, and discuss some basic properties. In particular, we prove a sort of descent theorem on  $F$ -finiteness of homomorphisms of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras. As a corollary, we prove the following. Let  $g : B \rightarrow C$  be a homomorphism of Noetherian  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras. If  $g$  is faithfully flat reduced and  $C$  is  $F$ -finite, then  $B$  is  $F$ -finite. This is a generalization of Seydi's result on excellent local rings of characteristic  $p$ .

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $p$  denotes a prime number, and  $\mathbb{F}_p$  denotes the finite field with  $p$  elements.

The notions of Nagata (pseudo-geometric, universally Japanese) and (quasi-)excellent rings give good frameworks to avoid pathologies which appear in the theory of Noetherian rings, see [Nag], [Gro], and [Mat].

In commutative algebra of characteristic  $p$ ,  $F$ -finiteness of rings is commonly used for a general assumption which guarantees the “tameness” of

---

\*Current Address: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Okayama University, 3-1-1 Tsushima-Naka, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8530, JAPAN. E-mail: [mh@okayama-u.ac.jp](mailto:mh@okayama-u.ac.jp)

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13A35, 13F40; Secondary 13E15. Key Words and Phrases.  $F$ -finiteness, reduced homomorphism, Nagata ring.

the theory, as well as Nagata and (quasi-)excellent properties. A commutative ring  $R$  of characteristic  $p$  is said to be  $F$ -finite if the Frobenius map  $F_R : R \rightarrow R$  ( $F_R(r) = r^p$ ) is finite (that is,  $R$  as the target of  $F_R$  is a finite module over  $R$  as the source of  $F_R$ ). As the definition suggests,  $F$ -finiteness is important in studying ring theoretic properties defined via Frobenius maps, such as strong  $F$ -regularity [HH]. Although  $F$ -finiteness for a Noetherian  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra is stronger than excellence [Kun],  $F$ -finiteness is not so restrictive for practical use. A perfect field is  $F$ -finite. An algebra essentially of finite type over an  $F$ -finite ring is  $F$ -finite. An ideal-adic completion of a Noetherian  $F$ -finite ring is again  $F$ -finite. See Example 3 and Example 9. It is known that an  $F$ -finite Noetherian ring is a homomorphic image of an  $F$ -finite regular ring of finite Krull dimension, and hence it has a dualizing complex [Gab, Remark 13.6].

In this paper, replacing the absolute Frobenius map by the relative one, we define the  $F$ -finiteness of homomorphism between rings of characteristic  $p$ . We say that an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra map  $A \rightarrow B$  is  $F$ -finite (or  $B$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ ) if the relative Frobenius map (Radu–André homomorphism)  $\Phi_1(A, B) : B^{(1)} \otimes_{A^{(1)}} A \rightarrow B$  is finite (Definition 1, see section 2 for the notation). Thus a ring  $B$  of characteristic  $p$  is  $F$ -finite if and only if it is  $F$ -finite over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Replacing absolute Frobenius by relative Frobenius, we get definitions and results on homomorphisms instead of rings. This is a common idea in [Rad], [And2], [And3], [Dum], [Dum2], [Ene], [Has], [DI], and [Has2].

In section 2, we discuss basic properties of  $F$ -finiteness of homomorphisms and rings. Some of well-known properties of  $F$ -finiteness of rings are naturally generalized to those for  $F$ -finiteness of homomorphisms.  $F$ -finiteness of homomorphisms has connections with that for rings. For example, if  $A \rightarrow B$  is  $F$ -finite and  $A$  is  $F$ -finite, then  $B$  is  $F$ -finite (Lemma 2).

In section 3, we prove the main theorem (Theorem 21). This is a sort of descent of  $F$ -finiteness. As a corollary, we prove that for a faithfully flat reduced homomorphism of Noetherian rings  $g : B \rightarrow C$ , if  $C$  is  $F$ -finite, then  $B$  is  $F$ -finite. Considering the case that  $f$  is a completion of a Noetherian local ring, we recover Seydi’s result on excellent local rings of characteristic  $p$  [Sey].

Acknowledgement: The author is grateful to Professor K. Fujiwara, Professor C. Ionescu, Professor J.-i. Nishimura, Professor C. Rotthaus, Dr. A. Sannai, Professor S. Takagi, and Professor H. Tanimoto for valuable advice. He also thanks the referee for helpful comments.

