

**The differential module of the polynomial ring  
with  
the action of the symmetric group**

Nagoya, 20, November, 2007

H. Morita, A. Wachi, J. Watanabe

Let  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  be the polynomial ring over  $K$ , a field of characteristic 0. Let  $\Omega$  be the module of differentials:

$$\Omega := Rdx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Rdx_k$$

Let  $G := S_k$  be the symmetric group in  $k$  letters. Let  $G$  act on  $R$  by permutation of the variables. Extend the action to

$$\wedge^j \Omega$$

for

$$j = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

We consider the following problems:

**Problem 1.** Decompose  $\wedge^j \Omega$  into irreducible  $S_k$ -modules.

**Problem 2.** Determine the Hilbert series of the isotypic components of

$$\wedge^j \Omega.$$

Recall that the irreducible modules of  $S_k$  are parametrized by the partitions of  $k$ . Thus we write  $W^\lambda$  for the irreducible  $S_k$ -module corresponding to  $\lambda \vdash k$ .

Let  $Y^\lambda(-)$  be the functor from the category of  $S_k$ -modules to itself

“to extract the isotypic component”

belonging to  $\lambda$ . Note that it is an exact functor.

For a graded vector space  $M$ ,

$$h(M, q)$$

denotes the Hilbert series of  $M$ . (This is a power series in  $q$  with positive integers as coefficients.)

Example 1 : The case where  $k = 2$ .

Assume  $k = 2$ . Then  $R = K[x, y]$ . There are only two partitions:  $\lambda = \begin{cases} 2, \\ 11. \end{cases}$  We want to determine

$$h(Y^\lambda(\wedge^j \Omega), q)$$

for  $j = 0, 1, 2$ .

For  $j = 0$ , it is easy to determine the Hilbert series since

$$\begin{cases} Y^{(2)}(\wedge^0 \Omega) = R^G = K[x + y, xy] \\ Y^{(11)}(\wedge^0 \Omega) = (x - y)R^G \end{cases}$$

and since  $R$  is the direct sum:

$$R = R^G \oplus (x - y)R^G.$$

For  $j = 2$ , we have

$$\wedge^2 \Omega \cong R(dx \wedge dy).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{cases} h(Y^{(2)}(\wedge^2 \Omega), q) = h(Y^{(11)}(R), q), \\ h(Y^{(11)}(\wedge^2 \Omega), q) = h(Y^{(2)}(R), q). \end{cases}$$

For  $j = 1$ , we have to decompose the module

$$\Omega \cong Rdx \oplus Rdy.$$

As is easily seen, symmetric 1-forms are of the form either  $sdx + sdy$  with  $s \in R^G$  or  $adx - ady$  with  $a \in (x - y)R^G$ , and alternating 1-forms are either  $sdx - sdy$  or  $adx + ady$ .

Thus we have obtained the following table for  $h(Y^\lambda(\wedge^j \Omega), q)$ .

	$j = 0$	$j = 1$	$j = 2$
$\lambda = (2)$	$\frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2)}$	$\frac{1}{(1-q)^2}$	$\frac{q}{(1-q)(1-q^2)}$
$\lambda = (11)$	$\frac{q}{(1-q)(1-q^2)}$	$\frac{1}{(1-q)^2}$	$\frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2)}$

Example 2: The case where  $j = 0$

Fix  $j = 0$ . So  $\wedge^j \Omega = R$ . If  $\lambda = (k)$ , the trivial partition, then  $Y^\lambda(R)$  is  $R^G$ , the ring of invariants. So we have

$$h(R^G, q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^k)},$$

since  $R^G$  is generated by the elementary symmetric functions.

Put  $\bar{R} := R/(R_+^G)$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} h(Y^\lambda(R), q) &= h(R^G, q)h(Y^\lambda(\bar{R}), q) \\ &= \frac{h(Y^\lambda(\bar{R}), q)}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^k)}. \end{aligned}$$

By a result of Terasoma-Yamada. the numerator (which is a polynomial)

$$h(Y^\lambda(\bar{R}), q)$$

is known to be the  $q$ -analog of the hook length formula multiplied by the dimension of  $W^\lambda$  with a certain shift of degree determined by  $\lambda$ .