## 2. $F$ -finiteness of homomorphisms

Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ , and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For a  $k$ -space  $V$ , the additive group  $V$  with the new  $k$ -space structure  $\alpha \cdot v = \alpha^{p^{-r}}v$  is denoted by  $V^{(r)}$ . An element  $v$  of  $V$ , viewed as an element of  $V^{(r)}$  is (sometimes) denoted by  $v^{(r)}$ . If  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra, then  $A^{(r)}$  is a  $k$ -algebra with the product  $a^{(r)} \cdot b^{(r)} = (ab)^{(r)}$ . We denote the Frobenius map  $A \rightarrow A$  ( $a \mapsto a^p$ ) by  $F$  or  $F_A$ . Note that  $F^e : A^{(r+e)} \rightarrow A^{(r)}$  is a  $k$ -algebra map. Throughout the article, we regard  $A^{(r)}$  as an  $A^{(r+e)}$ -algebra through  $F^e$  ( $A$  is viewed as  $A^{(0)}$ ). For an  $A$ -module  $M$ , the action  $a^{(r)} \cdot m^{(r)} = (am)^{(r)}$  makes  $M^{(r)}$  an  $A^{(r)}$ -module. If  $I$  is an ideal of  $A$ , then  $I^{(r)}$  is an ideal of  $A^{(r)}$ . If  $e \geq 0$ , then  $I^{(e)}A = I^{[p^e]}$ , where  $I^{[p^e]}$  is the ideal of  $A$  generated by  $\{a^{p^e} \mid a \in I\}$ . In commutative algebra,  $A^{(r)}$  is also denoted by  ${}^{-r}A$ . We employ the notation more consistent with that in representation theory — the  $e$ th Frobenius twist of  $V$  is denoted by  $V^{(e)}$ , see [Jan]. We use this notation for  $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ .

Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra map, and  $e \geq 0$ . Then the relative Frobenius map (or Radu–André homomorphism)  $\Phi_e(A, B) : B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A \rightarrow B$  is defined by  $\Phi_e(A, B)(b^{(e)} \otimes a) = b^{p^e}a$ .

**Definition 1.** An  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra map  $A \rightarrow B$  is said to be  $F$ -finite if  $\Phi_1(A, B) : B^{(1)} \otimes_{A^{(1)}} A \rightarrow B$  is finite. That is,  $B$  is a finitely generated  $B^{(1)} \otimes_{A^{(1)}} A$ -module through  $\Phi_1(A, B)$ . We also say that  $B$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g : B \rightarrow C$ , and  $h : A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$  be  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra maps, and  $\tilde{B} := \tilde{A} \otimes_A B$ .

1 The following are equivalent.

- a  $f$  is  $F$ -finite. That is,  $\Phi_1(A, B)$  is finite.
- b For any  $e > 0$ ,  $\Phi_e(A, B)$  is finite.
- c For some  $e > 0$ ,  $\Phi_e(A, B)$  is finite.

2 If  $f$  and  $g$  are  $F$ -finite, then so is  $gf$ .

3 If  $gf$  is  $F$ -finite, then so is  $g$ .

4 The ring  $A$  is  $F$ -finite (that is, the Frobenius map  $F_A : A^{(1)} \rightarrow A$  is finite) if and only if the unique homomorphism  $\mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow A$  is  $F$ -finite.

5 If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is  $F$ -finite, then the base change  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$  is  $F$ -finite.

**6** If  $B$  is  $F$ -finite, then  $f$  is  $F$ -finite.

**7** If  $A$  and  $f$  are  $F$ -finite, then  $B$  is  $F$ -finite.

*Proof.* **1** This is immediate, using [Has, Lemma 4.1, **2**]. **2** and **3** follow from [Has, Lemma 4.1, **1**]. **4** follows from [Has, Lemma 4.1, **5**]. **5** follows from [Has, Lemma 4.1, **4**]. **6** follows from **3** and **4**. **7** follows from **2** and **4**.  $\square$

**Example 3.** Let  $e \geq 1$ , and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra map.

**1** If  $B = A[x]$  is a polynomial ring, then it is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ .

**2** If  $B = A_S$  is a localization of  $A$  by a multiplicatively closed subset  $S$  of  $A$ , then  $\Phi_e(A, B)$  is an isomorphism. In particular,  $B$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ .

**3** If  $B = A/I$  with  $I$  an ideal of  $A$ , then

$$B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A \cong (A^{(e)}/I^{(e)}) \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A \cong A/I^{(e)}A = A/I^{[p^e]}.$$

Under this identification,  $\Phi_e(A, B)$  is identified with the projection  $A/I^{[p^e]} \rightarrow A/I$ . In particular,  $B$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ .

**4** If  $B$  is essentially of finite type over  $A$ , then  $B$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ .

*Proof.* **1** The image of  $\Phi_1(A, B)$  is  $A[x^p]$ , and hence  $B$  is generated by  $1, x, \dots, x^{p-1}$  over it. **2** Note that  $B^{(e)}$  is identified with  $(A^{(e)})_{S^{(e)}}$ , where  $S^{(e)} = \{s^{(e)} \mid s \in S\}$ . So  $B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$  is identified with  $(A^{(e)})_{S^{(e)}} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A \cong A_{S^{(e)}}$ , and  $\Phi_e(A, B)$  is identified with the isomorphism  $A_{S^{(e)}} \cong A_S$ . **3** is obvious. **4** This is a consequence of **1**, **2**, **3**, and Lemma 2, **2**.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** Let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  be a sequence of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra maps. Then for  $e > 0$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A & \xrightarrow{\Phi_e(A, B)} & B \\ \downarrow g^{(e)} \otimes 1 & & \downarrow g \\ C^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A & \xrightarrow{\Phi_e(A, C)} & C \end{array}$$

is commutative.

*Proof.* This is straightforward.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** Let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  be a sequence of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra maps, and assume that  $C$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ . If  $g$  is finite and injective, and  $B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$  is Noetherian for some  $e > 0$ , then  $B$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ .

*Proof.* By assumption,  $C^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$  is finite over  $B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$ , and  $C$  is finite over  $C^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$ . So  $C$  is finite over  $B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$ . As  $B$  is a  $B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$ -submodule of  $C$  and  $B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$  is Noetherian,  $B$  is finite over  $B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism, and  $I$  a finitely generated nilpotent ideal of  $B$ . If  $B/I$  is  $A$ -finite, then  $B$  is  $A$ -finite.

*Proof.* As  $I^i/I^{i+1}$  is  $B/I$ -finite for each  $i$ , it is also  $A$ -finite. So  $B/I^r$  is  $A$ -finite for each  $r$ . Taking  $r$  large,  $B$  is  $A$ -finite.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra map, and  $I$  a finitely generated nilpotent ideal of  $B$ . If  $B/I$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ , then  $B$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ .

*Proof.* As  $B/I$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ ,  $B/I$  is  $(B^{(1)}/I^{(1)}) \otimes_{A^{(1)}} A$ -finite. So  $B/I$  is also  $B^{(1)} \otimes_{A^{(1)}} A$ -finite. By Lemma 6,  $B$  is  $B^{(1)} \otimes_{A^{(1)}} A$ -finite.  $\square$

For the absolute  $F$ -finiteness, we have a better result.

**Lemma 8.** Let  $B$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra, and  $I$  a finitely generated ideal of  $B$ . If  $B$  is  $I$ -adically complete and  $B/I$  is  $F$ -finite, then  $B$  is  $F$ -finite.

*Proof.*  $B/I$  is  $B^{(1)}/I^{(1)}$ -finite. So  $B/I^{(1)}B$  is  $B^{(1)}$ -finite by Lemma 6. As  $\bigcap_i I^i = 0$ , we have  $\bigcap_i (I^{(1)})^i B = 0$ . Moreover,  $B^{(1)}$  is  $I^{(1)}$ -adically complete. Hence  $B$  is  $B^{(1)}$ -finite by [Mat2, Theorem 8.4].  $\square$

**Example 9.** Let  $A$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra.

- 1 If  $A$  is  $F$ -finite, then the formal power series ring  $A[[x]]$  is so.
- 2 Let  $J$  be an ideal of  $A$ . If  $A$  is Noetherian and  $A/J$  is  $F$ -finite, then the  $J$ -adic completion  $A^*$  of  $A$  is  $F$ -finite.
- 3 If  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is complete local and  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is  $F$ -finite, then  $A$  is  $F$ -finite.

*Proof.* For each of **1–3**, we use Lemma 8. **1** Set  $B = A[[x]]$  and  $I = Bx$ . Then  $B/I \cong A$  is  $F$ -finite. **2** Set  $B = A^*$  and  $I = JB$ . Then  $B/I \cong A/J$  is  $F$ -finite. **3** is immediate.  $\square$

*Remark 10.* Let  $A$  be a Noetherian ring and  $I$  its ideal. If  $A$  is  $I$ -adically complete and  $A/I$  is Nagata, then  $A$  is Nagata [Mar]. If  $A$  is semi-local,  $I$ -adically complete, and  $A/I$  is quasi-excellent, then  $A$  is quasi-excellent [Rot2]. See also [Nis].