Main result: The general case

Write

$$\Omega(-n) = Rdx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Rdx_n,$$

when we give  $dx_i$  degree  $n$ . We have been considering

$$\Omega = \Omega(0).$$

Similarly  $R(-n)$  denotes the free module of rank 1 generated by a generator of degree  $n$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge^j (\Omega(-n)) &\cong R \binom{k}{j}(-jn) \\ &\cong (\wedge^j \Omega)(-jn) \end{aligned}$$

Also put

$$A(n) = R/(x_1^n, \dots, x_k^n).$$

For simplicity put  $F = \Omega(-n)$ . We would like to construct a minimal free resolution of  $A(n)$  as an  $R$ -module such that the boundary maps are compatible with the action of  $S_k$ . For this the usual minimal free resolution suffices:

$$\rightarrow \wedge^3 F \rightarrow \wedge^2 F \rightarrow \wedge^1 F \rightarrow \wedge^0 F \rightarrow A(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

We want to know

$$\xi_j := h(Y^\lambda(\wedge^j \Omega), q).$$

Assume that we know

$$h_n := h(Y^\lambda(A(n)), q),$$

for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Fix  $\lambda \vdash k$  and apply the functor  $Y^\lambda(-)$  to the sequence (1) above. Then we have

$$\rightarrow Y^\lambda(\wedge^3 F) \rightarrow Y^\lambda(\wedge^2 F) \rightarrow Y^\lambda(\wedge^1 F) \rightarrow Y^\lambda(\wedge^0 F) \rightarrow Y^\lambda(A(n)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the sequence is exact it gives us:

$$h_n = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j q^{nj} \xi_j \tag{2}$$

This means that we have an infinite set of linear equations relating  $\{\xi_i\}$  and  $\{h_i\}$ . For example if  $k = 3$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -q & q^2 & -q^3 \\ 1 & -q^2 & q^4 & -q^6 \\ 1 & -q^3 & q^6 & -q^9 \\ 1 & -q^4 & q^8 & -q^{12} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 \\ \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \\ h_4 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that any maximal minor of the matrix  $\{(-q^n)^j\}$  is non-zero. Thus we have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem** With  $\lambda \vdash k$  fixed, the set of Hilbert series

$$\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k.$$

in consideration are determined by any  $(k + 1)$  terms of

$$h_0, h_1, h_2, \dots.$$

Consequently the infinite sequence

$$h_0, h_1, h_2, \dots.$$

is determined by any  $k + 1$  terms.

Actually  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  are known for all  $\lambda \vdash k$ . (This is trivial.) Hence the above theorem is rephrased as follows:

**Theorem'** With  $\lambda \vdash k$  fixed, any  $k - 1$  terms in the infinite series

$$h_2, h_3, \dots$$

determine

$$\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k$$

and they determine all

$$h_2, h_3, \dots$$

As is easily conceived we have the duality

$$Y^\lambda(\wedge^j \Omega) \cong Y^{\bar{\lambda}}(\wedge^{k-j} \Omega)$$

Thus we have the following

**Theorem''** Any  $[(k + 1)/2]$  terms in the infinite series

$$h_0, h_1, h_2, \dots$$

for all  $\lambda \vdash k$  determine

$$\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k.$$

A result of Morita-Wachi-Watanabe says that

$$h_n$$

is the  $q$ -analog of the Weyl dimension formula. It means that we have determined

$$h(Y^\lambda(\wedge^j \Omega), q)$$

for all  $\begin{cases} \lambda \vdash k, \\ j = 0, 1, \dots, k. \end{cases}$

**$q$ -analog of the hook length formula**

For  $\lambda \vdash k$  let  $W^\lambda$  be the irreducible  $S_k$ -module corresponding to  $\lambda$ . Then  $\dim W^\lambda$  is given by

$$\dim W^\lambda = \frac{k!}{\prod h_{ij}} \quad (3)$$

where  $h_{ij}$  is the hook length at the  $(i, j)$ -th position. The following is an example which shows the matrix  $\{h_{ij}\}$  for the Young diagram  $\lambda = (5, 3, 1)$ .

7	5	4	2	1
4	2	1		
1				

In (3) replace integer  $a$  by the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned}
 [a] &:= \frac{1 - q^a}{1 - q} \\
 &= 1 + q + \cdots + q^{a-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

It is the “ $q$ -analog of the hook length formula.”

Since there are same number of integers in the denominator and numerator of (3), it is the same if we replace  $a$  by

$$1 - q^a.$$

$q$ -analog of the Weyl dimension formula

Let  $\lambda \vdash k$ . Let  $n > 0$  be any integer. Let  $V^\lambda$  be the irreducible  $GL(n)$ -module. Then  $\dim V^\lambda$  is given by

$$\dim V^\lambda = \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2! \cdots \mu_n!}{(n-1)!(n-2)! \cdots 2!1! \prod h_{ij}}$$

where

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n)$$

and

$$\mu := (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_n)$$

is defined by

$$\mu = \lambda + (n-1, n-2, \cdots, 1, 0).$$

If  $\lambda$  has more than  $n$  parts, we let  $\dim V^\lambda = 0$ .

The Hilbert series  $h_n$  of the module

$$Y^\lambda(A(n))$$

is given by the  $q$ -analog of the Weyl dimension formula multiplied by  $\dim W^\lambda$  with a certain shift of degrees.