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $A$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra, and  $B$  and  $C$  be  $A$ -algebras. If  $B$  and  $C$  are  $F$ -finite over  $A$ , then*

**1**  $B \otimes_A C$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ .

**2**  $B \times C$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ .

*Proof.* **1**  $B$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ , and  $B \otimes_A C$  is  $F$ -finite over  $B$  by Lemma 2, **5**. By Lemma 2, **2**,  $B \otimes_A C$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$ .

**2** Both  $B$  and  $C$  are finite over  $(B \times C)^{(1)} \otimes_{A^{(1)}} A$ , and so is  $B \times C$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra map, and assume that  $B$  and  $B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$  are Noetherian for some  $e > 0$ . Then  $B$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$  if and only if  $B/P$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$  for every minimal prime  $P$  of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* The ‘only if’ part is obvious by Example 3, **3**. We prove the converse. Let  $\text{Min } B$  be the set of minimal primes of  $B$ . Then  $\prod_{P \in \text{Min } B} B/P$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$  by Lemma 11. As  $B_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \prod_{P \in \text{Min } B} B/P$  is finite injective, and  $B_{\text{red}}^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$  is Noetherian,  $B_{\text{red}}$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$  by Lemma 5. As  $B$  is Noetherian,  $B$  is  $F$ -finite over  $A$  by Lemma 7.  $\square$

*Remark 13.* Fogarty asserted that an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra map  $A \rightarrow B$  with  $B$  Noetherian is  $F$ -finite if and only if the module of Kähler differentials  $\Omega_{B/A}$  is a finite  $B$ -module [Fog, Proposition 1]. The ‘only if’ part is true and easy. The proof of ‘if’ part therein has a gap. Although  $R_1$  in step (iii) is assumed to be Noetherian, it is not proved that  $R'$  in step (iv) is Noetherian. The author does not know if this direction is true or not.

If, moreover, both  $A$  and  $B$  are Noetherian, the assertion is true. This is an immediate consequence of [And, Proposition 57].

### 3. Descent of $F$ -finiteness

In this section, we prove a sort of descent theorem on  $F$ -finiteness of homomorphisms.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and  $f : M \rightarrow N$  an  $R$ -linear map between  $R$ -modules. We say that  $f$  is pure, if  $1_W \otimes f : W \otimes_R M \rightarrow W \otimes_R N$  is injective for any  $R$ -module  $W$ . When we need to clarify the base ring  $R$ , we also say that  $f$  is  $R$ -pure. A homomorphism of rings  $A \rightarrow B$  is said to be pure (without mentioning the base ring), if it is  $A$ -pure (i.e., pure as an  $A$ -linear map).

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  and  $h : F \rightarrow G$  be  $R$ -linear maps. If  $\varphi$  is  $R$ -pure and  $1_N \otimes h : N \otimes F \rightarrow N \otimes G$  is surjective, then  $1_M \otimes h : M \otimes F \rightarrow M \otimes G$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* Let  $C := \text{Coker } h$ . Then by assumption,  $N \otimes C = 0$ . By the injectivity of  $\varphi \otimes 1_C : M \otimes C \rightarrow N \otimes C$ , we have that  $M \otimes C = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 15.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a pure ring homomorphism, and  $h : F \rightarrow G$  an  $A$ -linear map. If  $1_B \otimes h : B \otimes_A F \rightarrow B \otimes_A G$  is surjective, then  $h$  is surjective.*  $\square$

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a pure ring homomorphism, and  $G$  an  $A$ -module. If  $B \otimes_A G$  is a finitely generated  $B$ -module, then  $G$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module.*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r$  be generators of  $B \otimes_A G$ . Then we can write  $\theta_j = \sum_{i=1}^s b_{ij} \otimes g_{ij}$  for some  $s > 0$ ,  $b_{ij} \in B$ , and  $g_{ij} \in G$ . Let  $F$  be the  $A$ -free module with the basis  $\{f_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ , and  $h : F \rightarrow G$  be the  $A$ -linear map given by  $f_{ij} \mapsto g_{ij}$ . Then by construction,  $1_B \otimes h$  is surjective. By Corollary 15,  $h$  is surjective, and hence  $G$  is finitely generated.  $\square$

**Definition 17** (cf. [Has2, (2.7)]). Let  $e > 0$  be an integer. An  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra map  $A \rightarrow B$  is said to be  $e$ -Dumitrescu if  $\Phi_e(A, B)$  is  $A$ -pure.

**Lemma 18.** *Let  $e, e' > 0$ . If  $A \rightarrow B$  is both  $e$ -Dumitrescu and  $e'$ -Dumitrescu, then it is  $(e + e')$ -Dumitrescu. In particular, an  $e$ -Dumitrescu map is  $er$ -Dumitrescu map for  $r > 0$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from [Has, Lemma 4.1, **2**].  $\square$

So a 1-Dumitrescu map is Dumitrescu (that is,  $e$ -Dumitrescu for all  $e > 0$ ), see [Has2, Lemma 2.9].

**Lemma 19.** *Let  $e > 0$ .*

- 1 [Has2, Lemma 2.8],
- 2 [Has2, Lemma 2.12], and
- 3 [Has2, Corollary 2.13]

hold true when we replace all the ‘Dumitrescu’ therein by ‘ $e$ -Dumitrescu’.

The proof is straightforward, and is left to the reader.

*Remark 20.* The precise statement of Lemma 19 for **2** is as follows.

Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism between rings of characteristic  $p$ , and  $e > 0$  an integer. Assume that  $A$  is Noetherian, and the image of the associated map  ${}^a f : \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  contains  $\text{Max}(A)$ , the set of maximal ideals of  $A$ . If  $f$  is  $e$ -Dumitrescu, then  $f$  is pure.

**Theorem 21.** *Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  be  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra maps, and  $e > 0$ . Assume that  $g$  is  $e$ -Dumitrescu, and the image of the associated map  ${}^a g : \text{Spec } C \rightarrow \text{Spec } B$  contains the set of maximal ideals  $\text{Max } B$  of  $B$ . If  $g$  is  $F$ -finite, and  $B$  and  $C^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$  are Noetherian, then  $f$  is  $F$ -finite.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\Phi_e(A, C) : C^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A \rightarrow C$  is a finite map. Note also that  $C^{(e)} \otimes_{B^{(e)}} B$  is a  $C^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$ -submodule of  $C$  through  $\Phi_e(B, C)$ , since  $\Phi_e(B, C)$  is  $B$ -pure and hence is injective. As  $C^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$  is Noetherian,  $C^{(e)} \otimes_{B^{(e)}} B$ , which is a submodule of the finite module  $C$ , is a finite  $C^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$ -module. Since  $g^{(e)} : B^{(e)} \rightarrow C^{(e)}$  is pure by Lemma 19, **2** (see Remark 20),  $B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A \rightarrow C^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$  is also pure. Since

$$C^{(e)} \otimes_{B^{(e)}} B \cong (C^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A) \otimes_{B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A} B$$

is a finite  $C^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$ -module,  $B$  is a finite  $B^{(e)} \otimes_{A^{(e)}} A$ -module by Lemma 16.  $\square$

A homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  between Noetherian rings is said to be reduced if  $f$  is flat with geometrically reduced fibers.

**Corollary 22.** *Let  $g : B \rightarrow C$  be a faithfully flat reduced homomorphism between Noetherian  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras. If  $C$  is  $F$ -finite, then  $B$  is  $F$ -finite.*

*Proof.* By [Dum2, Theorem 3],  $g$  is Dumitrescu. As  $g$  is faithfully flat,  ${}^a g : \text{Spec } C \rightarrow \text{Spec } B$  is surjective. Letting  $A = \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be the unique map, the assumptions of Theorem 21 are satisfied, and hence  $f$  is  $F$ -finite. That is,  $B$  is  $F$ -finite.  $\square$



**Corollary 23** (Seydi [Sey]). *Let  $(B, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Nagata local ring with the  $F$ -finite residue field  $k = B/\mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $B$  is  $F$ -finite. In particular,  $B$  is excellent, and is a homomorphic image of an  $F$ -finite regular local ring. So  $B$  has a dualizing complex.*

*Proof.* Let  $g : B \rightarrow C = \hat{B}$  be the completion of  $B$ . Then  $C$  is a complete local ring with the residue field  $k$ . By Example 9, **3**,  $C$  is  $F$ -finite. As  $g$  is reduced by [Gro, (7.6.4), (7.7.2)],  $B$  is  $F$ -finite by Corollary 22.

Now  $B$  is excellent by [Kun, Theorem 2.5] and is a homomorphic image of an  $F$ -finite regular local ring by [Gab, Remark 13.6]. The last assertion follows from the fact that a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring has a dualizing complex if it is of finite Krull dimension. For dualizing complexes, see [Har].  $\square$

Even if  $A \rightarrow B$  is a faithfully flat reduced homomorphism and  $B$  is excellent,  $A$  need not be quasi-excellent. There is a Nagata local ring  $A$  which is not quasi-excellent [Rot], [Nis2], and its completion  $A \rightarrow \hat{A} = B$  is an example. On the other hand, if  $A \rightarrow B$  is a faithfully flat regular homomorphism and  $B$  is quasi-excellent, then  $A$  is quasi-excellent [Mat2, Theorem 32.2].

## REFERENCES

- [And] M. André, Homologie de Frobenius, *Math. Ann.* **290** (1991), 129–181.
- [And2] M. André, Homomorphismes réguliers en caractéristique  $p$ , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **316** (1993), 643–646.
- [And3] M. André, Autre démonstration de théorème liant régularité et platitude en caractéristique  $p$ , *Manuscripta Math.* **82** (1994), 363–379.
- [Dum] T. Dumitrescu, On a theorem of N. Radu and M. André, *Stud. Cerc. Mat.* **46** (1994), 445–447.
- [Dum2] T. Dumitrescu, Reducedness, formal smoothness and approximation in characteristic  $p$ , *Comm. Algebra* **23** (1995), 1787–1795.

- [DI] T. Dumitrescu and C. Ionescu, Regularity and finite injective dimension in characteristic  $p > 0$ , *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **47** (2010), 108–112.
- [Ene] F. Enescu, On the behavior of  $F$ -rational rings under flat base change, *J. Algebra* **233** (2000), 543–566.
- [Fog] J. Fogarty, Kähler differentials and Hilbert’s fourteenth problem for finite groups, *Amer. J. Math.* **102** (1980), 1159–1175.
- [Gab] O. Gabber, Notes on some  $t$ -structures, *Geometric Aspects of Dwork Theory. Vol. II*, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG (2004), 711–734.
- [Gro] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique, IV (seconde partie)*, *Publ. IHES* **24** (1965).
- [Har] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and Duality*, Lecture Notes in Math. **20**, Springer, (1966).
- [Has] M. Hashimoto, Cohen–Macaulay  $F$ -injective homomorphisms, *Geometric and Combinatorial Aspects of Commutative algebra* (Messina, 1999), J. Herzog and G. Restuccia (eds.), Dekker (2001), 231–244.
- [Has2] M. Hashimoto,  $F$ -pure homomorphisms, strong  $F$ -regularity, and  $F$ -injectivity, *Comm. Algebra* **38** (2010), 4569–4596.
- [HH] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, Tight closure and strong  $F$ -regularity, *Mém. Soc. Math. France* **38** (1989), 119–133.
- [Jan] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic Groups*, 2nd ed., AMS (2003).
- [Kun] E. Kunz, On Noetherian rings of characteristic  $p$ , *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), 999–1013.
- [Mar] J. Marot, Sur les anneaux universellement japonais, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **103** (1975), 103–111.
- [Mat] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, 2nd ed., Benjamin (1980).

- [Mat2] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, First paperback edition, Cambridge (1989).
- [Nag] M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, Corrected reprint, Krieger (1975).
- [Nis] J.-i. Nishimura, On ideal-adic completion of noetherian rings, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **21** (1981), 153–169.
- [Nis2] J.-i. Nishimura, A few examples of local rings, I, *Kyoto J. Math.* **52** (2012), 51–87.
- [Rad] N. Radu, Une classe d’anneaux noethériens, *Rev. Roumanie Math. Pures Appl.* **37** (1992), 79–82.
- [Rot] C. Rotthaus, Nicht ausgezeichnete, universell japanische Ringe, *Math. Z.* **152** (1977), 107–125.
- [Rot2] C. Rotthaus, Komplettierung semilokaler quasiausgezeichneter Ringe, *Nagoya Math. J.* **76** (1979), 173–180.
- [Sey] H. Seydi, Sur la théorie des anneaux excellents en caractéristique  $p$ , *Bull. Sci. Math.* **96** (1972), 193–198.